


Peter H. Howard
Institute for Policy Integrity, New York University School of Law
HowardP@exchange.law.nyu.edu

and

Thomas Sterner
School of Business, Economics, and Law, University of Gothenburg

*Selected Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics
Association's
2013 AAEA & CAES Joint Annual Meeting, Washington, DC, August 4-6, 2013.*

*Copyright 2013 by Peter H. Howard and Thomas Sterner. All rights reserved. Readers may
make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided
that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.*



Institute for
Policy Integrity
new york university school of law

The Relative Price of Agriculture: The Effect of Food Security on the Social Cost of Carbon

Peter H. Howard,

Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of California, Davis

Thomas Sterner

School of Business, Economics, and Law, University of Gothenburg



NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

Introduction

- Climate change will directly affect the provision of economic and non-market goods and services.
- Food production is fundamentally a biological process. As a consequence, the agricultural sector's potential vulnerability is particularly large.
- Because food is a necessary good, climate change-driven food shortages could significantly raise food costs.
- U.S. policymakers rely on climate change models that do not reflect these fundamental differences between agriculture and other economic sectors.
- As a consequence, the U.S. may underestimate the social cost of carbon.



Photos by: Danumurthi Mahendra, Joisey Showaa, E&H, and James Bowe (from left to right)

Questions


Addressing the current shortcoming in the literature by differentiating between agriculture and other market sectors:

- How do future threats to food security from climate change affect the social cost of carbon (SCC)?
- How does climate change affect the input allocation between sectors?
- How do different agricultural damage functions affect the SCC?

Methods

- DICE-2010, an integrated assessment model developed by William Nordhuas (2010), is modified to extend the work of Sterner and Persson (2008) by dividing the aggregate consumption good in DICE into agricultural, non-market, and other market goods.
- On the consumption side, replace the isoelastic utility function with a CES utility function (nested and non-nested) to capture the change in relative prices.
- On the production side, replace the Cobb-Douglas production function with agriculture and other market good production functions to allow for substitution of resources between production activities.
- Replace the climate damage function in the original model with a separate damage function for each of the separate goods and services in the model.
- Two different damage functions: (1) agricultural damages derived from DICE-2007, and (2) agricultural damages derived from Cline (2007)

Integrated Assessment Model (IAM)



Mathematical Representation of Modification

RICE-2010

- Isoelastic utility function

$$U(C) = \frac{C^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}$$

- Production function

$$f(L, K) = \mu L^\alpha K^{1-\alpha}$$

- Damage equation

$$\Omega(t)$$

Modification of RICE

- Nested CES utility function

$$U = \frac{[(1-\gamma_2)M(X, A)^{\rho_2} + \gamma_2 E^{\rho_2}]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\rho_2}}}{1-\alpha}$$

and

$$M(X, A) = [(1-\gamma_1)X^{\rho_1} + \gamma_1 A^{\rho_1}]^{\frac{1}{\rho_1}}$$

- Production functions

$$g(L_x, K_x) = \mu_x L_x^{\alpha_x} K_x^{1-\alpha_x}$$

$$h(L_A, K_A) = \mu_A L_A^{\alpha_A} K_A^{1-\alpha_A}$$

- Damage equations


$$\Omega_x(t), \Omega_A(t), \text{ and } \Omega_E(t)$$

Key Parameter Assumptions

- Elasticities of substitution = 0.5
- Share parameters = 0.1
- Elasticity of total output with respect to capital = 0.65
- Elasticity of agricultural output with respect to capital = 0.35

Results – DICE 2010 Agricultural Damage Estimates

- The social cost of carbon increases from \$38 in DICE-2010 to between \$43 and \$70



- The savings rate only differs in the initial years
- The investment rate in agriculture and the amount of labor allocated to agriculture are robust to the utility form
- These results would differ under different parameter assumptions

Citations

Cline, W. R. 2007. "Global warming and agriculture: Impact estimates by country." Peterson Institute.
 Nordhaus, W. D. 2010. "Economic aspects of global warming in a post-Copenhagen environment." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107(26):11721-11726.
 Sterner, T., and U.M. Persson. 2008. "An Even Sterner Review: Introducing Relative Prices into the Discounting Debate." *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy* 2(1):61-76.