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Abstract Influenced by many factors, farmers remain very unfavorable position in market transaction and thus fail to ensure their reasonable benefits. In accordance with basic theory of microeconomics, this paper analyzes mutual relation between market of agricultural means of production and agricultural product market, compares economic decisions of agricultural means of production enterprises and agricultural product circulation service providers in monopoly condition and perfect competitive market, expounds influence of monopoly position of agricultural means of production enterprises and agricultural product service providers on economic benefits of farmers, and elaborates weak position and economic damage of farmers in transaction with various economic entities. Through analysis, it further recognizes necessity for protection of farmers’ benefits and puts forward corresponding countermeasures: (1) regulating providers of agricultural means of production; (2) strengthening construction of agricultural product market circulation system; (3) improving organization of farmers; (4) setting up and improving production subsidy system of farmers.
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1 Introduction
At the same time constant growth of China’s GDP, the urban and rural income gap is increasingly widening, and the voice for protecting farmers’ benefits is increasingly louder. Such situation has historical, practical, economic and political reasons, but it is undisputable that farmers are weak in the transaction with various economic entities. Using theory of microeconomics, we mainly analyzed market position of farmers in transaction with enterprises of agricultural means of production and agricultural product circulation service providers, and the influence on farmers’ benefits. Through analysis, we further make clear the necessity for protecting farmers’ benefits, and put forward corresponding countermeasures.

2 Agricultural industrial entities influencing farmers’ benefits
Within the framework of market economy, entities influencing farmers’ benefits spread all over the links of economic circulation. In this paper, we mainly analyze agricultural industrial entities influencing farmers’ benefits. Fig. 1 simply illustrates agricultural industrial labor division and the transaction relationship of farmers with other entities[1]. From Fig. 1, it can be seen that enterprise of agricultural means of production and agricultural product circulation service providers are two important links. These two entities stay in monopoly position and they occupy larger part of profit in the agricultural industrial chain. In this study, we mainly analyze the influence of these two entities on farmers’ economic benefits from the perspective of microeconomics.

3 Monopoly position of enterprises of agricultural means of production and its influence on farmers’ benefits
3.1 Factors determining market position of enterprises of agricultural means of production (1) Production, research and development of agricultural means of production have high sci-tech content, and the environment adaptation, environmental protection standard and benefit performance are generally difficult to reach for medium-sized and small enterprises, thus production of agricultural means of production has higher access barrier. This will inevitably lead to monopoly position of enterprises of agricultural means of production. (2) The demand of farmers for agricultural means of production is a derived demand[2], which is the same as demand of producers for other production factors. Farmers purchase means of production and get benefit therefrom, which partly depends on demand of consumers for products produced by farmers. However, farmers who purchase agricultural means of production for necessity of production are extremely separate. As a result, providers of agricultural means of production become a powerful market force. (3) Agricultural means of production, especially new agricultural machinery and tools, new pesticides,
chemical fertilizers and varieties, has features of quasi-public goods, this provides theoretical basis for government interfering with this industry. When government interfering with this industry, some policies will lead to industrial monopoly and it will limit development of some enterprises that have no special benefit relationship with government.

3.2 Decisions of enterprises of agricultural means of production for profit maximization The decisions of enterprises of agricultural means of production for profit maximization can be illustrated by Fig. 2 (a). The quantity Q₁ and price P₁ determined by E₁ refer to the quantity and price of agricultural means of production for profit maximization can be illustrated by Fig. 2 (a). The quantity Q₁ and price P₁ determined by E₁ refer to the quantity and price of agricultural means of production for profit maximization.

\[ MC = MR = P \]

where \( MC \) and \( MR \) are marginal costs and marginal revenue, and \( P \) is the price. The condition for profit maximization is that the price of agricultural means of production is equal to marginal cost, i.e., \( P = MC \) (4). According to decision principle of profit maximization of \( MR = MC \), the quantity Q₂ and price P₂ determined by E₂ are the optimal quantity and price of monopoly enterprises. Relatively to a perfect competitive market, enterprises of agricultural means of production occupying monopoly position can increase price of agricultural means of production through reducing quantity of agricultural means of production, to obtain monopoly profit.

4 Monopoly power of agricultural product circulation service providers and its influence on farmers’ economic benefits

4.1 Market position of agricultural product circulation service providers Special function of agricultural product circulation service providers determines their market position. On the one hand, it is very difficult for farmers to get around circulation service providers and directly sell products to final consumer goods market. On the other hand, final consumers also difficultly get around circulation service providers to buy products. Thus, circulation service providers stay in monopoly position not only at agric-
4.2 Profit maximization decisions of agricultural product circulation providers and their influence on farmers’ benefits

To simplify analysis, assume the operating cost of circulation service providers is zero (although this case is impossible, it does not influence the tenability of related conclusions). Fig. 4(a) and 4(b) separately illustrate market demand curve of agricultural products and farmers’ production cost curve. Agricultural product circulation providers stay at monopoly position at final consumption market of agricultural products. The market demand curve faced by circulation service providers shown in Fig. 4(c) is the market demand curve D of agricultural products shown in Fig. 4(a). Since the operating cost of circulation service providers is zero, operating cost of circulation service providers shown in Fig. 4(c) is the production cost curve of agricultural products shown in Fig. 4(b), and operating cost of agricultural product circulation providers completely copies production costs of farmers. Relying on monopoly position of purchase of agricultural products, agricultural product circulation providers finally become providers of agricultural product market. According to \( MR = MC \) profit maximization principle, market sales price of agricultural products and quantity determined by agricultural product circulation providers are \( P_1 \) and \( Q_1 \) corresponding to point E in Fig. 4(c). Agricultural product circulation providers have many pricing methods, but this pricing method will bring them maximum profit, and the monopoly profit obtained by them is the area \( P_1EGP_2 \) shown in Fig. 4(c). The agricultural products with quantity of \( Q_1 \) are purchased from farmers at price of \( P_2 \). If farmers can organize them effectively, this part of profit can be owned by farmers.

5 Necessity and countermeasures for protecting farmers’ benefits

5.1 Necessity for protecting farmers’ benefits Through the above analysis, we can see clearly that farmers stay in extremely weak position in market transaction. Especially, farmers have no pricing right when purchasing agricultural means of production, and lack negotiation chip when bargaining with agricultural product circulation service providers, so they fail to protect their reasonable benefits. In China, farmers take up 60% of the total population, thus protecting farmers’ benefits and refraining damage of other economic entities to farmers is a top priority.

5.2 Countermeasures for protecting farmers’ benefits

5.2.1 Controlling providers of means of production. Firstly, it is required to realize that production of agricultural means of production has strong positive externality. If economic benefits of producers fail to be fully compensated, their enthusiasm for production will be dammed. As a result, it will lead to short supply of agricultural means of production. In worse condition, it will restrain technological progress of agricultural production. Therefore, it should set up and improve various patent systems, and subsidize production, research and development enterprises. Secondly, due to protection of patent system and limitation of various technological standards, the production of agricultural means of production has higher technological barrier, and manufacturers can form monopoly force with the aid of such barrier. Without control over this monopoly force, it will definitely damage farmers’ benefits and influence farmers’ application of advanced means of production. Thus, on the condition of keeping reasonable profits of enterprises of agricultural means of production, it should take certain control
over the price of agricultural means of production they sell.

5.2.2 Strengthening construction of agricultural product market circulation system. Only through establishing perfect agricultural product market circulation system, can strengthen competitiveness of circulation field, and can change farmers’ market position when selling agricultural products. At first, it should strengthen infrastructure construction of circulation field, to provide favorable sales condition for farmers. Besides, it should issue price information of agricultural products, to facilitate farmers’ sales of agricultural products at fair price.

5.2.3 Improving organization degree of farmers. Strengthening organization degree of farmers can increase power of farmers in negotiation with various transaction entities. At present, the representative organization form includes "company + farmer household" and "company + community + farmer household", as well as specialized cooperatives set up by farmers. Comparatively speaking, these organizations are easily established, but farmers are still in weak position. In comparison, cooperatives set up by farmers are relatively separate, and their development process is slow. Therefore, organization of agricultural producers should suit local conditions and advance gradually.

5.2.4 Establishing and improving production subsidy system for farmers. Farmers bear large part of the cost for agricultural production, while considerable amount of income created by agricultural production flows to enterprises of agricultural means of production and agricultural product circulation service providers.

Thus, it must establish and improve subsidy system for farmers. Firstly, it is required to increase direct subsidy for agricultural production, increase cross and middle links, and increase fund utilization efficiency. Secondly, it is proposed to adjust structure of agricultural subsidy, increase subsidy for fine seed, increase scope and variety of subsidy, and increase comprehensive subsidy for agricultural means of production. Thirdly, it is recommended to pay close attention to performance evaluation of subsidy funds, and establish hard budget constraint system of subsidy funds, to prevent embezzlement of subsidy funds.
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