AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

START



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

R 630
1056
REFERENCE
DO NOT LOAN

Technical Bulletin No. 1056 • September 1952

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
WASHINGTON, D. C.

Studies on Dwarf Leaf Rust of Barley[1]

By M. N. LEVINE, *pathologist, Division of Cereal Crops and Diseases, Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils, and Agricultural Engineering, Agricultural Research Administration, United States Department of Agriculture*, and W. J. CHERRWICK, *plant pathologist, Dominion Laboratory of Plant Pathology, Winnipeg*, and *Division of Botany and Plant Pathology, Science Service, Canada Department of Agriculture*[2]

CONTENTS

	Page		Page
Introduction	1	Races isolated from North American collections	12
Earlier work on physiologic specialization	2	Evidence of pathogenic mutations	14
Coordination and consolidation of physiologic races	4	Summary and discussion	15
		Literature cited	16

Los Angeles Public Library
DEC 1 1952

INTRODUCTION

The dwarf leaf rust of barley (*Puccinia hordei* Otth.)[3] has had a relatively short history thus far as an agricultural problem in the

[1] Submitted for publication January 21, 1952. Cooperative investigations of the Division of Cereal Crops and Diseases, Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils, and Agricultural Engineering, Agricultural Research Administration, United States Department of Agriculture; the Division of Plant Pathology and Botany, Department of Agriculture, University of Minnesota (Paper No. 2572); and the Division of Botany and Plant Pathology, Science Service, Canada Department of Agriculture (Contribution No. 1083).

[2] The authors express appreciation of the interest of J. H. Craigie, of the Canada Department of Agriculture, W. F. Hamm, of the Dominion Laboratory of Plant Pathology, Winnipeg, M. A. McCall, formerly of the Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils, and Agricultural Engineering, and E. C. Stakman, of the University of Minnesota, in the investigation reported here, and of the cooperation of those who provided seed of differential hosts and other barley varieties, leaf rust specimens from different parts of Canada and the United States, and other essential materials and supplies.

[3] Less than a century ago this rust had not been distinguished taxonomically from leaf rust on wheat, rye, and many wild grasses. Of several names applied to it, *Puccinia rubigo-vera* (DC.) Wint. was the one used most generally. While still considering the leaf rust on *Hordeum* spp. an integral part of *P. rubigo-vera* (DC.), Winter (*25, p. 218*) classified it as var. *simplex* Körnicke. In view of statements in the standard work just referred to and others, Bisby (*1, p. 44*) concluded that the name "simplex" had "validity only as a variety" until Eriksson and Henning raised it to specific rank "in 1894, by which time it had become a later homonym * * * of *P. simplex* Peck, 1881." Meanwhile, in 1878, the binomial *P. anomala* Rostr. had been published; and, according to Bisby (*1, p. 44*), "its epithet is to be accepted * * * unless and until an earlier epithet might be found to have priority." Stevenson and Johnson (*21, p. 372*) reported in 1946 that such an epithet had been found by Buchwald—the name *Puccinia hordei* Otth. had been published in 1871. This binomial is used in the present paper tentatively and as a matter of expediency.

United States and Canada. In the United States, although it may have been present as early as 1899 (*5, p. 10*) [4] and by 1911 had made its appearance in Iowa, California, Minnesota, Virginia, and Maryland (*8, p. 11*), it did not begin to cause serious losses until about 1935 (*11, p. 21*). That year a severe epidemic of this rust occurred in Minnesota, with intensities on Minsturdi (C. I. 1556) [5] estimated as high as 55 percent at St. Paul and 85 percent at Waseca. In Canada, first collection of this rust was made in 1922. The disease was not found again in the Dominion until 1927, when collections were made throughout southern Manitoba and southeastern Saskatchewan (*4, p. 83*). In 1947 Dickson (*7, p. 57*) reported that "leaf rust of barley occurs extensively in both the winter and spring barley areas of the Eastern and Central United States. In this area the rust is found almost every season, and in some seasons it develops in epiphytotic form, especially in the southern spring-barley area."

Owing to intensified epidemics of dwarf leaf rust on barley, plant breeders in both the United States and Canada began work on development of resistant varieties. This resulted in a pressing need for further studies of physiologic races of the rust and their distribution in North America. A review of the literature on the subject forcefully indicated to the writers the urgent need for reinterpretation and codification of the accumulated data on this rust, which, in some cases, were confusing if not conflicting. Studies designed to meet this need were undertaken at the Federal Cereal Rust Research Laboratory, St. Paul, Minn., and the Dominion Laboratory of Plant Pathology, Winnipeg, Manitoba. Laboratory work involved in the project was carried out during the period 1940–49 at St. Paul and during the period 1930–49 at Winnipeg. Most, if not all, of the barley varieties that at one time or another had been used—either in North America, in Europe, or in Australia—as differential hosts for determination of physiological specialization in this rust were assembled and were subjected to inoculation with hundreds of collections of dwarf leaf rust received from various parts of the United States and Canada. On the basis of results of this work and of published and unpublished findings of other investigators, the writers have prepared dichotomous keys for the identification of physiologic races of the rust. This publication presents these keys and also data on the frequency of occurrence of the races isolated in the United States and Canada during the study years and on mutations that occurred in the course of the laboratory work.

EARLIER WORK ON PHYSIOLOGIC SPECIALIZATION

Evidently sensing the latent threat of dwarf leaf rust to the barley crop in the United States, Mains (*13*) undertook the study of possible physiologic specialization in this fungus a quarter century ago. On the basis of the reactions of Oderbrucker (C. I. 940) and other barley varieties, he demonstrated the existence of at least two physiologic races of *Puccinia hordei* in this country. In 1927, Waterhouse (*23*)

---

[4] Italic numbers in parentheses refer to Literature Cited, p. 16.

[5] "C. I." refers to accession numbers of the Division of Cereal Crops and Diseases.

reported his results of testing an Australian culture of dwarf leaf rust on a number of barley varieties and hybrids. Analyzing these results, Mains (*14*) later suggested that the Australian culture constituted a third physiologic race. Independently of the aforementioned researchers, Brown and Newton (*4*) reported in 1929 the isolation of two barley leaf rust races in Canada. In 1931 Brown (*2, 3*) reported identification of a third and a fourth race of *hordei* in Canada. Also in 1931 Hey (*9*) published on the identification of eight different physiologic races of barley leaf rust in Germany. The barley varieties he used as differential hosts included none of those theretofore used by investigators. In 1932 Mains and Martini (*15*) reported on the reactions of a great many barley varieties in both greenhouse and field. In 1933 Hirschhorn (*10*) published an account of a barley leaf rust race in Argentina seemingly different from the previously known races.

In awareness of the pioneering endeavors of her predecessors, Ronsdorf (*18*), in 1934, published results from tests she had made with Hey's differential hosts plus 1 additional barley variety. She had succeeded in isolating a ninth race from German collections. Stakman and coworkers (*20*) pointed out the following year that, owing to the use of different test varieties for the determination of physiologic races of leaf rust of barley, comparisons of races isolated in different countries were extremely difficult if not altogether impossible. In the same year, Ronsdorf (*19*) reported on the reactions of 15 barley varieties obtained from Mains to 5 German physiologic races of leaf rust. She found these barleys inadequate for differentiating the races she was studying, although some of them had served as adequate differentials in Mains' tests. Ronsdorf then made 3 single-spore isolations from a barley leaf rust collection she had obtained from Mains. Subjecting the 3 monosporous cultures to a test on 11 German test varieties, she found them to be of 2 physiologic races. On the basis of these results she concluded that the barley varieties Hey and she had selected as differential hosts for the dwarf leaf rust were superior for that purpose to those used by Mains.

After testing a number of dwarf leaf rust collections on the set of differentials used by Hey and Ronsdorf, Straib (*23*) concluded in 1936 that of the 11 varieties thus used only 5 were essential. Adding to these 1 South American variety and 4 varieties previously used by Mains and Martini, and using this set of 10 as differentials, Straib succeeded in isolating 14 different races from 124 collections. Only 4 of the 14 races resembled races previously found in Germany.

Straib's further endeavors to identify dwarf leaf rust races in Europe by use of barley varieties so used in the United States were no more successful than those of Ronsdorf. Using 14 varieties of barley previously used by Mains, Straib differentiated only a few of the 13 physiologic races tested. Brown, at the Dominion Laboratory of Plant Pathology, Winnipeg, Manitoba, used the same 14 barley varieties in testing 8 Canadian leaf rust races. His unpublished results indicate that these races were, in certain respects, differentiable by some of the varieties he used. Brown also tested 4 of the Canadian races on 10 barley varieties used by Straib and succeeded in determining only 3 of the races. Waterhouse (*24*) reported in 1938 that the Kinver barley variety possessed differentiating properties for dwarf leaf rust in

Australia, and that by use of this variety he had ascertained the existence of at least 2 physiologic races of *Puccinia hordei* in that country. Waterhouse made tests with a number of the varieties used by Mains, but found them of no value as differential hosts in Australia. The differential varieties used by German workers had not been available to Waterhouse. D'Oliveira (*16*) isolated 11 new physiologic races from dwarf leaf rust collections obtained in Great Britain, Portugal, and Spain. He used as differential varieties 7 of the 10 hosts chosen by Hey, the 1 added by Ronsdorf, and 2 of those used by Mains. Johnson and Newton (*12, p. 358*) concluded in 1946 that because "different groups of differential hosts were used by North American and European workers, it is not possible to make any comparison between the races present in the two continents." This observation, corroborating the one by Stakman et al. quoted above, might well have included Australia.

Evidence was found in earlier research that physiologic races of *Puccinia hordei* originate through hybridization in the aecial stage and through mutation in the uredial stage. D'Oliveira (*16*) selfed two known races of leaf rust of barley on *Ornithogalum umbellatum* and obtained six new physiologic races through this operation. When he crossed two known races an additional new race resulted. So far these seem to be the only instances on record of production of new *P. hordei* races through selfing and artificial hybridization.

In the earlier works on physiologic specialization of the dwarf leaf rust pathogen, it was assumed that the parasitic behavior of races in the uredial stage remained constant except for minor fluctuations caused by environmental changes. However, when Ronsdorf (*18*) made tests with two races obtained from Hey the behavior of these races was very different from what it was in Hey's tests, under similar cultural conditions. She offered no explanation for the differences. Straib (*22*) doubted that Ronsdorf had the same cultures Hey had worked with. D'Oliveira (*16*) was the first to recognize and report a mutation in a race of dwarf leaf rust of barley. The mutation he found, in a race collected in England which he designated race 14, was a radical change in color and also a change in parasitism. D'Oliveira gave the resultant race the number 23. Mutation may explain the Ronsdorf results just mentioned and may account for certain discrepancies in the pathogenic behavior of physiologic races of the dwarf leaf rust reported by Mains and Martini (*15*) and others.

COORDINATION AND CONSOLIDATION OF PHYSIOLOGIC RACES

Much of the difficulty encountered in identifying physiologic races of *Puccinia hordei* stems from the sensitivity of the organism to the biotic and physical environment in which it is cultured. Moderate and relatively constant temperature and good light are important. The writers have found that a temperature fluctuating around 20° C. is almost ideal for normal development of barley leaf rust, and that in the Manitoba-Minnesota area early spring and fall provide optimum light conditions. Thrifty seedlings 1 to 1½ weeks old were found to be a most desirable medium for clearly defining different infection types, and spring barleys were preferred as differential hosts. Homozygous differential hosts, manifesting homogeneous in-

fection types and reacting consistently under a reasonable variety of conditions, are essential. Essential also are lucid description and classification of the various infection types, so that the types may be discerned and differentiated readily.

Through inoculation tests with all barley varieties previously reported as differentials, it was found that some of these varieties were not entirely adequate as such, some could be used interchangeably, some were essential and some critical, and others possessed auxiliary differential properties. Varieties found essential to keying out all authentic races of barley leaf rust thus far recorded in the literature or otherwise reported numbered 16. Of these 16 varieties, 9 were found to be critical for identification of outstandingly important races consolidated with races of lesser importance. The 16 varieties required for the identification of all authentic races are as follows:

Speciale, C. I. 7536 (Hey's "*Hordeum vulgare speciale*").
Reka 1, C. I. 5051 (Waterhouse's "Reka 1" and Hey's "Australische Recka").
Sudan, C. I. 6489 (Hey's "Sudan" or "Aegyptische Sudan").
Cruzat, C. I. 6482 (Straib's "*Hordeum hexastichum pyramidatum* Kcke.").
Chilean D, C. I. 1433 (Mains' "Chilean D, C. I, No. 1433").
Bolivia, C. I. 1257 (Mains' "Bolivia, C. I. No. 1257").
Oderbrucker, C. I. 940 (Mains' "Oderbrucker, C. I. No. 940").
Quinn, C. I. 1024 (Mains' "Quinn, C. I. No. 1024").
Egypt 4, C. I. 6481 (Ronsdorf's "Aegyptische 4 zeilige").
Club Mariout, C. I. 261 (Mains' "Club Mariout, C. I. No. 261").
Samaria, C. I. 6493 (Hey's "Samaria 4 zlg.").
Berg, C. I. 6486 (Hey's "Friedrichswerther Berg W.-G.").
Gold, C. I. 1145 (Mains', also Brown's, "Gold, C. I. No. 1145").
Lechtaler, C. I. 6488 (Hey's "Lichtis Lechtaler").
Austral, C. I. 6483 (Straib's "Australische 2-zeilige").
Kinver, C. I. 2361 (Waterhouse's "Kinver").

The 9 differential varieties found to be critical in the identification of the racial complexes into which the ingredient races of barley leaf rust were consolidated are Speciale (C. I. 7536), Reka 1 (C. I. 5051), Sudan (C. I. 6489), Bolivia (C. I. 1257), Oderbrucker (C. I. 940), Quinn (C. I. 1024), Egypt 4 (C. I. 6481), Gold (C. I. 1145), and Lechtaler (C. I. 6488). All 9 varieties possess the spring growth habit. For this reason they are most suitable for studies conducted in the North Central region of the United States and in the Western Provinces of Canada. Their usefulness as biological reagents in other parts of the world remains to be proved.

It became necessary to redefine the various infection types and to reconstitute the existing reaction classes, in order to facilitate ready and certain recognition of different physiologic races. Thus, infection types 0, 1, and 2 were incorporated into the resistant class (R), and infection types X, 3, and 4 into the susceptible class (S). The mesothetic class (M), as such, was abolished. The new definitions follow: 0, practically immune—no uredia visible, pronounced necrotic lesions or flecks may or may not be present; 1, extremely resistant—minute uredia clearly visible, pustules embedded in well-defined necrotic areas; 2, moderately resistant—small uredia abundant, necrotic lesions clearly defined but less extensive, green islands surrounded by necrotic halos may be present; X, variably mesothetic—conglomeration of uredia of diverse sizes and types tending to integrate, both necrotic lesions and chlorosis usually present; 3, moderately susceptible—uredia of medium size, usually slight chlorosis but no necrosis at infec-

tion centers; 4, extremely susceptible—uredia predominantly large, necrotic lesions absent, chlorosis may be present.

Plus, double-plus, minus, and double-minus (equality) signs are used in connection with Arabic numerals to express gradations in severity of infection produced by a given race; the sign ± indicates a range from plus to minus. In the absence of pustules, necrotic flecks are represented by a semicolon, following a zero (0;); necrotic lesions by a period, following a zero (0.); and green islands by a colon, following a zero (0:). The exponent ^{c} stands for chlorosis; the exponent ^{n}, for necrosis.

The 16 barley varieties found to be essential as differential hosts were all used in the current study. Despite this, 3 pairs of very closely related races were automatically consolidated. A comprehensive master key, presented as key 1, was constructed after the tests and after examination of all available published or otherwise reported infection records. In each case only the initial recording, unaffected by later developments, was taken into account in the preparation of the master key. Because this key is presented here as of historical rather than practical value, it is not accompanied by an infection record of all the races involved in its make-up. A detailed infection record of the consolidated races on the 9 critical differential varieties is presented as table 1. The key for the identification of the known consolidated races is key 2.

Numerical designations of the antecedent races in both keys are preceded by authors' initials; the numerical designations of the consolidated races are prefixed by the symbol UN (unified numeration). The sequence of UN numbers is harmonized with the order of dates of publication or discovery of the physiologic races under consideration.

KEY 1.—*Key for identification of all physiologic races of Puccinia hordei Otth. thus far described by investigators*

REACTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL VARIETIES:	*Race number or numbers* [1]
Speciale resistant	
Reka 1 resistant	
Sudan resistant	
Cruzat resistant	
Chilean D resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant	
Gold resistant	C10, L20
Gold susceptible	C11
Egypt 4 susceptible	
Club Mariout resistant	
Austral resistant	C16, L7
Austral susceptible	B1, L5
Club Mariout susceptible	
Gold resistant	C9, L18
Gold susceptible	B2, L8, M1
Chilean D susceptible	B4
Cruzat susceptible	
Chilean D resistant	C15
Chilean D susceptible	
Oderbrucker resistant	
Lechtaler resistant	L13
Lechtaler susceptible	L4
Oderbrucker susceptible	L1

See footnote at end of key.

KEY 1.—*Key for identification of all physiologic races of Puccinia hordei Otth. thus far described by investigators*—Continued

REACTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL VARIETIES—Continued	*Race number or numbers* [1]
Speciale resistant—Continued	
Reka 1 resistant—Continued	
Sudan susceptible	
Cruzat resistant	
Bolivia resistant	
Lechtaler resistant	H7, L3
Lechtaler susceptible	H1, S1
Bolivia susceptible	
Quinn resistant	D14, D17
Quinn susceptible	D16
Cruzat susceptible	L14
Reka 1 susceptible	
Sudan resistant	
Cruzat resistant	L2
Cruzat susceptible	
Bolivia resistant	
Lechtaler resistant	B6
Lechtaler susceptible	L15, S11
Bolivia susceptible	B5
Sudan susceptible	
Bolivia resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant	D21
Egypt 4 susceptible	D23, S10
Bolivia susceptible	
Quinn resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant	D30
Egypt 4 susceptible	
Lechtaler resistant	D26
Lechtaler susceptible	
Samaria resistant	D22
Samaria susceptible	D15
Quinn susceptible	
Lechtaler resistant	D20, H8, R10
Lechtaler susceptible	
Berg resistant	D18
Berg susceptible	D19
Speciale susceptible	
Reka 1 resistant	
Sudan resistant	
Cruzat resistant	
Bolivia resistant	
Oderbrucker resistant	L9
Oderbrucker susceptible	L6
Bolivia susceptible	H2, S2
Cruzat susceptible	
Bolivia resistant	L19
Bolivia susceptible	
Quinn resistant	D29, S16
Quinn susceptible	H6, S12
Sudan susceptible	
Cruzat resistant	
Bolivia resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant	
Gold resistant	C19
Gold susceptible	
Lechtaler resistant	C17
Lechtaler susceptible	
Samaria resistant	H4, H5, R4
Samaria susceptible	R5

See footnote at end of key.

KEY 1.—*Key for identification of all physiologic races of Puccinia hordei Otth. thus far described by investigators*—Continued

REACTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL VARIETIES—Continued	*Race number or numbers*[1]
Speciale susceptible—Continued	
Reka 1 resistant—Continued	
Sudan susceptible—Continued	
Cruzat resistant—Continued	
Bolivia resistant—Continued	
Egypt 4 susceptible	
Club Mariout resistant	
Gold resistant	
Austral resistant	C13
Austral susceptible	C18
Gold susceptible	H3, R3, S3
Club Mariout susceptible	C12, L16, M2
Bolivia susceptible	D13, S13
Cruzat susceptible	
Bolivia resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant	C14
Egypt 4 susceptible	B20
Bolivia susceptible	
Lechtaler resistant	D27, L10
Lechtaler susceptible	S15
Reka 1 susceptible	
Sudan resistant	
Cruzat resistant	
Lechtaler resistant	L17
Lechtaler susceptible	B21
Cruzat susceptible	
Bolivia resistant	B3, L11
Bolivia susceptible	
Gold resistant	B7
Gold susceptible	
Kinver resistant	W2
Kinver susceptible	BS, L12, W1
Sudan susceptible	
Bolivia resistant	
Quinn resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant	
Lechtaler resistant	S14
Lechtaler susceptible	R2, S19
Egypt 4 susceptible	R9, R11, S9
Quinn susceptible	S17
Bolivia susceptible	
Quinn resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant	S18
Egypt 4 susceptible	D25
Quinn susceptible	
Egypt 4 resistant	D24
Egypt 4 susceptible	
Berg resistant	D28
Berg susceptible	D12

[1] Capital letters prefixed to numbers signify authorities as follows: B=Brown et al. (*2, 3, 4*, and unpublished results); C=Cherewick (unpublished results); D=D'Oliveira (*16*); H=Hay (*9*); L=Levine (unpublished results); M=Mains (*13, 14*), and Mains and Martini (*15*); R=Ronsdorf (*18, 19*); S=Straib (*22*); W=Waterhouse (*23, 24*).

TABLE 1.—*Infection record of consolidated races of Puccinia hordei Otth.*

Unified-numeration race	Mean infection types [1] on specified differentials [2]								
	Spc	Rka	Sdn	Bol	Odr	Qin	Egp	Gld	Lec
1	1++	1±	1	1++	1+	0;	4+	4=	0;
2	3	1	3+	0;	3++	1=	3	0:	1
3	3+	4=	1	4	3+	1++	3++	3	3++
4	1−	1	1=	1=	1	1=	4+	1	1−
5	3++	3+	0;	2−	1++	3	4	3++	3+
6	0	2+	3++	1	0	1++	1=	4	4
7	4	1++	1+	3++	4	3	0:	4	4−
8	4	1	3+	2±	4−	0	3	4−	4
9	4−	1=	4−	2	4	0	2	3	4=
10	3++	1++	1++	4−	4	4−	4	4	1−
11	1+	1+	4	0;	4	1=	4−	1±	1++
12	0	4−	4	3	2−	4	4−	-----	2
13	4=	3++	3	1	4	0	1=	-----	4−
14	4−	3	3++	1++	4	0;	3	4	4
15	1=	3++	4	2=	0	3	3++	-----	4
16	1++	3+	1−	0.	1=	1++	3+	3+	3++
17	4	2+	4	3++	4	4−	4	-----	3
18	4	3	4	1	-----	0;	0	-----	1=
19	4	1=	4	3	4	0	3+	-----	4
20	4	1++	2	3++	4	1++	4	-----	3++
21	4	4−	4	2	4	3	4=	-----	4
22	4	4−	4	3	4	1=	0.	-----	4
23	4	3+	4	4	4−	3	3++	-----	3+
24	1−	1+	3+	3+	1=	1	1±	-----	3++
25	0	3++	4	3++	1−	1	4−	-----	4
26	0	0	4	3±X	0	3	0	-----	3+
27	0	4	4	3++	1=	4	4	-----	3++
28	0	3++	4−	2	0	3++	2−	-----	4−
29	4	4	4	4	4	3−	0	-----	3
30	4−	4	4	4−	4−	1+	4	-----	3+
31	0	4	4	4	1	2	4	-----	2−
32	3+	1	3+	3+	3++	1+	3++	1+	1++
33	0	3++	4	4	0	1	0	-----	4
34	0;	3	1−	3	0;	0;	3	1	3
35	0;	3	1−	0;	0;	0;	3	3	0;
36	3	3	1	3	3	0;	3	1+	3
37	0;	1=	1±	0;	0;	0;	2±	0;	2−
38	1=	2	0;	0;	0;	0:	2+	3	3+
39	4−	2=	3+	1=	1=	0;	2±	1=	2−
40	0;	1+	0;	1=	0;	0;	X	3	3+
41	3	1−	3+	0;	3	0:	2±	3−	1+
42	3−	1+	3+	0;	3−	0;	2	1+	1
43	1++	1	1++	1++	3+	1−	4±	1++	1
44	1±	3	1−	1	2−	1	4	1−	1++
45	1+	1−	1+	1−	1+	1	3++	1++	3+
46	3++	1+	2	0:	4−	1+	3++	0:	1±
47	4=	1−	1++	0;	2	0:	4+	1	1
48	1++	0.	3+	0;	2	0:	3+	0;	0;
49	3	3	0;	1−	0:	0.	3	0;	1
50	3	0;	0.	0;	3	0;	3++	0;	3
51	3	1+	3	0;	2+	1+	3	2+	3
52	3	3	0;	0;	1+	1	3	1+	3

[1] Symbols for infection types and for gradations in severity of infection are defined on pp. 5–6.

[2] Bol=Bolivia (C. I. 1257); Egp=Egypt 4 (C. I. 6481); Gld=Gold (C. I. 1145); Lec=Lechtaler (C. I. 6488); Odr=Oderbrucker (C. I. 940); Qin=Quinn (C. I. 1024); Rka=Reka 1 (C. I. 5051); Sdn=Sudan (C. I. 6489); Spc=Speciale (C. I. 7536). These abbreviations are used for convenience in recording rust readings.

986490—52——2

KEY 2.—*Condensed standard key for identification of consolidated physiologic races of Puccinia hordei Otth., embracing all races thus far described by investigators* [1]

REACTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL VARIETIES:

	Unified-numeration race number
Speciale resistant	
Reka 1 resistant	
Sudan resistant	
Oderbrucker resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant	
Gold resistant—C10, L20	37
Gold susceptible—C11	33
Egypt 4 susceptible	
Gold resistant	
Lechtaler resistant—B1, B4, C9, C16, L5, L7, L13, L18	4
Lechtaler susceptible—L4	45
Gold susceptible	
Lechtaler resistant—B2, L8, M1	1
Lechtaler susceptible—C15	40
Oderbrucker susceptible—L1	43
Sudan susceptible	
Bolivia resistant	
Oderbrucker resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant—H1, S1	6
Egypt 4 susceptible—L14	48
Oderbrucker susceptible—H7, L3	11
Bolivia susceptible	
Quinn resistant—D14, D17	24
Quinn susceptible—D16	26
Reka 1 susceptible	
Sudan resistant	
Bolivia resistant	
Gold resistant—L2	44
Gold susceptible	
Lechtaler resistant—B6	35
Lechtaler susceptible—L15, S11	16
Bolivia susceptible—B5	34
Sudan susceptible	
Bolivia resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant—D21	28
Egypt 4 susceptible—D23, S10	15
Bolivia susceptible	
Quinn resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant—D30	33
Egypt 4 susceptible	
Lechtaler resistant—D26	31
Lechtaler susceptible—D15, D22	25
Quinn susceptible	
Lechtaler resistant—D20, H8, R10	12
Lechtaler susceptible—D18, D19	27
Speciale susceptible	
Reka 1 resistant	
Sudan resistant	
Bolivia resistant	
Oderbrucker resistant—L9	47
Oderbrucker susceptible	
Lechtaler resistant—L6	46
Lechtaler susceptible—L19	50
Bolivia susceptible	
Quinn resistant—D29, S16	20
Quinn susceptible	
Egypt 4 resistant—H2, S2	7
Egypt 4 susceptible—H6, S12	10

See footnote at end of key.

KEY 2.—*Condensed standard key for identification of consolidated physiologic races of Puccinia hordei Otth., embracing all races thus far described by investigators* [1]—Continued

REACTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL VARIETIES—Continued	*Unified-numeration race number*
Speciale susceptible—Continued	
Reka 1 resistant—Continued	
Sudan susceptible	
Bolivia resistant	
Oderbrucker resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant—C14	39
Egypt 4 susceptible—B20	51
Oderbrucker susceptible	
Egypt 4 resistant	
Gold resistant—C19	42
Gold susceptible	
Lechtaler resistant—C17	41
Lechtaler susceptible—H4, H5, R4, R5	9
Egypt 4 susceptible	
Gold resistant—C12, C13, C18, L16, M2	2
Gold susceptible—H3, R3, S3	8
Bolivia susceptible	
Quinn resistant	
Lechtaler resistant—D27, L10	32
Lechtaler susceptible—S15	19
Quinn susceptible—D13, S13	17
Reka 1 susceptible	
Sudan resistant	
Bolivia resistant	
Quinn resistant	
Lechtaler resistant—L17	49
Lechtaler susceptible—B21	52
Quinn susceptible—B3, L11	5
Bolivia susceptible	
Gold resistant—B7	36
Gold susceptible—B8, L12, W1, W2	3
Sudan susceptible	
Bolivia resistant	
Quinn resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant	
Lechtaler resistant—S14	18
Lechtaler susceptible—R2, S19	13
Egypt 4 susceptible—R9, R11, S9	14
Quinn susceptible—S17	21
Bolivia susceptible	
Quinn resistant	
Egypt 4 resistant—S18	22
Egypt 4 susceptible—D25	30
Quinn susceptible	
Egypt 4 resistant—D24	29
Egypt 4 susceptible—D12, D28	23

[1] Code numbers heretofore used as racial designations, which appear in the identification column of key 1, appear in the varietal-reaction column here. As in key 1, B = Brown et al. (*2, 3, 4*, and unpublished results); C = Cherewick (unpublished results); D = D'Oliveira (*16*); H = Hey (*9*); L = Levine (unpublished results); M = Mains (*13, 14*), and Mains and Martini (*15*); R = Ronsdorf (*18, 19*); S = Straib (*22*); W = Waterhouse (*23, 24*).

RACES ISOLATED FROM NORTH AMERICAN COLLECTIONS

Many hundreds of isolates were studied during 1930–49 at the Manitoba and during 1940–49 at the Minnesota rust research laboratory. Isolates that were fully identified totaled 357, including 115 of Canadian and 242 of United States origin. These isolates grouped themselves into 26 consolidated races bearing unified numerations. The frequency of occurrence of the races and the geographic origin of the collections from which they were isolated are shown in table 2. All these 26 races have been found in nature. In addition to them, race 50 appeared as a mutant in a greenhouse culture that had been stored for several months in a refrigerator. Most common and most widely distributed in each of the two countries was race 4, which constituted 28.9 percent of the isolates obtained from United States specimens, 31.3 percent of those from Canadian specimens, and 29.7 percent of the grand total. Second most common in the United States was race 37, isolates of which amounted to 21.9 percent of the total identified, and third place was held by race 47, with 21.5 percent of all U. S. isolates identified. In Canada, second place went to race 2, which made up 22.6 percent of the identified isolates, and third place to race 35, with 7.0 percent of the Canadian total.

Of the 26 consolidated races isolated in either Canada or the United States, 11 occurred, in various proportions, in both countries. These were UN races 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 16, 37, 44, 46, 47, and 49. It appears that UN race 3 occurs also in Australia and UN race 16 in Europe. Furthermore UN race 11, which was isolated twice in the United States, was present also among the isolates identified in Germany. With the exceptions just mentioned, there is little in common between known North American and European races of dwarf leaf rust of barley. However, there are as many consolidated races on one continent as on the other.

TABLE 2.—*Physiologic races of Puccinia hordei Otth. isolated in Canada and the United States*

Place of origin	Frequency distribution of specified physiologic races																									Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	11	16	32	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	51	52	Units	Races
Canada:																												
British Columbia				3	1		1		1	4		1	1		2							1					15	9
Manitoba	5	19	1	13					1	1	1	1	1			2	1				1	1			1	1	50	15
Nova Scotia		1	1	2					2	3	1		1														11	7
Ontario		3		12								2			1				1								19	5
Quebec		3	1	5									3	2					1					1		1	17	8
Saskatchewan	2			1																							3	2
United States:																												
Arkansas												1							4								5	2
Delaware				1		1																					2	2
Florida				1								3									1	1					6	4
Georgia												5								1		4		1			11	4
Illinois				4															2	1		1					8	4
Iowa				9				1				3							1	1		1					16	6
Kansas			1	2								5										5					13	4
Kentucky				3								4										6					13	3
Maine				1															3								4	2
Maryland				1																1		1					3	3
Michigan	2			6								4						1	1	2		4	2				22	8
Minnesota	3	1		7								2							3	3	1	6					26	8
Mississippi				1																							1	1
Missouri				1																		2					3	2
New Jersey												1															1	1
New York												3										1					4	2
North Carolina			1	1	2			1				6							1			6					18	7
North Dakota		1																		1		1					3	3
Ohio				5																							5	1
Oklahoma				2								3										1					6	3
South Carolina		1		7	1							3						3				4					19	6
South Dakota				1		1																					2	2
Tennessee				2								1							1				1				5	4
Texas			1	7			1					1							2	1							13	6
Virginia				5								4						1				2	1				13	5
West Virginia												1										2	2				5	3
Wisconsin	1			3								3							2	1		4		1			15	7
Total	13	29	6	106	4	2	2	2	4	8	2	57	6	2	3	2	1	5	22	12	3	54	6	3	1	2	357	26

EVIDENCE OF PATHOGENIC MUTATIONS

In table 3 are recorded comparative reactions of 20 barley varieties to 2 isolates of *Puccinia hordei* before and after a period of approximately 2 years at the St. Paul laboratory. The isolates had undergone some drastic changes in their pathogenicity during the period 1942–45. Both isolate 1 and isolate 2 were cultured for 12 successive uredial generations in the greenhouse season 1942–43, for 8 more generations in 1943–44, and for 4 generations in 1944–45. Between greenhouse seasons, the cultures were stored for several months in a refrigerator at about 6° C. During the first 2 greenhouse seasons, or for 20 uredial generations, there was no discernible change in the parasitism of either isolate; but for each of the 4 successive generations of the third season, the behavior pattern of these isolates appeared quite different in several respects from what each was during the first 2 seasons of the experiment.

TABLE 3.—*Pathogenicity of two isolates of Puccinia hordei Otth. observed at the Federal Cereal Rust Laboratory, St. Paul, in 1944–45 in comparison with their consistent parasitic behavior during the two preceding greenhouse seasons*

Barley variety	C. I. number	Mean relative infection types [1]			
		Isolate 1		Isolate 2	
		1942–44	1944–45	1942–1944	1944–1945
Afghan 2	6366	3++	0.	0;	1
Austral	6483	3++	3+	2±	4
Bavaria	6395	1±	3++	1++	1±
Berg	6486	0;	3	1−	0;
Polivia	1257	0;	0;	0;	0;
Coast	1430	3+	3+	2+	3++
Chilean D	1433	1++	3+	2−	3±
Cruzat	6482	1++	3+	1±	4±
Egypt 4	6481	4=	3++	3+	4
Flynn	1311	3+	3++	2++	4+
Gold	1145	1=	0;	0;	1=
Grossklappige	6485	4	3+	4+	4
Horsford	877	4=	3	1±	0;
Kuban	6480	1−	0;	1=	1=
Lechtaler	6488	1++	3	1=	0.
Oderbrucker	940	4±	4−	2=	1±
Quinn	1024	1=	0;	1±	0;
Reka 1	5051	2	0;	1−	1−
Schladener	6490	1±	3+	0;	0;
Sudan	6489	4±	0.	1±	1=

[1] Symbols for infection types and for gradations in severity of infection are defined on pp. 5–6.

Significant changes in the parasitic behavior of isolate 1 were very evident on the eight varieties Afghan 2, Bavaria, Berg, Chilean D, Cruzat, Lechtaler, Schladener, and Sudan. In the case of isolate 2, significant changes were observed on Austral, Coast, Chilean D, Cruzat, and Flynn. In general, the isolates had become more virulent on the varieties just listed; in the case of isolate 1, however, there was a shift in the opposite direction on Afghan 2 and Sudan. According

to its original behavior, isolate 1 was identified as UN race 11; it became UN race 50 as a result of mutation. Because of consolidation, isolate 2 maintained its identity as UN race 4 even after it had undergone the radical changes in pathogenicity just discussed; nevertheless, these changes, too, can best be explained as results of mutation.

A number of mutations appeared also at the Winnipeg laboratory. Four isolates that underwent such changes are listed in table 4. The changes appeared in uredial material of purified cultures of certain physiologic races of *Puccinia hordei* that had been stored for several months between two greenhouse seasons in a refrigerator at approximately 3° to 6° C.

TABLE 4.—*Pathogenicity of four isolates of Puccinia hordei Otth. observed at the Dominion Laboratory of Plant Pathology, Winnipeg, in 1946–47 and in 1947–48 in comparison with their consistent parasitic behavior during the preceding greenhouse season*

Barley variety	C. I. number	Mean relative infection types [1]							
		Isolate 1		Isolate 2		Isolate 3		Isolate 4	
		1945–1946	1946–1947	1946–1947	1947–1948	1946–1947	1947–1948	1946–1947	1947–1948
Austral	6483	2+	2+[a]	3−[a]	2±[a]	2+	3−[a]	3−	3±[a]
Bolivia	1257	1=	0;	0;	0;	0;	0;	0;	0;
Chilean D	1433	2	2−	3−	1=	1=	2±	1+	2±
Club Mariout	261	0;	3	2−	3−	2	2+	1+	2±
Cruzat	6482	3	1+	2−	1	2+	1±	1+	2±
Egypt 4	6481	X	3	3	3+	3+	3+	3+	3+
Gold	1145	3	1=	1=	1=	1=	2±	1=	1=
Kuban	6480	2+	1−	1	1±	1−	2±	1−	1−
Lechtaler	6488	3+	1+	1−	1−	1−	2±	1−	1
Oderbrucker	940	0;	1=	0;	1=	0;	3±	0;	4
Quinn	1024	0;	0;	0;	1=	1=	1=	1=	1±
Reka 1	5051	1+	2++	1−	1±	1	1±	1+	1±
Speciale	7536	0;	1=	0;	1=	0;	3±	0;	3+
Sudan	6489	0;	1−	1=	1=	0;	3	1=	3+

[1] Symbols for infection types and for gradations in severity of infection are defined on pp. 5–6.

Isolate 1, after cold storage, showed a significant gain in virulence on Club Mariout and a significant loss on Cruzat, Gold, and Lechtaler; isolate 2 gained some virulence on Club Mariout and lost more on Chilean D; isolate 3 gained significantly in virulence on Oderbrucker, Speciale, and Sudan, and lost significantly on no variety; isolate 4 underwent in a more sharply defined fashion the changes that took place in isolate 3. Isolate 1 changed from UN race 40 to UN race 4; isolate 2 remained UN race 4 regardless of the changes; and isolates 3 and 4 changed from UN race 4 to UN race 2.

SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Most, if not all, varieties of barley that at one time or another had been used as differential hosts for determination of the existence of physiologic specialization in barley leaf rust (*Puccinia hordei* Otth.) were assembled and subjected to inoculation with 357 isolates of this rust, of which 115 originated in Canada and 242 in the United States. It was found that some of the varieties were not entirely adequate as differentials, some could be used interchangeably, some were essential and some critical, and others possessed auxiliary differential properties. Varieties found essential to keying out all authentic ingredient races of barley leaf rust thus far reported numbered 16; of these 16, 9 varieties were found to be critical for the identification of the con-

solidated races. The 9 critical differentials are: Speciale, Reka 1, Sudan, Bolivia, Oderbrucker, Quinn, Egypt 4, Gold, and Lechtaler.

On the basis of results of this work and a critical interpretation of previously recorded data, two dichotomous keys were constructed for the identification of physiologic races of the dwarf leaf rust of barley. One of these, the comprehensive master key, enables the tracing of all essential ingredient races described by investigators. The other, the condensed standard key, is designed for practical identification of present and future racial complexes. It is based upon the consolidation of ingredient races as determined by the reactions of the abovementioned set of 9 critical differentials. To avoid recurrence of confusion, numbers designating consolidated physiologic races have been given the prefix UN. The sequence of UN numbers is harmonized with the order of dates of publication or discovery of the physiologic races under consideration.

Physiologic races included in the condensed standard key number 52. Of these, 26 were found in the North American collections. Very few of the known physiologic races of *Puccinia hordei* have been found on more than one continent, and many have been found in only one country.

Pathogenic mutations occurred rather frequently in the course of the laboratory work of this study. Evidence suggests, also, that mutations occur under natural conditions. New physiologic races are uncovered each year in numbers that are strikingly large in proportion to the total numbers of isolates studied. Since observation of an aecial stage of the dwarf leaf rust of barley in North America has not been reported, it seems likely that the multiplicity of races existing on this continent stems from mutation.

Recent discovery of aecia of dwarf leaf rust of barley on *Ornithogalum pyrenaicum* in Britain by Dennis and Sandwith (*6*) and the finding by D'Oliveira (*17*) that many species of *Ornithogalum* contain strains congenial to *Puccinia hordei* indicate a need of further research in North America with reference to possible alternate hosts of this rust.

LITERATURE CITED

(1) Bisby, G. R.
1945. AN INTRODUCTION TO THE TAXONOMY AND NOMENCLATURE OF FUNGI. 117 pp. Imp. Mycol. Inst. Kew, Surrey.

(2) Brown, A. M.
1931. PHYSIOLOGIC SPECIALIZATION IN THE DWARF LEAF RUST OF BARLEY, PUCCINIA ANOMALA ROSTR. Canada Expt. Farms, Div. Bot. Ann. Rpt. 1929: 58–60.

(3) ———
1931. INVESTIGATIONS OF THE DWARF LEAF RUST OF BARLEY (PUCCINIA ANOMALA). Canada Expt. Farms, Div. Bot. Ann. Rpt. 1930: 56–57.

(4) ——— and Newton, M.
1929. THE DWARF LEAF RUST OF BARLEY IN WESTERN CANADA. Canada Expt. Farms, Div. Bot. Ann. Rpt. 1928: 83–84.

(5) Carleton, M. A.
1899. CEREAL RUSTS OF THE UNITED STATES: A PHYSIOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION. U. S. Dept. Agr., Div. Veg. Physiol. and Path. Bul. 16, 74 pp., illus.

(6) Dennis, R. W. G., and Sandwith, N. Y.
1948. AECIDIA OF BARLEY RUST IN BRITAIN. Nature 162: 461.

(7) Dickson, J. G.
1947. DISEASES OF FIELD CROPS. 429 pp., illus. New York and London.

(8) FREEMAN, E. M., and JOHNSON, E. C.
1911. THE RUSTS OF GRAINS IN THE UNITED STATES. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus. Bul. 216, 87 pp., illus.

(9) HEY, A.
1931. BEITRÄGE ZUR SPEZIALISIERUNG DES GERSTENZWERGROSTES, PUCCINIA SIMPLEX ERIKSS. ET HENN. Biol. Reichsanst. f. Land u. Forstw. Arb. 19 (3): [227]–261.

(10) HIRSCHHORN, J.
1933. DOS ROYAS DE LA CEBADA, NUEVAS PARA LA ARGENTINA. La Plata Univ. Nac., Facultad de Agron. Rev. 19 (3): 390–397, illus.

(11) HUMPHREY, H. B., STAKMAN, E. C., MAINS, E. B., and others.
1935. THE RUSTS OF CEREAL CROPS. U. S. Dept. Agr. Cir. 341, 27 pp., illus.

(12) JOHNSON, T., and NEWTON, M.
1946. SPECIALIZATION, HYBRIDIZATION, AND MUTATION IN THE CEREAL RUSTS. Bot. Rev. 12: 337–392.

(13) MAINS, E. B.
1926. STUDIES IN RUST RESISTANCE. Jour. Hered. 17: [312]–325, illus.

(14) ———
1930. HOST SPECIALIZATION OF BARLEY LEAF RUST, PUCCINIA ANOMALA. Phytopathology 20: 873–882, illus.

(15) ——— and MARTINI, M. L.
1932. SUSCEPTIBILITY OF BARLEY TO LEAF RUST (PUCCINIA ANOMALA) AND TO POWDERY MILDEW (ERYSIPHE GRAMINIS HORDEI). U. S. Dept. Agr. Tech. Bul. 295, 34 pp.

(16) D'OLIVEIRA, B.
1939. STUDIES ON PUCCINIA ANOMALA ROST. I. PHYSIOLOGIC RACES ON CULTIVATED BARLEYS. Ann. Appl. Biol. 26: 56–82.

(17) ———
1949. AECIDIA OF BARLEY DWARF RUST. Nature 164: 880–881.

(18) RONSDORF, L.
1934. EINIGE VERSUCHE ÜBER BIOLOGISCHE RASSEN DES GERSTENZWERGROSTES. Biol. Reichsanst. f. Land u. Forstw. Arb. 21 (1): [109]–114.

(19) ———
1935. WEITERE UNTERSUCHUNGEN ÜBER DEN NACHWEIS BIOLOGISCHER RASSEN DES GERSTENZWERGROSTES, PUCCINIA SIMPLEX ERIKSS. ET HENN. Phytopath. Ztschr. 8: [237]–243.

(20) STAKMAN, E. C., LEVINE, M. N., CHRISTENSEN, J. J., and ISENBECK, K.
1935. DIE BESTIMMUNG PHYSIOLOGISCHER RASSEN PFLANZENPATHOGENER PILZE. Nova Acta Leopoldina (n. s.) 3: [281]–330, illus.

(21) STEVENSON, J. A., and JOHNSON, A. G.
1946. THE NOMENCLATURE OF THE BARLEY LEAF RUST. U. S. Bur. Plant Indus., Soils, and Agr. Engin., Plant Dis. Rptr. 30: 372. [Processed.]

(22) STRAIB, W. VON
1937. DIE BESTIMMUNG DER PHYSIOLOGISCHEN RASSEN DES GERSTENZWERGROSTES, PUCINIA SIMPLEX (KCKE.) ERIKKS. ET HENN. Biol. Reichsanst. f. Land u. Forstw. Arb. (1936) 22 (1): [43]–63.

(23) WATERHOUSE, W. L.
1928. STUDIES IN THE INHERITANCE OF RESISTANCE TO LEAF RUST, PUCCINIA ANOMALA ROSTR., IN CROSSES OF BARLEY. I. Roy. Soc. N. S. Wales, Jour. and Proc. (1927) 61: 218–247, illus.

(24) ———
1939. SOME ASPECTS OF PROBLEMS IN BREEDING FOR RUST RESISTANCE IN CEREALS. Roy. Soc. N. S. Wales, Jour. and Proc. (1938) 72: 7–54.

(25) WINTER, G.
1884. SCHIZOMYCETEN, SACCHAROMYCETEN, UND BASIDIOMYCETEN. In Rabenhorst, L. Kryptogamen-Flora von Deutschland, Oesterreich und der Schweiz. Aufl. 2, Bd. 1 (Pilze), Abt. 1, Leipzig.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1952

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office
Washington 25, D. C. - Price 10 cents

END