

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library


# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.



## Statistics on International Development

#### 2003 Edition

#### **Key Statistics for 2002/03**

£3313m, an increase of 14 per cent over 2001/02. However expenditure for 2001/02 is understated following the move to resource accounting (see glossary for details) and a comparable increase is estimated at nearer 8 per cent. £1813m (or 55 per cent) of this was bilateral assistance and £1409m (43 per cent) was channelled through multilateral organisations. The remaining £90m was spent on administration.

Humanitarian assistance totalled £389m, £292m of which was spent bilaterally and £97m multilaterally. The top five bilateral recipients were Afghanistan (£44m), Ethiopia (£27m), Zimbabwe (£23m), Iraq (£19m), and Sudan (£16m). A further £72m was spent in just 20 countries. In addition, a contribution of £17m was made to the International Committee of the Red Cross. The UK spent a total of £88m in response to the Southern Africa food crisis.

The proportion of bilateral assistance, excluding humanitarian assistance, going to low income countries increased to **80** per cent, reaching the target for 2002/03 set out in DFID's Public Service Agreement for 2001-2004.

The top five bilateral recipients were **India** (£161m), **Tanzania** (96m), **Bangladesh** (£77m), **Afghanistan** (£75m) and **Ghana** (£56m).

**48** per cent of bilateral assistance was spent in Sub Saharan Africa with **37** per cent going to Asia.

£223m of bilateral assistance was channelled through civil society organisations, including £94m of humanitarian assistance.

© Crown copyright 2003 First published – October 2003

Brief extracts from this publication may be reproduced provided the source is fully acknowledged. Proposals for reproduction of larger extracts should be addressed to the Statistical Reporting and Support Group, Department for International Development (DFID) at the address given below.

ISBN 1861925727 ISSN 0068-1210

#### **Further information**

DFID welcomes any comments on the presentation and the data contained in this edition. In many cases more detailed information is available on request and we shall try to help with any queries or special requests for information. Comments, enquiries and requests for copies of this publication should be addressed in the first instance to:

Statistical Reporting and Support Group Tel: (0)1355 84 3356
Abercrombie House Fax: (0)1355 84 3262

Eaglesham Road GTN: 7243 3356

EAST KILBRIDE e-mail: <a href="mailto:statsmis@dfid.gov.uk">statsmis@dfid.gov.uk</a>

G75 8EA

**Cover:** Faces of Asia – nearly 500 million people in South Asia live on less than \$1 a day, three quarters of them in India.

(Cover Graphics: Stand Ltd)

#### A National Statistics publication

Official statistics bearing the National Statistics logo are produced to high professional standards set out in the National Statistics Code of Practice. They undergo regular quality assurance reviews to ensure that they meet customer needs.

Non-DFID statistics reproduced in this publication are the most comprehensive and comparable data available and are taken from reputable sources such as the World Bank, UN and OECD.

#### **CONTENTS**

Introduc	tion	Page
For	reword	1
Dia	gram of the Various Aggregates of Aid	3
Re	ationship between the Various Aggregates of Aid	4
Sui	mmary table of British External Assistance 2002/03 and 2002	5
Ma	p showing the Classification of Partner Countries	6
Indicator	s of Development Progress	10
1.1	Income Poverty and Population	26
1.2	Education	34
1.3	Maternal and Child Health	42
1.4	Communicable Diseases	50
1.5	Gender	58
1.6	Environment and Infrastructure	66
1.7	International Linkages	74
1.8	Other Economic Indicators	82
1.9	Aid Flows	90
Summar	y Tables	
2.1	DFID External Assistance Programmes: 1978/79-2002/03 (Current Prices)	98
2.2	DFID Programmes: 1978/79-2002/03 (Constant 2002/03 Prices)	100
3	Gross Public Expenditure on Development 1998/99-2002/03	102
4	Bilateral Aid by Income Group	104
5	Bilateral Aid by Region	106
6	Bilateral Aid: Top Twenty Recipient Countries	108
Main Tab	vies	
7	Bilateral Aid by Country (Summary)	110
7.1	Bilateral Aid by Country (Africa)	115
7.2	Bilateral Aid by Country (America)	127
7.3	Bilateral Aid by Country (Asia)	137

	7.4	Bilateral Aid by Country (Europe)	146
	7.5	Bilateral Aid to the Pacific	152
	8	Gross Public Expenditure on Multilateral Contributions	154
	9	Bilateral Aid by Sector	158
	9.1	Bilateral Aid by Sector: Regional Breakdown for 2002/03	165
	10	Humanitarian Assistance	166
	11	Bilateral Humanitarian Assistance: Top Ten Recipient Countries	167
	12	DFID Programme: Bilateral Technical Co-operation	168
	13	DFID Programme: Bilateral Grants and other Aid in Kind	169
	14	DFID Expenditure through UK CSOs 2002/03	170
Debt F	Relief		172
	15.1	DFID Debt Relief by Country	177
	15.2	Other Debt Re-organisation by Country	179
Intern	ationa	l Comparisons	
	16	UK Official and Private Flows to Recipient Countries	182
	16.1	UK Total Net Flows to Developing Countries: 1978-2002	183
	17	World Aid Flows – Net Official Development Assistance to Developing	184
		Countries and Official Aid to Other Countries	
	18	Net Official Development Assistance by Income Group 2001	186
Policy	Inforn	nation Marker System (PIMS)	188
	19	New PIMS marked Bilateral Commitments 2002/03 Poverty Aim and Policy Objective Markers by region	192
	20	New PIMS marked Bilateral Commitments 2002/03 Policies and actions which promote sustainable livelihoods	194
Notes			
	Concep	ts, Sources and Methods	198
	Glossar	у	201
	Abbrevi	ations	217
	Political	and Economic Status of Aid Recipient Countries	218
	Other S	ources of Development Statistics and International Indicators	221

#### **INDEX OF FIGURES**

Summary Tables		Page
Figure 2.1	DFID Programmes 2002/03 DFID Programmes & Bilateral/Multilateral Split	98
Figure 2.2	DFID Programmes 1978/79-2002/03 Bilateral and Multilateral Assistance (Current Prices)	99
Figure 2.3	DFID Programmes 1978/79-2002/03 Bilateral and Multilateral Assistance (Constant 2001/02 prices)	100
Figure 2.4	Bilateral and Multilateral Assistance as a percentage of DFID Programmes 1978/79-2002/03	101
Figure 4.1	DFID Programme to all recipient countries by income group	105
Figure 4.2	DFID Programme 2002/03 to all recipient countries (excluding Humanitarian Assistance) by Income Group	105
Figure 5.1	DFID Programme 2002/03 to all recipient countries by region	107
Figure 5.2	DFID Programme to all recipient countries (excluding Humanitarian Assistance) by Region	107
Main Tables		
Figure 7	DFID Programme: Bilateral Aid in 2002/03 by Form of Aid	110
Figure 8.1	DFID Multilateral Programme 2002/03	153
Figure 8.2	DFID Multilateral Programme 1998/99-2002/03	153
Figure 10	Humanitarian Assistance 2002/03 by Channel	166
Figure 11.1	Bilateral Humanitarian Assistance 2002/03 by Region	167
Figure 11.2	Bilateral Humanitarian Assistance – Top Ten Recipients 2002/03	167
International Com	parisons	
Figure 16.1	Net oda/GNI ratios for the UK 1978-2002	183
Figure 17.1	Net oda from DAC Donors to Developing Countries 2002	185
Figure 17.2	Net oda to Developing Countries as % of GNI 2002	185

#### **Policy Information Marker System (PIMS)**

Figure 19	Poverty Aim Marker and Policy Objective Marker	193
Figure 20.1	New PIMS marked Bilateral Commitments 2002/03	195

#### Notes to tables:

1. '-' means nil

'0' means less than half the final digit shown

'..' means not available 'n/a' means not applicable

- 2. Figures are rounded to the nearest unit, therefore they may not add exactly to the rounded totals.
- 3. In Tables 1.1-1.8, baseline data are given for some indicators. This is the 1990 position. Only data relating to 1995 or later are included for the most recent position. Otherwise, they are shown as '..' ie not available.

#### **FOREWORD**

#### Introduction

This is the 38<sup>th</sup> edition of *Statistics on International Development (SID*, formerly known as *British Aid Statistics*), which is produced annually. It reports on development progress in individual aid recipient countries and on the deployment of official UK financial resources to support such progress.

- 2. The White Papers on International Development published in 1997 and 2000 made the elimination of poverty the central focus of DFID's work and committed us to the pursuit of internationally agreed targets to this end. *SID* has been developed to reflect the evolving development agenda and DFID's role within this.
- 3. SID provides details of total external assistance, both bilateral and through multilateral agencies, from all official UK sources. The statistics are presented mainly on a financial year (April-March) basis, with the exception of the international comparisons, which are based Development Assistance Committee (DAC) tables prepared on a calendar year basis. Most of the tables cover a five-year period with longer time series of the main aid aggregates shown in Table 2. A consistent back series is available from Statistical Reporting and Support Group for those tables showing only a five-year run.

4. This edition incorporates new statistics, mainly covering the 2002/03 financial year and calendar year 2002. As a result of the Spending Review announced in July 2002, the development programme budget is set to rise to £4.6 billion in 2005/06. The Government has also undertaken to ensure that the ratio of official development assistance to GNI will rise to 0.40 per cent by the same year.

#### Move to resource accounting

5. DFID has moved to Resource Accounting but in line with international reporting practices, *SID* continues to be produced on a cash basis. This understated aid flows in the statistics for 2001/02 (see glossary for details) but figures are now back to their true levels. In particular, the proportion of the bilateral programme (excluding humanitarian assistance) going to low income countries has increased to 80 per cent in 2002/03, meeting a key target of DFID's Public Service Agreement for 2001-04.

# Indicators of development progress

6. The Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) provide a set of internationally agreed objectives for development. The individual goals are derived from a series of United Nation conferences held during the 1990s. These were brought together as the MDGs in the Millennium Declaration, signed

by 189 nations in September 2000. There are eight goals with 18 targets and 48 proposed indicators for monitoring progress (listed on pages 23-24). Of these, 31 are outcome indicators against the first seven goals. The eighth goal: Develop a Global Partnership for Development has 17 indicators of the means of achievement of the MDGs. Available information for most of the indicators for the first seven goals is given in Table 1 and the "Indicators of Development Progress" section in *SID*. Any new indicators will be incorporated into future editions as appropriate.

- 7. Table 1 contains nine sub tables (Tables 1.1-1.9). The first eight cover Income Poverty and Population, Education, Maternal and Child Health. Communicable Diseases. Gender. Environment Infrastructure, International Linkages and Other Economic Indicators. The tables are similar to those in last year's edition with the main changes being separate tables for Education and Gender, two tables for Health, and the inclusion of additional indicators for females in the labour force, unemployment, trade in goods and internet Where possible, trend data are included to show progress from 1990, the baseline date for the MDGs, to the current date.
- 8. For Goal 8, Table 1.9 provides details of DAC donors' assistance to individual countries while Tables 16-18 give global figures. The figures in Table 1 are the most comprehensive and comparable data available and come from reputable sources such as the World Bank, UN and


OECD. The section also contains a short commentary on progress against the MDGs with a focus on Gender Equality, Goal 3, as it contains a milestone objective for 2005, the first target date of all the MDGs. Despite the good progress made in narrowing the gender gap in school enrolment over the past two decades, the analysis shows that the 2005 target is likely to be missed, and significant changes in girls' education will be required in many countries to achieve the 2015 target.

#### Changes to input sector codes

9. DFID has revised its list of input sector codes to better reflect the areas in which it now works. The new list is much shorter and covers eight broad sectors: economic, education, health, governance, social, humanitarian assistance, rural livelihoods and environment. All DFID's projects have been reclassified against the new set and a breakdown of bilateral expenditure by sector is given in Tables 9 and 9.1.

#### Change to Policy Information Marker System (PIMS)


10. PIMS enables DFID to measure the extent to which projects and programmes are being targeted on key policy areas. The marker set has been revised to focus on the MDGs and now contains only 14 markers. Other features of the system (see pages 188-191) remain the same. The new system came into operation on 1 October 2002 and Table 20 shows commitments made in 2002/03 on the new basis.



#### THE VARIOUS AGGREGATES OF AID

External assistance from the UK is provided by various public sources but mainly from DFID programmes. It is managed on a financial year basis in "gross" terms. The UK reports its official flow of resources to developing countries (those on Part 1 of the DAC List) to the OECD's Development Assistance Committee (DAC) on a calendar year basis separately as official development assistance (oda) and other official flows (oof). Flows to countries on Part II of the DAC List are reported to DAC as official aid (oa) or oof.

The relationship between the component parts of DFID programmes, gross public expenditure on development, gross oda, oof and oa is shown below.



<sup>1.</sup> From 1994-1997, contributions to the Global Environment Facility were 84% oda and 16% non-aid. From 1998 to 2001, they were 75% oda and 25% oa. From 2002, they are 77% oda and 23% oa. Since 1994, contributions to the Montreal Protocol have been 100% oda.

BRITISH EXTERNAL ASSISTANCE	£ mi	£ million			
	2002/03	2002			
EBRD promissory notes - deposits		23.9			
Aid to countries on Part II of the DAC List from other central government departments	10.9	10.9			
CDC investments - concessional loans to countries on Part II of the DAC List	-	-			
Attribution of EC budgetary spending on aid to countries on Part II of the DAC List	252.0	252.0			
Aid to countries on Part II of the DAC List from DFID voted funds	71.1	57.7			
Portion of Global Environmental Assistance reckoned as aid to countries on Part II of the DAC List	4.7	-			
TOTAL OFFICIAL AID TO COUNTRIES ON PART II OF THE DAC LIST (oa)	338.7	<u>344.4</u>			
of which: DFID programme	327.8				
CDC Exchequer advances	-				
Portion of Global Environmental Assistance reckoned as aid for developing countries	22.5	5.4			
Portion of UK subscription to Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) and UNESCO reckoned as aid for developing countries	9.5	9.6			
World Bank and Regional Development Bank (excluding EBRD) promissory notes - encashments	323.1				
Other bilateral and multilateral aid for developing countries from DFID voted funds	2055.0	1945.6			
Attribution of EC budgetary spending on aid for developing countries	462.3	462.3			
Official debt cancellation - interest and principal	22.4	_2			
TOTAL DFID PROGRAMME OF AID FOR DEVELOPING COUNTRIES	2894.7				
DFID administrative costs	90.4	186.7			
TOTAL DFID PROGRAMME OF AID	3313.0				
FAO and UNESCO (non-aid)	15.9				
TOTAL DFID EXTERNAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMMES	3328.9				
Official debt re-organisation	396.4	393.7			
	150.1	150.1			
(including administrative costs) CDC investments - equity and concessional loans to developing countries	150.9	146.6			
CDC investments - non concessional loans	48.3	54.7			
TOTAL GROSS PUBLIC EXPENDITURE ON DEVELOPMENT	4069.6				
ECGD interest stabilisation grants		64.9			
World Bank and Regional Development Bank (excluding EBRD) promissory notes - deposits		68.6			
TOTAL GROSS OFFICIAL FLOWS OF AID TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES		<u>3488.1</u>			
of which: gross official development assistance (oda)		3368.6			
gross other official flows (oof) to developing countries		119.5			
Gross other official flows (oof) to countries on Part II of the DAC List		-			

<sup>1.</sup> On annual basis

<sup>2.</sup> Based on lump sum reporting

### DAC LIST OF AID RECIPIENTS – as at 1 January 2002

				es and Territories in on (official aid)
	Developing Countries and Official development assis		CEECs/NIS	More Advanced Developing Countries and Territories
Afghanistan Albania Algeria Angola Anguilla	Gambia Georgia Ghana Grenada Guatemala	Pakistan Palau Islands Panama Papua New Guinea Paraguay	Belarus Bulgaria Czech Republic Estonia Hungary	Bahamas Bermuda British Virgin Islands Brunei Cayman Islands
Antigua & Barbuda Argentina Armenia Azerbaijan Bahrain	Guinea Guinea-Bissau Guyana Haiti Honduras	Peru Philippines Pitcairn Rwanda Samoa (Western)	Latvia Lithuania Poland Romania Russia	Cyprus Falkland Islands French Polynesia Gibraltar Hong Kong
Bangladesh Barbados Belize Benin Bhutan	India Indonesia Iran Iraq Jamaica	Sao Tome & Principe Saudi Arabia Senegal Serbia & Montenegro Seychelles	Slovakia Ukraine	Israel Korea (South) Kuwait Libya New Caledonia
Bolivia Bosnia & Herzegovina Botswana Brazil Burkina Faso	Jordan Kazakhstan Kenya Kiribati Korea (North)	Sierra Leone Slovenia <sup>1</sup> Solomon Islands Somalia South Africa		Northern Marianas Qatar Singapore Taiwan United Arab Emirates
Burma Burundi Cambodia Cameroon Cape Verde	Kyrgzstan Laos Lebanon Lesotho Liberia	Sri Lanka St Helena & Dependencies St Kitts-Nevis St Lucia St Vincent		
Central African Republic Chad Chile China Colombia	Macedonia (FYR of) Madagascar Malawi Malaysia Maldives	Sudan Surinam Swaziland Syria Tajikistan		
Comoros Congo Congo (Dem Rep) Cook Islands Costa Rica	Mali <mark>Malta<sup>1</sup></mark> Marshall Islands Mauritania Mauritius	Tanzania Thailand Togo Tokelau Tonga		
Côte d'Ivoire Croatia Cuba Djibouti Dominica	Mexico Micronesia, Fed States Moldova Mongolia Montserrat	Trinidad & Tobago Tunisia Turkey Turkmenistan Turks & Caicos Islands		
Dominican Republic East Timor Ecuador Egypt El Salvador	Morocco Mozambique Namibia Nauru Nepal	Tuvalu Uganda Uruguay Uzbekistan Vanuatu		
Equatorial Guinea Eritrea Ethiopia Fiji Gabon	Nicaragua Nieu Niger Nigeria Oman	Venezuela Vietnam Wallis & Futuna West Bank & Gaza Strip Yemen		
		Zambia Zimbabwe		

<sup>1.</sup> These countries transferred to Part II on 1 January 2003.

#### **Indicators of Development Progress**

- 1.1 Income Poverty and Population
- 1.2 Education
- 1.3 Maternal and Child Health
- 1.4 Communicable Diseases
- 1.5 Gender
- 1.6 Environment and Infrastructure
- 1.7 International Linkages
- 1.8 Other Economic Indicators
- 1.9 Aid Flows

#### PROGRESS TOWARDS THE MILLENNIUM DEVELOPMENT GOALS

The Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) provide a set of internationally agreed objectives for development. The individual goals are derived from a series of United Nation conferences held during the 1990s. These were brought together as the MDGs in the Millennium Declaration, signed by 189 September 2000.<sup>1</sup> nations in unprecedented level of international support provides a real opportunity for all countries to work together to eliminate poverty, focused on a set of clear, measurable objectives. Meeting these goals will make a real difference to the lives of the poor by reducing absolute poverty, ensuring a basic level of education for all, reducing preventable deaths and disease and ensuring a sustainable environment. The Department for International Development (DFID) has put the MDGs at the centre of its work, and is working to put the goals at the heart of the rest of the international communities' development drive.

The full list of 8 goals, and their associated 18 targets and 48 indicators, are on pages 23-24. This article sets out current progress towards the MDGs. Part 1 gives an overview of all the goals. Part 2 provides a more detailed analysis of progress towards the gender goal of promoting gender equality and empowering

<sup>1</sup> For further information about the Declaration refer to: http://ods-dds-

women. This goal contains a target for 2005, the first milestone among all the MDGs.

## PART 1: AN OVERVIEW OF PROGRESS TOWARDS THE MDGs

The sections below give information on regional and global progress towards some of the targets set for 2015. While the goals are usually considered individually, they are mutually reinforcing and some of their interactions are also highlighted. This analysis is drawn mainly from the annual reports coordinated by the UN Statistics Department and used by the UN Secretary-General to report on global progress towards the Millennium Declaration.

While the following commentary focuses on progress towards a selection of measurable targets, it should be noted that most of the targets are only a first step towards the overall goal of poverty elimination.

The 2003 Human Development Report noted that, if the progress experienced during the 1990s continues, the only targets to be met by 2015 will be those to halve absolute poverty and the proportion of people without access to safe water. Other targets, including universal primary schooling, gender equality in school, reductions in child and maternal mortality and combating HIV/AIDS, will require a significant increase in effort to be realised. However, the


ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N00/559/51/PDF/N0 055951.pdf?OpenElement

picture is not universally negative. Individual countries have demonstrated that substantial progress can be made with the appropriate policies and political will, providing hope for the future.

Action is required by developed as well as developing countries if the 2015 targets are to be met. In a very real sense a global development partnership is needed which is recognised by Goal 8. Developed countries have made progress towards this goal by increasing development assistance, access to

markets and debt relief, but again further effort is needed.

The chart below illustrates the progress countries are making towards some MDGs. It demonstrates how uneven this progress is whilst underlining the lack of information on some key indicators. These data problems restrict our ability to assess progress and substantial improvements to the information base will be critical to sustain commitment to, and help deliver, the goals.



Targets (all for 2015, unless otherwise stated, from a baseline of 1990): Source: World Bank 2003

<u>Malnutrition</u>: Halve child malnutrition <u>Completion</u>: 100% primary completion

Gender: Equality in primary and secondary enrolment by 2005

Child: Reduce under 5 mortality rates by two thirds

<u>Births</u>: Reduce maternal mortality by three quarters (assessed using births attended by skilled

professionals)

Measles: Have halted spread of measles

Water: Have halved proportion without access to safe water

The chart presents assessments by the World Bank based on a country's progress over the past decade or, in some cases, level of attainment.


# Goal 1: Eliminate absolute poverty and hunger

Ensuring people have enough income to meet their basic needs is an essential aspect of eliminating poverty and has a direct impact on most of the other goals. The first MDG target aims to halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people whose income is less than \$1 a day. The World Bank estimates that the proportion of such people in developing countries fell from 30 per cent in 1990 to 23 per cent in 1999. If growth continues on this track then the target will be met, although achieving this will require continuing improvements in the policy environment. While the global MDG target will be met, progress has not been uniform across the world. The rapid progress made in East Asia and the Pacific will do nothing to alleviate the poverty levels in sub-Saharan Africa, where the poverty rate rose from 47 per cent in 1990 to 49 per cent in 1999 and the numbers living in extreme poverty increased by 74 million.

## Goal 2: Achieve universal primary education

Access to a basic education is a human right and gives people the opportunity to fully participate in society and lift themselves out of poverty. There are currently around 115 million children of primary school age not enrolled in school, the majority living in developing countries. Limited progress has been made over the last decade and a substantial effort is required to achieve universal primary schooling by the target date of 2015, particularly in the least developed countries.

Globally the percentage of children of primary school age enrolled in school increased from 82 to 84 per cent between 1990 and 2000. The lowest levels of enrolment occur in the Arab States and sub-Saharan Africa. Eighteen of the 25 countries with enrolment rates below 70 per cent are in sub-Saharan Africa.



Source: World Development Indicators (WDI) 2003. Projections are based on World Bank forecasts. \* The international \$1 a day standard is measured in 1993 international prices and adjusted for local currency using purchasing power parities.

# Goal 3: Promote gender equality and empower women


The 2005 milestone to eliminate gender disparity in primary and secondary schools is rapidly approaching and unlikely to be met in many countries. The 2015 target to eliminate gender disparities at all levels of education is still achievable but requires significant changes to policies, practices and attitudes to girls' education. A more detailed analysis of progress towards this goal is given in Part 2.

#### Goal 4: Reduce child mortality

On current trends the rate of child mortality will fall far short of the target of a reduction of

two-thirds between 1990 and 2015 as the under five mortality rates fell by only 13 per cent between 1990 and 2001. As a result some 10 million children each year still die before the age of five, 40 per cent within the first month of life. Over half of these deaths occur as a result of four easily preventable diseases - pneumonia, diarrhoea, malaria and measles - and HIV infection. A contributory factor in more than 60 per cent of deaths is malnutrition.

The main reason the global target is off track is slow progress in sub-Saharan Africa which accounts for over half of all deaths. All other regions are making solid progress.



#### Goal 5: Improve maternal health

More than half a million women of reproductive age die each year as a result of complications during pregnancy Ninety-nine per cent of these childbirth. deaths occur in developing countries, a quarter in India alone. The target of reducing maternal mortality rates by three quarters between 1990 and 2015 will not be met on current trends, mainly because of a lack of progress in sub-Saharan Africa. Even more worryingly, latest estimates suggest that maternal mortality in developing countries has barely improved in recent years and deteriorated in parts of Africa.

Measuring maternal mortality is difficult so an indicator of good practice, the proportion of women giving birth with the assistance of skilled health staff, is used as an alternative measure of progress. Encouragingly, the use of such staff in the developing world increased from 42 per cent in 1990 to 52 per cent in 2000. But sub-Saharan Africa again lagged with an increase of only 3 per cent during the period, reaching 43 per cent.


## Goal 6: Combat HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases

Epidemic diseases such as HIV hit the poor hardest. They reduce productivity and income, orphan children, reduce life expectancy and sicken younger age groups. Poverty in turn contributes to the spread of diseases as a lack of education and poor

nutrition exacerbate health problems. The increase in HIV/AIDS continues. During 2002 there were 5 million new HIV infections worldwide, the same as in 2001, and by the end of the year an estimated 42 million people globally were living with the disease. Sub-Saharan Africa and Eastern Europe were particularly badly affected and in some African countries adult prevalence rates were over 30 per cent. In other countries, particularly in Asia and the Pacific, low national prevalence rates mask localised epidemics. Assuming current trends continue, the 2015 target to halt and begin to reverse, the spread of HIV/AIDS is unlikely to be met.

# Goal 7: Ensure environmental sustainability

The loss of natural resources and the degradation that insensitive economic development can have on the environment exacerbates poverty. This goal focuses on environmental conditions, covering access to water, sanitation and energy use.



Source: WDI 2003

During the 1990s nearly one billion people gained access to an improved water source. If this progress continues then the target of halving the proportion of people without access to an improved water source is within reach. Even so, no progress was made in the least developed countries and in 2000, 1.1 billion people still lacked access to improved drinking water.

## Goal 8: Develop a global partnership for development

Official development assistance (oda) declined throughout the last decade, falling to 0.22 per cent of donors' gross national incomes in 2001. This is well below the official UN target of 0.7 per cent. The preliminary figure for 2002 is 0.23 per cent suggesting the decline has begun to reverse.

UK oda is expected to increase from £3.4 billion in 2002/03 to £4.9 billion by 2005/06 in line with the Government's commitment to achieve a ratio of 0.40 per cent by that year.

Progress has also been made in improving access to markets and on debt relief. A number of market-opening measures have been implemented by countries such as Australia, Canada, Japan and Norway which complement initiatives in 2001 by the EC and the US. The Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) initiative has resulted in an acceleration of debt relief for many least developed countries. However key challenges remain. World Bank estimates

suggest developing countries would gain around \$125 billion if all trade barriers were eliminated - more than four times the annual volume of aid worldwide - and many HIPC countries remain vulnerable to external shocks.

# PART 2: A FOCUS ON PROGRESS TOWARDS GOAL 3, GENDER EQUALITY AND THE EMPOWERMENT OF WOMEN

The gender target is to 'eliminate gender disparity in primary and secondary education preferably by 2005 and to all levels of education no later than 2015'. The 2005 target date is the first within the MDGs and is rapidly approaching. In light of this the remainder of this article will focus on progress towards this goal.

As well as looking at the progress made, this part also aims to highlight the role of information in meeting the goal and some of the problems caused by the limitations of current data. The first section provides a global analysis based mainly on the internationally agreed MDG indicators. This is followed by a case study for one particular country, Rwanda, which illustrates how a wider range of data is needed to provide a fuller picture of progress at the national level to identify constraints and inform policy.

## What is the role of gender equality in development?

The empowerment of women is an essential precondition to development and the upholding of human rights. This was well articulated by the 2002 Education For All Monitoring Report: 'the world has now reached a consensus that no country's development can be judged satisfactory if women do not fully participate in community life, society and in work'.


The importance of gender equality in development was formally acknowledged by including a gender goal as one of the eight MDGs. However, despite this international commitment, large disparities still exist in the opportunities available to men and women across the world. In many developing countries girls and women remain the poorest, least healthy, worst educated, and most marginalised members of society. Worldwide over 60 per cent of the illiterate population are female, and women occupy only a third of jobs outside agriculture and only 15 per cent of seats in national parliaments.

The 2005 and 2015 targets aim to achieve parity in education as a significant step towards the empowerment of women. This recognises the fundamental role of education in achieving gender equality. As well as being an important human right, a good quality education is key to enabling girls to develop their potential and become full and equal members of society.

Not only is gender equality a goal in itself, it is also key to reaching many of the other MDGs. Research has shown that education for girls is the single most effective way of reducing poverty. Women with even a few years of basic education have smaller, healthier families, are more likely to be able to work their way out of poverty, and are more likely to send their own daughters and sons to school. Educating girls therefore, contributes to reducing child and maternal mortality and the spread of HIV/AIDS as well as achieving education for all.

## Are we on track to meet the 2005 target?

The first milestone is to eliminate gender differences in enrolment in primary and secondary schools by 2005. The following chart shows significant improvements during the 1980s and 1990s. However, the rate of progress will not be enough to meet the target. Assuming current trends continue, there will still be only around 9 girls for every 10 boys enrolled in primary and secondary schools in developing countries in 2005.



Dashed lines indicate predictions assuming progress since 1990 continues; Raw data from WDI 2003

The map on the next page indicates the countries likely to have achieved gender parity in primary school by 2005 assuming progress over the last five years continues. It highlights the uneven progress being made towards the target across individual countries. On current trends the 2005 target is not on track to be met in over a quarter of countries, primarily in Africa, the Arab states and South Asia.

The situation is likely to be worse in secondary schools, for where there are disparities at primary level these tend to be amplified at secondary level. Out of the countries which currently have less than 90


girls per 100 boys enrolled in primary school, around two-thirds have larger gender gaps at secondary compared to primary level. However an accurate analysis of progress towards the secondary target is not possible due to a break in the time series of internationally comparable secondary enrolment data in 1997. Recent trends cannot be established with any accuracy, presenting a serious limitation to assessing progress towards this goal.

Nevertheless, progress in some individual countries show that large improvements can be made in a relatively short space of time.

#### Improving parity in school: success stories

In 1990 in Bangladesh there was only one girl enrolled in secondary school for every two boys. By 1999 gender parity had been achieved.

In Guinea the ratio of girls to boys in primary school rose from only 5 girls enrolled for every 10 boys in 1995 to 7 in 10 by 1999.



On track for 2005: Countries which will have achieved at least 94 girls enrolled in primary school per 100 boys assuming rate of progress between 1995 and 2000 continues to 2005.

On track for 2015: Countries which will have achieved at least 94 girls enrolled in primary school per 100 boys assuming rate of progress between 1995 and 2000 continues to 2015.

<u>Seriously off track</u>: Countries which will not have achieved 94 girls per 100 boys in primary by 2015 assuming trend between 1995 and 2000 continues.

A gender parity index of 0.94 was chosen as being acceptably close to the goal of 1.0 given the likely margins of error of the data and taking into account the gender gap in the underlying population. Analysis based on data from WDI 2003

# Are we at least on track for the 2015 target?

There is still time to achieve gender parity in primary and secondary education by 2015. However, based on the analysis of current trends illustrated in the above map, gender equality in primary education is not on track to be achieved by 2015 in over 30 countries. In these countries substantial changes to current practices, attitudes and policies towards girls' education will be needed to meet the target.

It is important to note that parity in primary education needs to be achieved much sooner than 2015 if the secondary education target is to be met. Girls need to have completed primary school before starting secondary school. As a result, in most countries parity in primary school would need to be achieved 6-8 years before 2015, in other words by around 2008, to ensure parity in secondary schools by the target date.

Understandably, the current focus is on the 2005 target and on primary and secondary enrolment. However, progress towards the whole 2015 target, which includes higher levels of education (tertiary education), should not be neglected. This is significant as further education allows women greater opportunities in the work place. It can also contribute to improving equality at lower levels of education as it results in more female role models in society which helps to convince families that an investment in girls' education is worthwhile.


Differences between countries in gender parity in tertiary education are considerably more pronounced than in secondary and primary education. This is often due to the tiny number of girls and boys enrolled overall. Many countries now have far more girls in tertiary education than boys. However, at the opposite end of the scale there are a significant number of, mainly lower income countries, with extremely low proportions of females attending higher levels of education. In the bottom 15 countries less than one girl for every three boys is enrolled in tertiary education; 12 of these countries are in Africa.

Progress needs to be accelerated now towards all aspects of the 2015 target - primary, secondary and tertiary education - to ensure that the target stays within reach.

## What about progress towards the wider goal?

The ultimate aim is gender equality and the empowerment of women throughout society. For this to be achieved, women need to have equal opportunities in the work place, be treated equally by the legal system, have equal access to public services, and have an equal voice in decisions both at home and in society more generally. Information on many of these areas is rarely available. Mainly because of this, the MDG indicators chosen to monitor progress towards the empowerment of women are limited to parity in the workplace and parliament.

The following graph highlights the large gender differentials which still exist for women in society and at work and, perhaps even more worrying, suggests virtually no improvement over the 1990s. In fact, the full extent of inequality is likely to be even worse. A true assessment of gender inequality would require global information on quality and level of work and earnings, data on which are currently unavailable.



Sources: WDI 2003 (labour force); ILO 2003 (non-agric employment); IPU (Parliament seats)

# What are the obstacles to achieving gender equality?

The causes of inequalities between men and women are often deeply rooted in society and need to be tackled across the board in economic, political, social and cultural life. Strong and sustained political commitment to improve opportunities for women is essential. This is born out by a recent DFID analysis of obstacles to addressing inequalities in the education sector. These included: the lack of good information and analysis on the extent and causes of gender inequality to inform national making; policy central policy commitments to gender equality not being implemented at the local level through 'policy evaporation' where good policy intentions fail

to be followed through in practice; and the lack of a consistent and coordinated focus on gender issues across all sectors.

Focusing on the first obstacle, the problem of a poor evidence base has been highlighted as a key constraint by several other recent publications including the 2002 Education for All Monitoring Report and the UN Millennium Project paper on gender. Even when data are collected, it is not consistently analysed by sex, disseminated or used to inform policy and practice. Improving national and international capacity collect and analyse sexdisaggregated data should be one of the priorities if the international community is serious about eliminating gender inequality.

#### The country study

The previous sections discussed progress towards the gender MDG based on the international MDG indicators. This type of global analysis is useful for measuring overall trends and highlighting successes and areas of concern. It has an important role in maintaining public and political commitment to achieving the goal. However, an analysis based on a small number of international indicators clearly has its limitations.

Within a country a much wider set of data is needed to inform national policy setting and monitor progress. The Rwanda study illustrates this by drawing on additional data to provide a more complete picture of gender inequality in education than is possible from just the MDG indicators. It also highlights the difference between meeting the targets and achieving the goal. Rwanda has achieved the 2005 target but will still require a substantial and continued commitment to gender equality to achieve the 2015 target and ultimately the goal.

#### **COUNTRY STUDY: GENDER INEQUALITIES IN EDUCATION IN RWANDA**

Rwanda's development context is framed by the 1990-94 war and genocide which exacerbated existing gender inequalities. It left a legacy of women and girl headed households, with extreme trauma and heavy economic burdens falling particularly on women. As a result, gender issues have a high political profile and commitment. There has been significant work in key areas such as land inheritance, women's representation in politics and the constitution. However there is still a challenge in getting these policy commitments effectively translated into practical action.

#### Selected education indicators by sex in Rwanda, circa 2000

	Male	Female	Index (F/M)
MDG indicators			
1. % primary enrolment	49.8	50.2	1.0
2. % secondary enrolment	50.5	49.5	1.0
3. % tertiary enrolment	66	34	0.5
4. % literate 15-24 year olds	51	49	1.0
Selected national indicators	<u>'</u>		
5. % passing primary exams	29	18	0.6
6. % passing lower secondary exams	56	29	0.5
7. % dropping out of primary school	8	15	1.9
8. % repeating final grade of primary school	68	73	1.1
9. Number in science and tech courses at National University	277	33	0.1

Sources: Ministry of Education (indicators 1-3, 5-6), Rwanda Directorate of Statistics (4), The Rwandan Gender Policy Paper, 2003 (7), GoR/WB report on Education in Rwanda 2003 (8), AFRO news release, 2002 (9).

Rwanda has already made a significant step towards gender equality by meeting the 2005 target. The data in the above table shows near parity in enrolment in primary and secondary schools. However other indicators highlight large differences in the quality of education received by girls and boys, and in enrolment in higher education.

One striking inequality is the disparity between the performance of girls and boys. Boys overwhelmingly outperform girls in examinations throughout primary and secondary school. This is accompanied by higher drop out and repetition rates among girls. A study conducted by the Forum for African Women Educators suggests this is a result of gender roles and stereotypes and sexual harassment. The study provided examples where girls' education was viewed as a low priority by parents, and where both parents and teachers did not expect girls to be able to perform as well as their male counterparts. Although it is not clear how widespread these attitudes are, these factors could explain why girls are not fulfilling their potential at school.

By tertiary level the inequalities are apparent in the far fewer number of girls enrolled. Lower performance, combined with the secondary streaming system which rules out higher education for certain non academic streams, means girls are much less successful in competition for highly coveted places in higher education. Even when they are successful it tends to be in traditionally female subjects. Females are particularly poorly represented on science and technology courses. Closing the gender gap in tertiary education will be extremely demanding, as it will involve understanding and tackling the inequalities which cause girls' performance to lag so far behind boys from primary level upwards.

More detailed country data illustrates that levels of gender inequality in education are greater and more varied than the international indicators alone suggest. Despite meeting the 2005 target, achieving the actual goal of gender equality and empowerment of Rwandese girls and women will be immensely challenging.

#### Conclusion

Over the last two decades good progress was made in narrowing the gender gap in enrolment in both primary and secondary schools. Despite this, the 2005 global target of eliminating gender disparity in primary and secondary education looks like it will be missed. The target of gender equity at all

levels of education can still be achieved by 2015. However, it will require significant changes to current practices, attitudes and policies towards girls' education in many countries. For this step change to happen, the full extent of gender inequalities must be taken seriously and addressed across all sectors.

	Millennium De	vel	opment Goals (MDGs)
/£	Goals and Targets		Indicators for monitoring progress
	m the Millennium Declaration)  Eradicate extreme poverty and hung	۵r	0. 0
	Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people whose income is less than one dollar a day	1. 2. 3.	Proportion of population below \$1 (PPP) per day <sup>a</sup> Poverty gap ratio [incidence x depth of poverty] Share of poorest quintile in national consumption
Target 2:	Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people who suffer from hunger	4. 5.	Prevalence of underweight children under-five years of age Proportion of population below minimum level of dietary energy consumption
Goal 2:	Achieve universal primary education	n	
Target 3:	Ensure that, by 2015, children everywhere, boys and girls alike, will be able to complete a full course of primary schooling	6. 7. 8.	Net enrolment ratio in primary education Proportion of pupils starting grade 1 who reach grade 5 Literacy rate of 15-24 year-olds
Goal 3:	Promote gender equality and empore	ver	women
Target 4:	Eliminate gender disparity in primary and secondary education preferably by 2005 and to all levels of education no later than 2015	11.	Ratios of girls to boys in primary, secondary and tertiary education Ratio of literate females to males of 15-24 year-olds Share of women in wage employment in the non-agricultural sector Proportion of seats held by women in national parliament
Goal 4:	Reduce child mortality	ı	
	Reduce by two-thirds, between 1990 and 2015, the under-five mortality rate	14.	Under-five mortality rate Infant mortality rate Proportion of 1 year-old children immunised against measles
Goal 5:	Improve maternal health	П	
	Reduce by three-quarters, between 1990 and 2015, the maternal mortality ratio	17.	Maternal mortality ratio Proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel
Goal 6:	Combat HIV/AIDS, malaria and othe	r dis	eases
Target 7:	Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the spread of HIV/AIDS	19.	HIV prevalence among 15-24 year old pregnant women Condom use rate of the contraceptive prevalence rate <sup>b</sup> Number of children orphaned by HIV/AIDS <sup>c</sup>
-	Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the incidence of malaria and other major diseases	22. 23. 24.	Prevalence and death rates associated with malaria Proportion of population in malaria risk areas using effective malaria prevention and treatment measures <sup>d</sup> Prevalence and death rates associated with tuberculosis Proportion of tuberculosis cases detected and cured under directly observed treatment short course (DOTS)
Goal 7:	Ensure environmental sustainability	/ 	
Target 9:	Integrate the principles of sustainable development into country policies and programmes and reverse the loss of environmental resources	26. 27. 28.	Proportion of land area covered by forest Ratio of area protected to maintain biological diversity to surface area Energy use (kg oil equivalent) per \$1 GDP (PPP) Carbon dioxide emissions (per capita) and consumption of ozone-depleting CFCs (ODP tons) Proportion of population using solid fuels
Target 10:	Halve, by 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water	30.	Proportion of population with sustainable access to an improved water source, urban and rural
Target 11	By 2020, to have achieved a significant improvement in the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers		Proportion of urban population with access to improved sanitation Proportion of households with access to secure tenure (owned or rented)

Goal 8:	Develop a global partnership for de	velor	oment
	Develop further an open, rule-based, predictable, non-discriminatory trading and financial system		Some of the indicators listed below are monitored separately for the least developed countries (LDCs), Africa, landlocked countries and small island developing States.
Target 13	Includes a commitment to good governance, development, and poverty reduction – both nationally and internationally  Address the special needs of the least developed countries  Includes: tariff and quota free access for least developed countries' exports; enhanced programme of debt relief for HIPC and cancellation of official bilateral debt; and more generous ODA for countries committed to poverty reduction	34. 35. 36.	Official development assistance Net ODA, total and to LDCs, as percentage of OECD/DAC donors' gross national income Proportion of total bilateral, sector-allocable ODA of OECD/DAC donors to basic social services (basic education, primary health care, nutrition, safe water and sanitation) Proportion of bilateral ODA of OECD/DAC donors that is untied ODA received in landlocked countries as proportion of their GNIs ODA received in small island developing States as proportion of their GNIs
	Address the special needs of landlocked countries and small island developing States  (through the Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States and the outcome of the twenty-second special session of the General Assembly)  Deal comprehensively with the debt problems of developing countries through national and international measures in order to make debt sustainable in the long term	39. 40. 41. 42.	Market access Proportion of total developed country imports (by value and excluding arms) from developing countries and LDCs, admitted free of duties Average tariffs imposed by developed countries on agricultural products and textiles and clothing from developing countries Agricultural support estimate for OECD countries as percentage of their GDP Proportion of ODA provided to help build trade capacity  Debt sustainability Total number of countries that have reached their HIPC decision points and number that have reached their HIPC completion points (cumulative) Debt relief committed under HIPC initiative, US\$ Debt service as a percentage of exports of goods and services
Target 16	In co-operation with developing countries, develop and implement strategies for decent and productive work for youth	45.	Unemployment rate of 15-24 year-olds, each sex and total <sup>f</sup>
Target 17	In co-operation with pharmaceutical companies, provide access to affordable, essential drugs in developing countries	46.	Proportion of population with access to affordable essential drugs on a sustainable basis
Target 18	In co-operation with the private sector, make available the benefits of new technologies, especially information and		Telephone lines and cellular subscribers per 100 population Personal computers in use per 100 population and Internet users per 100 population

The Millennium Development Goals and targets come from the Millennium Declaration signed by 189 countries, including 147 Heads of State, in September 2000 (<a href="https://www.un.org/documents/ga/res/55/a55r002.pdf">www.un.org/documents/ga/res/55/a55r002.pdf</a> - A/RES/55/2). The goals and targets are inter-related and should be seen as a whole. They represent a partnership between the developed countries and the developing countries determined, as the Declaration states, "to create an environment – at the national and global levels alike – which is conducive to development and the elimination of poverty."

communications

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> For monitoring country poverty trends, indicators based on national poverty lines should be used, where available.

b Amongst contraceptive methods, only condoms are effective in preventing HIV transmission. The contraceptive prevalence rate is also useful in tracking progress in other health, gender and poverty goals. Because the condom use rate is only measured amongst women in union, it will be supplemented by an indicator on condom use in high risk situations. These indicators will be augmented with an indicator of knowledge and misconceptions regarding HIV/AIDS by 15-24 year-olds (UNICEF – WHO).

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm c}$  To be measured by the ratio of proportion of orphans to non-orphans aged 10-14 who are attending school.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Prevention to be measured by the % of under 5s sleeping under insecticide treated bednets; treatment to be measured by % of under 5s who are appropriately treated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> OECD and WTO are collecting data that will be available from 2001 onwards.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> An improved measure of the target is under development by ILO for future years.

#### **INDEX OF INDICATORS**

Indicator	Table
Adult (15 & over) literacy rate	1.2
Biodiversity: land area protected (% of total land area)	1.6
Births attended by health staff	1.3
Contraceptive prevalence (% of women aged 15-49)	1.4
Debt service as % of exports of goods and services	1.8
DOTS detection rate	1.4
Electric power consumption per capita kwh	1.6
Energy efficiency (GDP per unit of energy use)	1.6
Females in the labour force	1.5
Forest area as a % of national surface area	1.6
	4.5
Gender equality in primary education	1.5
Gender equality in secondary education	1.5
Gender equality in youth literacy	1.5
Gross National Income (GNI)	1.8
GNI per capita	1.8
GNI per capita average annual growth	1.8
Gross domestic savings (% of GDP)	1.8
Gross secondary school enrolment rate	1.2
Gross tertiary education enrolment rate	1.2
HIV prevalence in women aged 15-24	1.4
Incidence of TB per 100,000 people	1.4
Income share of poorest 20%	1.1
Industrial carbon dioxide emissions	1.6
Infant mortality per 1,000 live births	1.3
Information & communication technology expenditure (% of GDP)	1.7
Internet users	1.7
Life expectancy at birth	1.5
Maternal mortality ratio	1.3
Net foreign direct investment (% of GDP)	1.7
Net primary school enrolment rate	1.2
Number of people below \$1 a day	1.1
Percentage of 1 year olds immunised against measles	1.3
Percentage of children enrolled in grade 1 reaching grade 5	1.2
Percentage of people below \$1 a day	1.1
Percentage of people below \$2 a day	1.1
Percentage of population with access to improved sanitation	1.6
Percentage of population with access to improved samuation  Percentage of population with access to improved water	1.6
	1.0
Population	1.1
Poverty gap	
Prevalence of child malnutrition, weight for age	1.3
Primary share of exports (% of merchandise exports)	1.7
Seats held in parliament by women (as % of total)	1.5
TB treatment success rate	1.4
Telephones (mainline and mobile phones) per 1000 people	1.7
Total fertility rate (births per woman)	1.5
Trade (% of GDP)	1.7
Trade in goods (% of GDP)	1.7
Under-5 mortality rate per 1,000 live births	1.3
Unemployment rate 15-24 year olds	1.8
Youth (15-24) literacy rate	1.2

_	\$1 a day poverty line										
	Percentage of population below <sup>2</sup>	Poverty gap (%) <sup>3</sup>	Number of people below (millions) <sup>4</sup>	year	Percentage of population below \$2 a day <sup>5</sup>	year	Percentage of population below the national poverty line <sup>6</sup>	year	Income share of poorest 20% <sup>7</sup>	year	Population (millions) <sup>8</sup> 2001
Afghanistan	<del>.</del>	••									27
Albania											3
Algeria	<2	<0.5		95	15.1	95	23	95	7	95	31
Angola											14
Antigua & Barbuda											0
Argentine Republic											37
Armenia	12.8	3.3	0.4	98	49.0	98	55	98	7	98	3
Azerbaijan	3.7	<1	0.3	01	9.1	01	68	95	7	01	8
Bahamas											0
Bahrain											1
Bangladesh	36.0	8.1	48.0	00	82.8	00	34	00	9	00	133
Barbados											0
Belarus	<2	<0.5		00	<2	00	42	00	8	00	10
Belize											0
Benin							33	95			6
Bermuda											0
Bhutan											1
Bolivia	14.4	5.4	1.2	99	34.3	99	63	99	4	99	9
Bosnia & Herzegovina							20	01/02			4
Botswana											2
Brazil	9.9	3.2	17.1	98	23.7	98			2	98	172
Brunei		<u></u>									0
Bulgaria	4.7	1.4	0.4	01	23.7	01			7	01	8
Burkina Faso			••				45	98	4	98	12
Burma		<u></u>							••		48

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Income Poverty and Population are given on page 33.

	\$1 a da										
	Percentage of population below <sup>2</sup>	Poverty gap (%) <sup>3</sup>	Number of people below (millions) <sup>4</sup>	year	Percentage of population ুঁ below \$2 a day <sup>5</sup>	Percentage of population below the national poverty line <sup>6</sup>	year	Income share of poorest 20% <sup>7</sup>	year	Population (millions) <sup>8</sup> 2001	
Burundi	58.4	24.9	4.1	98	89.2	98	<del></del>		5	98	7
Cambodia							36	97	7	97	12
Cameroon	33.4	11.8	5.1	96	64.4	96			5	96	15
Cape Verde	••	••			**		••				0
Cayman Islands											
Central African Republic											4
Chad							64 <sup>9</sup>	5/96			8
Chile	<2	<0.5		98	8.7	98	17	98	3	98	15
China	16.1	3.7	204.8	00	47.3	00	5	98	6	98	1 272
Colombia	14.4	8.1	6.2	98	26.5	98			3	96	43
Comoros											1
Congo (Dem Rep)	**	••			**		**		**		52
Congo	**				••		**		••		3
Costa Rica	6.9	3.4	0.3	98	14.3	98			4	97	4
Cote d'Ivoire	12.3	2.4	2.0	95	49.4	95	37	95	7	95	16
Croatia	<2	<0.5		00	<2	00			8	01	4
Cuba											11
Cyprus											1
Czech Republic	<2	<0.5		96	<2	96			10	96	10
Djibouti							45	96			1
Dominica											0
Dominican Republic	<2	<0.5		98	<2	98			5	98	9
Ecuador	20.2	5.8	2.6	95	52.3	95			5	95	13
Egypt	3.1	<0.5	2.0	00	43.9	00	17 <sup>9</sup>	9/00	9	99	65
El Salvador	21.4	7.9	1.4	97	45.0	97			3	98	6

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Income Poverty and Population are given on page 33.

	\$1 a day poverty line									
	Percentage of population below <sup>2</sup>	Poverty gap (%) <sup>3</sup>	Number of people below (millions) <sup>4</sup>	year	Percentage of population below \$2 a day⁵	year	Percentage of population below the national poverty line <sup>6</sup>	Income share of poorest 20% <sup>7</sup>	year	Population (millions) <sup>8</sup> 2001
Equatorial Guinea										0
Eritrea	<del></del>							••		4
Estonia	<2	<0.5		98	5.2	98	9 95	7	98	1
Ethiopia	81.9	39.9	53.9	99/00	98.4	99/00	44 99/00	2	00	66
Fiji							<del></del>			1
French Polynesia										0
Gabon										1
Gambia	59.3	28.8	0.8	98	82.9	98		4	98	1
Georgia	<2	<0.5		98	12.4	98	11 <sup>97</sup>	6	00	5
Ghana	44.8	17.3	8.8	99	78.5	99		6	99	20
Grenada										0
Guatemala	16.0	4.6	1.9	00	37.4	00		4	98	12
Guinea										8
Guinea-Bissau										1
Guyana	<2	<0.5		98	6.1	98		4	99	1
Haiti										8
Honduras	23.8	11.6	1.6		44.4	98		2	98	7
Hong Kong	<del></del>							5	96	7
Hungary	<2	<0.5		98	7.3	98	17 97	10	98	10
India	34.7	8.2	358.2	99/00	79.9	99/00	29 99/00	8	97	1 032
Indonesia	7.2	1.0	15.0	00	55.4	00	27 <sup>99</sup>	8	00	209
Iran	<2	<0.5		98	7.3	98		5	98	65
Iraq	- 									24
Israel		··						7	97	6
Jamaica	 <2	<0.5		00	13.3	00	19 <sup>00</sup>	7	00	3

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Income Poverty and Population are given on page 33.

Percentage of population below <sup>2</sup>	Poverty gap	_	Percentage of		Development of nonvilation			
	(%) <sup>3</sup>	Number of people selow (millions) <sup>4</sup>	Percentage of population below \$2 a day <sup>5</sup>	year	Percentage of population below the national poverty line <sup>6</sup>	Income share of poorest 20% <sup>7</sup>	year	Population (millions) <sup>8</sup> 2001
<2	<0.5	97	7.4	97	12	97 8	97	5
1.5	0.3	0.2 96	15.3	96	35	96 8	01	15
23.0	6.0	7.1 <sup>97</sup>	58.6	97		6	97	31
								0
								22
<2	<0.5	98	<2	98		8	98	47
								2
2.0	0.2	0.1 00	34.1	00	64	99 9	01	5
26.3	6.3	1.4 97/98	73.2	97/98	39 <sup>97/</sup>	98 8	97	5
<2	<0.5	98	8.3	98		8	98	2
								4
						1	95	2
								3
								5
<2	<0.5	00	13.7	00		8	00	3
<2	<0.5	98	4.0	98		8	98	2
				99		99 6	99	16
41.7	14.8			97/98		98 5	97	11
<2	<0.5	97		97		4	97	24
								0
								11
								0
••								0
				95			95	3
								1
	1.5 23.0 2.0 26.3	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5 0.3 0.2 96 15.3 96 35 23.0 6.0 7.1 97 58.6 97	1.5 0.3 0.2 % 15.3 % 35 % 8 8 23.0 6.0 7.1 % 58.6 % 7 6 6	1.5 0.3 0.2 96 15.3 96 35 96 8 01 23.0 6.0 7.1 97 58.6 97 6 97

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Income Poverty and Population are given on page 33.

	\$1 a day poverty line									
	Percentage of population below <sup>2</sup>	Poverty gap (%) <sup>3</sup>	Number of people below (millions) <sup>4</sup>	year	Percentage of population below \$2 a day <sup>5</sup>	year	Percentage of population below the national ু poverty line <sup>6</sup>	Income share of poorest 20%	year	Population (millions) <sup>8</sup> 2001
Mayotte										
Mexico	8.0	2.1	8.0	98	24.3	98		3	98	99
Micronesia, Fed Sts								••		0
Moldova	22.0	5.8	0.9	01	63.7	01	23	97 7	01	4
Mongolia	13.9	3.1	0.3	95	50.0	95	36	95 6	98	2
Morocco	<2	<0.5		99	14.3	99	19 98/	99 7	99	29
Mozambique	37.9	12.0	6.8	96	78.4	96	69 <sup>96/</sup>	97 6	97	18
Namibia							<del></del>			2
Nepal	37.7	9.7	8.9	95	82.5	95	42 <sup>95/</sup>	96 8	96	24
Netherlands Antilles										0
New Caledonia										0
Nicaragua	82.3	52.2	4.3	98	94.5	98		98 2	98	5
Niger	61.4	33.9	6.9	95	85.3	95		3	95	11
Nigeria	70.2	34.9	91.2	97	90.8	97		4	97	130
Oman										2
Pakistan	13.4	2.4	19.0	98	65.6	98	33 98/	99 9	99	141
Panama	7.6	2.9	0.2	98	17.9	98		97 4	97	3
Papua New Guinea								<sup>96</sup> 5	96	5
Paraguay	19.5	9.8	1.1	98	49.3	98		2	98	5
Peru	15.5	5.4	4.1	96	41.4	96		97 4	96	26
Philippines	14.6	2.7	11.4	00	46.4	00	37	<sup>97</sup> 5	00	78
Poland	<2	<0.5		98	<2	98		8	98	39
Qatar	- 						 			1
Romania	2.1	0.6	0.5	00	20.5	00	 	8	00	22
Russian Federation	6.1	1.2	8.8	00	23.8	00	 	5	00	145

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Income Poverty and Population are given on page 33.

# 1.1 Income Poverty and Population<sup>1</sup>

	\$1 a da	ay poverty line								
	Percentage of population below <sup>2</sup>	Poverty gap (%) <sup>3</sup>	Number of people below (millions) <sup>4</sup>	year	Percentage of population below \$2 a day <sup>5</sup>	year	Percentage of population below the national poverty line <sup>6</sup>	Income share of poorest 20% <sup>7</sup>	year	Population (millions) <sup>8</sup> 2001
Rwanda										8
Samoa (Western)	<del></del>		••		**		••			0
Sao Tome & Principe	<del></del>									0
Saudi Arabia							<del></del>			21
Senegal	26.3	7.0	2.6	95	67.8	95		6	95	10
Serbia & Montenegro										11
Seychelles										0
Sierra Leone										5
Singapore								5	98	4
Slovak Republic	<2	<0.5		96	2.4	96		9	96	5
Slovenia	<2	<0.5		98	<2	98		9	98	2
Solomon Islands								··		0
Somalia	<del></del>	···						··		9
South Africa	<2	<0.5		95	14.5	95		2	95	43
Sri Lanka	6.6	1.0	1.2	95/96		95/96	25 <sup>95/96</sup>		95	19
St Kitts & Nevis										0
St Lucia								5	95	0
St Vincent & Grenadines										0
Sudan										32
Suriname										0
Swaziland							40 95			1
Syria										17
Tajikistan	10.3	2.6	0.6	98	50.8	98		 8	98	6
Tanzania										34
Thailand	 <2	<0.5	••	00	32.5	00	<del></del>	6	00	61
rrialiariu	72	٧٠.٥	••		52.5		<del></del>	O		O I

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Income Poverty and Population are given on page 33.

# 1.1 Income Poverty and Population<sup>1</sup>

	\$1 a da	ay poverty line								
	Percentage of population below <sup>2</sup>	Poverty gap (%) <sup>3</sup>	Number of people below (millions) <sup>4</sup>	year	Percentage of population below \$2 a day <sup>5</sup>	year	Percentage of population below the national poverty line <sup>6</sup>	Income share of poorest 20% <sup>7</sup>		Population (millions) <sup>8</sup> 2001
Togo										5
Tonga		••						••		0
Trinidad & Tobago							<del></del>			1
Tunisia	<2	<0.5		95	10.0	95	8	95 6	95	10
Turkey	<2	<0.5		00	10.3	00		6	00	69
Turkmenistan	12.1	2.6	0.7	98	44.0	98		6	98	5
Uganda	82.2	40.1	18.7	96	96.4	96		7	96	23
Ukraine	2.9	0.6	1.4	99	45.7	99	32	95 9	99	49
United Arab Emirates										3
Uruguay	<2	<0.5		98	<2	98		4	98	3
Uzbekistan	19.1	8.1	4.8	98	44.2	98	<del></del>	9	00	25
Vanuatu	<del></del>									0
Venezuela	15.0	6.9	3.7	98	32.0	98		3	98	25
Vietnam	17.7	3.3	14.1	98	63.7	98		8	98	80
West Bank & Gaza										3
Yemen	15.7	4.5	2.8	98	45.2	98	42	98 7	98	18
Zambia	63.7	32.7	6.5	98	87.4	98		<sup>98</sup> 3	98	10
Zimbabwe							35 <sup>95/9</sup>	~	95	13

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Income Poverty and Population are given on page 33.

#### 1.1 Income Poverty and Population<sup>1</sup>

_	\$1 a da	y poverty line							
	Percentage of population below <sup>2</sup>	Poverty gap (%) <sup>3</sup>	Number of people below (millions) <sup>4</sup>	year	Percentage of population below \$2 a day <sup>5</sup>	year	Percentage of population below the national ু poverty line <sup>6</sup>	Income share of \$\frac{b}{8}\$ poorest 20% <sup>7</sup>	Population (millions) <sup>8</sup> 2001
East Asia & Pacific	15.6		279.0	99	50.1	99			1 823
Europe & Central Asia	5.1		24.0	99	20.3	99			475
Latin America & Caribbean	11.1		57.0	99	26.0	99	<del></del>		524
Middle East & North Africa	2.2		6.0	99	23.3	99	<del></del>		301
South Asia	36.6		488.0	99	84.8	99			1 378
Sub-Saharan Africa	49.0	**	315.0	99	74.7	99			674
Low & middle income							<del></del>		5 173
Low income									2 506
Low income									
(excl China & India)									
Middle income									2 667
Lower middle income									2 164
Upper middle income									504
LDCs							<del></del>		675
Developed Countries									957
World									6 130

Source: World Bank 2003 World Development Indicators book and CD-ROM.

- 2. The percentage of the population living below the commonly used international \$1 a day standard, measured in 1993 international prices and adjusted for local currency using purchasing power parities.
- 3. The poverty gap is the mean shortfall below the poverty line (counting the non-poor as having zero shortfall), expressed as a percentage of the poverty line. This measure reflects the depth of poverty as well as its incidence.
- 4. The number of people living below the international \$1 a day standard, measured in 1993 international prices and adjusted for local currency using purchasing power parities.
- 5. The percentage of the population living below the commonly used international \$2 a day standard, measured in 1993 international prices and adjusted for local currency using purchasing power parities.
- 6. The percentage of the population living below the country specific poverty line deemed appropriate for the country by its authorities.
- 7. The share of the national income that accrues to the bottom 20% of the population. The lower the percentage the less well off the poorest are relative to the rest of the population.
- 8. Based on the de facto definition of population, which counts all residents regardless of legal status or citizenship except for refugees not permanently settled in the country of asylum.

	Net primary enrolment i		Percentage of children enrolled in grade 1 reaching grade 5 <sup>3</sup>	Gross secondary school enrolment rate (%) <sup>4</sup>	Gross tertiary education enrolment rate (%) <sup>4,5</sup>	Youth (15-24) literacy rate (%) <sup>6</sup>	Adult (15 & over) literacy rate (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990 🖔	2000 ੈ	1995-1999 💆	2000 g	2000 g	2001	2001
Afghanistan	29 <sup>93</sup>			22 <sup>96</sup>	2 <sup>97</sup>		
Albania	102 <sup>95</sup>	98		78	15	98	85
Algeria	93	98	97 <sup>99</sup>	71	15 <sup>98</sup>	89	68
Angola	34 <sup>97</sup>	37		15 <sup>99</sup>	1 99		
Antigua & Barbuda							
Argentine Republic	104 <sup>97</sup>	107	90 <sup>99</sup>	97	48 <sup>99</sup>	99	97
Armenia		69		73	20	100	98
Azerbaijan	90 <sup>98</sup>	91 <sup>99</sup>		80 <sup>99</sup>	22 <sup>99</sup>		
Bahamas	96 <sup>91</sup>	83 <sup>99</sup>		84 <sup>99</sup>	25 <sup>97</sup>	97	95
Bahrain	99	96	101 <sup>99</sup>	101	25 <sup>98</sup>	99	88
Bangladesh	64	89		46	7	49	41
Barbados	78 <sup>91</sup>	105	**	102	38	100	100
Belarus	85 <sup>94</sup>	108	**	84	56	100	100
Belize	98 <sup>91</sup>	100		74	1 <sup>97</sup>	98	93
Benin	49 <sup>91</sup>	70 <sup>99</sup>	84 <sup>99</sup>	22 <sup>99</sup>	4 99	54	39
Bermuda							
Bhutan			90 <sup>99</sup>				
Bolivia	91	97	83 <sup>99</sup>	80	36	96	86
Bosnia & Herzegovina				69 <sup>96</sup>	16 <sup>97</sup>		
Botswana	93	84	87 <sup>99</sup>	93	5	89	78
Brazil	86	97		108	17	95	87
Brunei	91 <sup>91</sup>	93 <sup>95</sup>	92 <sup>99</sup>	113	14	99	92
Bulgaria	86	94		94	41	100	98
Burkina Faso	27	36	69 <sup>99</sup>	10	1 <sup>97</sup>	36	25
Burma	82 <sup>98</sup>	83		39	12	91	85

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Education are given on page 41.

	Net primary enrolment r		Percentage of children enrolled in grade 1 reaching grade 5 <sup>3</sup>	Gross secondary school enrolment rate (%) <sup>4</sup>	Gross tertiary education enrolment rate (%) <sup>4,5</sup>	Youth (15-24) literacy rate (%) <sup>6</sup>	Adult (15 & over) literacy rate (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990 💆	2000 है	1995-1999	2000 <sup>be</sup> X	2000 g	2001	2001
Burundi	52 <sup>92</sup>	54	58 <sup>99</sup>	10	1	65	49
Cambodia	98 <sup>96</sup>	95	63 <sup>99</sup>	19	3	80	69
Cameroon			81 <sup>98</sup>	20 <sup>98</sup>	5	91	72
Cane Verde	••	 99 <sup>98</sup>		69 <sup>98</sup>		89	72 75
	••		••	69	<del></del>	09	
Cayman Islands		••			<del>.</del>	••	<del></del>
Central African Republic	53	55		10 <sup>96</sup>	2 <sup>99</sup>	69	48
Chad	40 <sup>95</sup>	58	54 <sup>99</sup>	11 <sup>99</sup>	1 99	68	44
Chile	88	89	101 <sup>99</sup>	75	38	99	96
China	97	93 <sup>99</sup>	94 <sup>95</sup>	63 <sup>99</sup>	7 99	98	86
Colombia	69 <sup>91</sup>	89	73 <sup>95</sup>	70	23	97	92
Comoros	52 <sup>93</sup>	56	77 <sup>99</sup>	21 <sup>99</sup>	1 99	59	56
Congo (Dem Rep)	54	33 <sup>98</sup>		18 <sup>98</sup>	1 98	83	63
Congo				42	5	98	82
Costa Rica	86	91	80 <sup>99</sup>	60	16	98	96
Cote d'Ivoire	47	64	91 <sup>99</sup>	23	7 98	62	50
Croatia	79	84 <sup>97</sup>		82 <sup>97</sup>	29 <sup>97</sup>	100	98
Cuba	92	97	95 <sup>99</sup>	85	24	100	97
Cyprus	87	95	99 <sup>99</sup>	93	20 <sup>99</sup>	100	97
Czech Republic	91 <sup>93</sup>	90		95	30		
Djibouti	32	33	77 <sup>98</sup>	15 <sup>99</sup>	1	85	65
Dominios			86 <sup>99</sup>				
Dominica	 78 <sup>96</sup>		75 <sup>98</sup>		23 <sup>97</sup>	01	
Dominican Republic	78 <sup>93</sup>	93	78 <sup>99</sup>	59	18 <sup>97</sup>	91	84
Ecuador		99	78 **	57	18	97	92
Egypt	88 <sup>93</sup>	93	98	86	39 <sup>98</sup>	70	56
El Salvador	75 <sup>92</sup>	81 <sup>99</sup>	71 <sup>98</sup>	54	18	89	79

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Education are given on page 41.

	Net primary enrolment r		Percentage of children enrolled in grade 1 reaching grade 5 <sup>3</sup>	Gross secondary school enrolment rate (%) <sup>4</sup>	Gross tertiary education enrolment rate (%) <sup>4,5</sup>	Youth (15-24) literacy rate (%) <sup>6</sup>	Adult (15 & over) literacy rate (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990 💆	2000 है	1995-1999 🦉	2000 kg	2000 Je s .	2001	2001
Equatorial Guinea	83 <sup>98</sup>	72		31 <sup>99</sup>	3 99	97	84
Eritrea	24 <sup>91</sup>	41	70 <sup>95</sup>	28	2	71	57
Estonia	94 <sup>92</sup>	98	99 <sup>99</sup>	92	58	100	100
Ethiopia	20 <sup>93</sup>	47	64 <sup>99</sup>	18	2	56	40
Fiji	101 <sup>91</sup>	99 <sup>98</sup>		70 <sup>96</sup>	14 <sup>97</sup>	99	93
French Polynesia	105 <sup>92</sup>	103 <sup>95</sup>		88 <sup>96</sup>	3 97		
Gabon		88		60	8 <sup>98</sup>		
Gambia	51 <sup>91</sup>	69	69 <sup>98</sup>	36	2 <sup>97</sup>	59	38
Georgia		95		73	35		
Ghana	57 <sup>98</sup>	58	66 <sup>99</sup>	36	3	92	73
Grenada	98 <sup>98</sup>	84		63		<del></del>	
Guatemala	72 <sup>97</sup>	84	50 <sup>95</sup>	37	8 97	80	69
Guinea	37 <sup>93</sup>	47	84 <sup>99</sup>	14 <sup>98</sup>	1 97		
Guinea-Bissau		54 <sup>99</sup>	38 <sup>98</sup>	20 <sup>99</sup>	O <sup>99</sup>	59	40
Guyana	93	98 <sup>99</sup>	91 <sup>95</sup>	73 <sup>96</sup>	12 <sup>97</sup>	100	99
Haiti	22	56 <sup>96</sup>		29 <sup>96</sup>	1 <sup>97</sup>	65	51
Honduras	89 <sup>91</sup>	88		32 <sup>96</sup>	15	85	76
Hong Kong	91 <sup>94</sup>	90 <sup>95</sup>		<b>72</b> <sup>96</sup>	27 <sup>97</sup>	99	94
Hungary	91	90		99 <sup>99</sup>	40	100	99
ndia			68 <sup>98</sup>	49 99	10 <sup>99</sup>	73	58
ndonesia	97	92	97 <sup>99</sup>	57	15	98	87
ran	99 <sup>91</sup>	74		78	10	94	77
raq	79 <sup>92</sup>	93 <sup>99</sup>	**	38 <sup>99</sup>	14 <sup>99</sup>	45	40
srael	100 <sup>98</sup>	101		93	53	99	95
Jamaica	96	95	89 <sup>99</sup>	83	16	94	87

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Education are given on page 41.

	Net primary enrolment i		Percentage of children enrolled in grade 1 reaching grade 5 <sup>3</sup>	Gross secondary school enrolment rate (%) <sup>4</sup>	Gross tertiary education enrolment rate (%) <sup>4,5</sup>	Youth (15-24) literacy rate (%) <sup>6</sup>	Adult (15 & over) literacy rate (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990 <sup>kg</sup>	2000 g	1995-1999 🖔	2000 👼	2000 है	2001	2001
		00	QR	00	99		
Jordan	66	94 <sup>99</sup>	98 <sup>98</sup>	88 <sup>99</sup>	29 <sup>99</sup>	99	90
Kazakhstan		89		88	31	100	99
Kenya	65 <sup>99</sup>	69	71 <sup>98</sup>	31	3	95	83
Kiribati	•••	••	95 <sup>96</sup>				**
Korea Dem Rep (North)							
Korea, Rep (South)	100	99	98 <sup>95</sup>	94	78	100	98
Kuwait	45 <sup>91</sup>	66 <sup>99</sup>		56 <sup>99</sup>	21 <sup>98</sup>	93	82
Kyrgyzstan	95 <sup>95</sup>	82		86	41		
_aos	61 <sup>91</sup>	81	55 <sup>95</sup>	38	3	79	66
Latvia	83 <sup>92</sup>	92		91	63	100	100
Lebanon	76 <sup>96</sup>	74	97 <sup>99</sup>	76	42	95	86
Lesotho	73	78	75 <sup>99</sup>	33	3	91	84
_iberia	70 <sup>98</sup>	83 <sup>99</sup>	33 <sup>98</sup>	38 <sup>99</sup>	3 <sup>97</sup>	70	55
_ibya	96 <sup>92</sup>			90	49	97	81
_ithuania	94 <sup>97</sup>	 95		95	52	100	100
Macedonia (FYR of)	94	92	95 <sup>95</sup>	84	24		
Madagascar	59 <sup>94</sup>	68		14 <sup>98</sup>	2	81	67
Malawi	50	101	49 <sup>99</sup>	36	0 98	72	61
Malaysia	102 <sup>94</sup>	98		70	28	98	88
Maldives	100 <sup>98</sup>	99		55		99	97
Mali	21	43 <sup>98</sup>	95 <sup>99</sup>	15 <sup>98</sup>	2 <sup>98</sup>	37	26
valta	99	99 <sup>99</sup>	100 <sup>98</sup>	89 <sup>99</sup>	21 <sup>99</sup>	99	92
Marshall Islands					<del>-</del> ·		
Mauritania	47 <sup>93</sup>	64	61 <sup>99</sup>	21	4	49	41
Mauritius	95	95	99 <sup>96</sup>	77	11	94	85

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Education are given on page 41.

	Net primary enrolment r		Percentage of children enrolled in grade 1 reaching grade 5 <sup>3</sup>	Gross secondary school enrolment rate (%) <sup>4</sup>	Gross tertiary education enrolment rate (%) <sup>4,5</sup>	Youth (15-24) literacy rate (%) <sup>6</sup>	Adult (15 & over) literacy rate (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990 💆	2000 ੈ	1995-1999 🖔	2000 beg	2000 g	2001	2001
Mayotte			<del></del>		<del></del>		
Mexico	100	103	88 <sup>99</sup>	75	21	97	91
Micronesia, Fed Sts			••	132	15 <sup>98</sup>		
Moldova		78		71	28	100	99
Mongolia	75 <sup>94</sup>	89		61	33	99	98
Morocco	58	78	80 <sup>99</sup>	39 <sup>99</sup>	10	68	50
Mozambique	47	54		12	1	62	45
Namibia	89 <sup>92</sup>	82	92 <sup>99</sup>	62	6 <sup>99</sup>	92	83
Nepal	70 <sup>98</sup>	72		51	5	62	43
Netherlands Antilles	96 <sup>98</sup>	95		93	22	98	97
New Caledonia	97	98 <sup>91</sup>	<del></del>	99 <sup>96</sup>	5 <sup>97</sup>		
Nicaragua	72	81	48 <sup>99</sup>	54	12 <sup>97</sup>	72	67
Niger	25	30	74 <sup>99</sup>	6	1	24	17
Nigeria				30 <sup>96</sup>	4 <sup>97</sup>	88	65
Oman	70	65	96 <sup>99</sup>	68	8	98	73
Pakistan	65 <sup>99</sup>	66		24	4 97	58	44
Panama	91	100	92 <sup>99</sup>	69	35 <sup>99</sup>	97	92
Papua New Guinea	84 <sup>98</sup>	84 <sup>99</sup>		21 <sup>99</sup>	2 <sup>98</sup>	76	65
Paraguay	93	92	76 <sup>99</sup>	60	10 <sup>97</sup>	97	93
Peru	87 <sup>93</sup>	104 <sup>99</sup>	88 <sup>98</sup>	81 <sup>98</sup>	29 <sup>98</sup>	97	90
Philippines	97 <sup>91</sup>	93		77	31	99	95
Poland	97	98	99 <sup>99</sup>	101	56	100	100
Qatar	87	95 <sup>98</sup>		89	25	95	82
Romania	77 <sup>92</sup>	93		82	27	100	98
Russian Federation	95 <sup>93</sup>	93 <sup>94</sup>	<del></del>	83	64	100	100

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Education are given on page 41.

	Net primary enrolment i		Percentage of children enrolled in grade 1 reaching grade 5 <sup>3</sup>	Gross secondary school enrolment rate (%) <sup>4</sup>	Gross tertiary education enrolment rate (%) <sup>4,5</sup>	Youth (15-24) literacy rate (%) <sup>6</sup>	Adult (15 & over) literacy rate (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990 है	2000 ੈ	1995-1999 🖔	2000 gg	2000 g	2001	2001
Rwanda	66	97 <sup>99</sup>	39 <sup>99</sup>	12 <sup>99</sup>	2	84	68
Samoa (Western)	97 <sup>95</sup>	97	83 <sup>98</sup>	75	11	99	99
Sao Tome & Principe							
Saudi Arabia	59	58	94 <sup>99</sup>	68	22 <sup>99</sup>	93	77
Senegal	48 <sup>91</sup>	63	72 <sup>99</sup>	18	4 98	52	38
Serbia & Montenegro	69			62 <sup>96</sup>	24 <sup>97</sup>		
Seychelles			100 <sup>95</sup>				
Sierra Leone				26	2		
Singapore	92 <sup>94</sup>	93 <sup>95</sup>		74 <sup>96</sup>	44 <sup>97</sup>	100	93
Slovak Republic		89		87	30		
Slovenia	95 <sup>94</sup>	93	<del></del>	92 <sup>97</sup>	61	100	100
Solomon Islands				18 <sup>96</sup>			
Somalia				6 <sup>96</sup>	3 97		
South Africa	103 <sup>91</sup>	89	65 <sup>99</sup>	87	15	92	86
Sri Lanka	••	97 <sup>98</sup>	83 <sup>95</sup>	72 <sup>98</sup>	5 <sup>97</sup>	97	92
St Kitts & Nevis							
St Lucia	97 <sup>98</sup>	100		89	25 <sup>98</sup>		
St Vincent & Grenadines				<del></del>			
Sudan	45 <sup>98</sup>	46 <sup>99</sup>	87 <sup>98</sup>	29 <sup>99</sup>	7 98	78	59
Suriname		92		87	7 98		
Swaziland	88	93	84 <sup>99</sup>	60 <sup>99</sup>	5	91	80
Syria	98	96	94 <sup>95</sup>	43	6 <sup>98</sup>	88	75
Гаjikistan	94 <sup>98</sup>	103		79	14	100	99
Гаnzania	51	47	82 <sup>99</sup>	6	1	91	76
Γhailand		85	97 <sup>98</sup>	82	35	99	96

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Education are given on page 41.

	Net primary enrolment r		Percentage of children enrolled in grade 1 reaching grade 5 <sup>3</sup>	Gross secondary school enrolment rate (%) <sup>4</sup>	Gross tertiary education enrolment rate (%) <sup>4,5</sup>	Youth (15-24) literacy rate (%) <sup>6</sup>	Adult (15 & over) literacy rate (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990 g	2000 💆	1995-1999 💆	2000 ba	2000 la	2001	2001
Togo	75	92	74 <sup>99</sup>	39	4 99	76	58
Tonga	92 <sup>98</sup>	91		100	<b>4</b> <sup>99</sup>		
Trinidad & Tobago	91	92	100 <sup>99</sup>	81	6	100	98
Tunisia	94	99	93 <sup>99</sup>	78	22	94	72
Turkey	89	99 <sup>96</sup>		58 <sup>99</sup>	15 <sup>99</sup>	97	86
Turkmenistan				112 <sup>96</sup>	19 <sup>97</sup>		
Uganda	87 <sup>97</sup>	109		19	3	79	68
Ukraine		72 <sup>98</sup>		105 <sup>98</sup>	43 <sup>98</sup>	100	100
United Arab Emirates	94	87	98 <sup>99</sup>	75	12 <sup>98</sup>	91	77
Uruguay	91 <sup>91</sup>	90	91 <sup>99</sup>	98	36	99	98
Uzbekistan				94 <sup>96</sup>	37 <sup>97</sup>	100	99
Vanuatu	104 <sup>98</sup>	96	101 <sup>98</sup>	28	O <sup>98</sup>		
Venezuela	88	88	91 <sup>98</sup>	59	28	98	93
Vietnam	88 <sup>97</sup>	95		67	10	95	93
West Bank & Gaza		••					
Yemen	61 <sup>98</sup>	67		48 <sup>98</sup>	11 <sup>98</sup>	66	48
Zambia	77 <sup>94</sup>	66	81 <sup>99</sup>	24	2	89	79
Zimbabwe	80 <sup>99</sup>	80	79 <sup>95</sup>	44	4	97	89

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Education are given on page 41.

	Net primary enrolment r		Percentage of children enrolled in grade 1 reaching grade 5 <sup>3</sup>	Gross secondary school enrolment rate (%) <sup>4</sup>	Gross tertiary education enrolment rate (%) <sup>4,5</sup>	Youth (15-24) literacy rate (%) <sup>6</sup>	Adult (15 & over literacy rate (%)
	1990 🖔	2000 💆	1995-1999 💆	2000 be A	2000 be de	2001	2001
East Asia & Pacific	97	93 <sup>99</sup>	93 <sup>95</sup>	61 <sup>99</sup>	9 99	97	87
Europe & Central Asia		••		88 <sup>98</sup>	<b>44</b> <sup>99</sup>	99	97
₋atin America & Caribbean	89	97		86	21	95	89
Middle East & North Africa	87 <sup>96</sup>	82		76	22 <sup>98</sup>	81	65
South Asia		••	68 <sup>98</sup>	48 <sup>99</sup>	10 <sup>99</sup>	69	55
Sub-Saharan Africa				27 <sup>98</sup>	4 <sup>98</sup>	78	62
_ow & middle income				58 <sup>99</sup>	14 <sup>99</sup>	85	76
Low income				<b>44</b> <sup>99</sup>	8 99	76	63
Low income							
(excl China & India)							
Middle income	95	93 <sup>99</sup>		70 <sup>99</sup>	17 <sup>99</sup>	95	87
Lower middle income	96	92 <sup>99</sup>	92 <sup>95</sup>	65 <sup>99</sup>	15 <sup>99</sup>	95	86
Upper middle income	90	96		91	26	97	91
_DCs	63 <sup>98</sup>	71		30	4 99	66	53
Developed Countries	98	98		106	62		
Vorld				67 <sup>99</sup>	22 <sup>99</sup>		

Source: World Bank 2003 World Development Indicators book and CD-ROM.

- 2. The number of children of official school age (as defined by the national education system) who are enrolled in school as a percentage of the population of official school age.
- 3. The share of children enrolled in the first grade of primary school who eventually reach grade 5.
- 4. Gross enrolment ratio is the ratio of total enrolment, regardless of age, to the population of the age group that officially corresponds to the level of education shown.
- 5. Tertiary education, whether or not to an advanced research qualification, normally requires, as a minimum condition of admission, the successful completion of education at the secondary level.
- 6. The percentage of people (aged 15-24) who can, with understanding, read and write a short, simple statement about their everyday life.
- 7. The percentage of people (aged 15 and over) who can, with understanding, read and write a short, simple statement about their everyday life.

	Maternal mortality ratio <sup>2</sup>	Births atte	-	Infant mortality per 1,000 live births <sup>4</sup>	Percentage of 1 year olds immunised against measles <sup>5</sup>		rtality rate per ve births <sup>6</sup>		child malnutrition or age (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990-1998	1990 💆	2000 है	2001	2001 🖔	1990	2001	1990 g	2000 💆
Afghanistan		9		165	46	260	257		49 <sup>97</sup>
Albania			99	23	95	42	25	8 <sup>98</sup>	14
Algeria	220	77 <sup>93</sup>	92	39	83	69	49	9 <sup>92</sup>	6
Angola		17 <sup>93</sup>	23 <sup>96</sup>	154	72	260	260		41 <sup>96</sup>
Antigua & Barbuda			100 <sup>98</sup>	12	97		14		
Argentine Republic	38	97 <sup>94</sup>	98 <sup>99</sup>	16	94	28	19	2 <sup>94</sup>	5 <sup>96</sup>
Armenia	35	96 <sup>98</sup>	97	31	93	58	35	3 <sup>98</sup>	3
Azerbaijan	43	99 <sup>98</sup>	88	77	99	106	96	10 <sup>96</sup>	17
Bahamas				13	93	29	16		
Bahrain		98 <sup>95</sup>	98 <sup>96</sup>	13	98	19	16		
Bangladesh	440	7	12	51	76	144	77	66	48
Barbados			91 <sup>99</sup>	12	92	16	14		
Belarus	28		100 <sup>99</sup>	17	99	21	20		
Belize		77 <sup>91</sup>	77 <sup>92</sup>	34	96	49	40	6 <sup>92</sup>	
Benin	500	38 <sup>91</sup>	60 <sup>97</sup>	94	65	185	158	29 <sup>96</sup>	23 <sup>01</sup>
Bermuda									
Bhutan		16	12 <sup>95</sup>	74	78	166	95		19 <sup>99</sup>
Bolivia	390	48 <sup>95</sup>	59 <sup>99</sup>	60	79	122	77	11	8 98
Bosnia & Herzegovina	10		100	15	92	22	18		4
Botswana	330		99	80	83	58	110	17 <sup>96</sup>	13
Brazil	160	88 <sup>96</sup>	88 <sup>97</sup>	31	99	60	36		6 <sup>96</sup>
Brunei		98 <sup>95</sup>	99 <sup>99</sup>	6	99	11	6		
Bulgaria	15	100 <sup>97</sup>	99	14	96	19	16		
Burkina Faso		41 <sup>94</sup>	27	104	46	210	197	33 <sup>93</sup>	34 <sup>99</sup>
Burma	230	94	57 <sup>97</sup>	77	73	130	109	32	43 <sup>95</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Maternal and Child Health are given on page 49.

	Maternal mortality ratio <sup>2</sup>	Births atte	-	Infant mortality per 1,000 live births <sup>4</sup>	Percentage of 1 year olds immunised against measles <sup>5</sup>		rtality rate per ve births <sup>6</sup>		hild malnutrition, or age (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990-1998	1990 💆	2000 💆	2001	2001 be 2	1990	2001	1990 💆	2000 है
Burundi		20 <sup>91</sup>	25	114	75	190	190		45
Cambodia	470	47	34	97	59	115	138	47 <sup>96</sup>	45
Cameroon	430	58 <sup>91</sup>	56	96	62	139	155	15 <sup>91</sup>	22 <sup>98</sup>
Cape Verde			89 <sup>98</sup>	29	72	60	38	14 <sup>94</sup>	
Cayman Islands									
Central African Republic	1 100	46 <sup>96</sup>	44	115	29	180	180	23 <sup>95</sup>	
Chad	830	15	16	117	36	203	200	39 <sup>97</sup>	28
Chile	20	100 <sup>95</sup>	100 <sup>97</sup>	10	97	19	12	2 <sup>93</sup>	1 <sup>99</sup>
China	55	89 <sup>95</sup>	70 <sup>99</sup>	31	79	49	39	17 <sup>92</sup>	10
Colombia	80	94	86	19	75	36	23	8 <sup>95</sup>	7
Comoros		52 <sup>97</sup>	62	59	70	120	79	19 <sup>92</sup>	25
Congo (Dem Rep)			70 <sup>98</sup>	129	46	205	205	34 <sup>95</sup>	••
Congo		••		81	35	110	108		••
Costa Rica	29	97 <sup>93</sup>	98 <sup>99</sup>	9	82	17	11	3	5 <sup>96</sup>
Cote d'Ivoire	600	45 <sup>95</sup>	47	102	61	155	175	24 <sup>94</sup>	21 <sup>99</sup>
Croatia	6		100 <sup>98</sup>	7	94	13	8	1 <sup>94</sup>	1 <sup>96</sup>
Cuba	27		100 <sup>99</sup>	7	99	13	9		
Cyprus				5	86 <sup>00</sup>	12	6		
Czech Republic	9			4	97 <sup>00</sup>	12	5	1 <sup>91</sup>	
Djibouti				100	49	175	143		18 <sup>96</sup>
Dominica		100 <sup>95</sup>	100 <sup>99</sup>	14	99	23	15		
Dominican Republic	230	92 <sup>91</sup>	96 <sup>96</sup>	41	98	65	47	10 <sup>91</sup>	5
Ecuador .	160	56	69 <sup>99</sup>	24	99	57	30		14 <sup>98</sup>
Egypt	170	37 <sup>91</sup>	61	35	97	104	41	10	4
El Salvador	120	87 <sup>94</sup>	90 <sup>99</sup>	33	99 <sup>99</sup>	60	39	11 <sup>93</sup>	12 <sup>98</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Maternal and Child Health are given on page 49.

	Maternal mortality ratio <sup>2</sup>	Births atte		Infant mortality per 1,000 live births <sup>4</sup>	Percentage of 1 year olds immunised against measles <sup>5</sup>		rtality rate per ve births <sup>6</sup>		hild malnutrition or age (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990-1998	1990 है	2000 है	2001	2001 g	1990	2001	1990 है	2000 💆
Equatorial Guinea		5 <sup>95</sup>		101	19	206	153		
Eritrea	1 000	6 <sup>94</sup>	21 <sup>95</sup>	72	88	155	111	41 <sup>93</sup>	44 <sup>95</sup>
Estonia	50			11	95	17	12		
Ethiopia	870	8 <sup>91</sup>	10	116	52	193	172	48 <sup>92</sup>	47
Fiji		100 <sup>95</sup>	100 <sup>98</sup>	18	80 <sup>99</sup>	31	21	8 <sup>93</sup>	
French Polynesia		98 <sup>91</sup>	99 <sup>98</sup>	10			12		
Gabon	520	80 <sup>95</sup>	86	60	55	90	90		12
Gambia		44	51	91	90	154	126	26 <sup>96</sup>	17
Georgia	70		96 <sup>99</sup>	24	73	29	29		3 <sup>99</sup>
Ghana	210	55	44 <sup>99</sup>	57	81	126	100	27 <sup>94</sup>	25 <sup>99</sup>
Grenada			99 <sup>99</sup>	20	96	37	25		
Guatemala	190	35 <sup>96</sup>	41 <sup>99</sup>	43	90	82	58	27 <sup>95</sup>	<b>24</b> <sup>99</sup>
Guinea	670	31 <sup>93</sup>	35	109	52	240	169	23 <sup>99</sup>	33
Guinea-Bissau	910	50 <sup>94</sup>	35	130	48	253	211		25
Guyana		95 <sup>95</sup>		54	92	90	72	18 <sup>93</sup>	12 <sup>97</sup>
Haiti	525	20 <sup>96</sup>	24	79	53	150	123	27	17
Honduras	110	47 <sup>93</sup>	55 <sup>97</sup>	31	95	61	38	18 <sup>92</sup>	17 <sup>01</sup>
Hong Kong		100	100	3					
Hungary	15			8	99	17	9		
ndia	410	44	42 <sup>99</sup>	67	56	123	93	64	53 <sup>93</sup>
ndonesia	450	47	56 <sup>99</sup>	33	59	91	45	34 <sup>95</sup>	25
ran	37	78	86 <sup>97</sup>	35	96	72	42	16 <sup>95</sup>	11 <sup>98</sup>
raq		50	87 <sup>95</sup>	107	90	50	133	12 <sup>91</sup>	
srael	5			6	94	12	6		
Jamaica	120	95 <sup>97</sup>	95 <sup>98</sup>	17	85	20	20	5 <sup>91</sup>	4 <sup>99</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Maternal and Child Health are given on page 49.

	Maternal mortality ratio <sup>2</sup>	Births atte	-	Infant mortality per 1,000 live births <sup>4</sup>	Percentage of 1 year olds immunised against measles <sup>5</sup>		rtality rate per ve births <sup>6</sup>		hild malnutrition, or age (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990-1998	1990 💆	2000 ਵ੍ਹ	2001	2001 lg	1990	2001	1990 흥	2000 년
Jordan	41	87	97 <sup>97</sup>	27	99	43	33	6	5 <sup>97</sup>
Kazakhstan	70	100 <sup>96</sup>	98	81	96	52	99	8 <sup>95</sup>	4 <sup>99</sup>
Kenya	590	45 <sup>94</sup>	44 <sup>99</sup>	78	76	97	122	23 <sup>93</sup>	22 <sup>98</sup>
Kiribati		72 <sup>95</sup>	85 <sup>98</sup>	51	76	88	69		
Korea Dem Rep (North)	110		99 <sup>96</sup>	42	34	55	55		28
Korea, Rep (South)	20	98	100 <sup>97</sup>	5	97	9	5		
Kuwait	5	98 <sup>94</sup>	98 <sup>97</sup>	9	99	16	10		2 <sup>97</sup>
Kyrgyzstan	65	98 <sup>97</sup>	98 <sup>98</sup>	52	99	81	61		11 <sup>97</sup>
_aos	650	60 <sup>94</sup>	21	87	50	163	100	44 <sup>93</sup>	40
₋atvia	45	100 <sup>95</sup>		17	98	18	21		
Lebanon	100	95 <sup>91</sup>	95 <sup>98</sup>	28	94	37	32		3 <sup>96</sup>
Lesotho		50 <sup>93</sup>	60	91	77	148	132	16 <sup>92</sup>	18
Liberia				157	78	235	235		••
Libya	75	76 <sup>93</sup>	94 <sup>97</sup>	16	93	42	19	5 <sup>95</sup>	
Lithuania	18			8	97	14	9		
Macedonia (FYR of)	3	93 <sup>95</sup>	97 <sup>98</sup>	22	92	33	26		6 <sup>99</sup>
Madagascar	490	57 <sup>93</sup>	46	84	55	168	136	41 <sup>92</sup>	40 <sup>97</sup>
Malawi	1 120	50	56	114	82	241	183	28 <sup>92</sup>	25
Malaysia	39	98 <sup>95</sup>	96 <sup>98</sup>	8	92	21	8	25	20 <sup>95</sup>
Maldives		55	90 <sup>95</sup>	58	99	115	77	39 <sup>94</sup>	43 <sup>95</sup>
Mali	580	46 <sup>94</sup>	24 <sup>97</sup>	141	37	254	231		27 <sup>96</sup>
Malta		98 <sup>93</sup>		5	65	14	5		
Marshall Islands	 		95 <sup>98</sup>	54	94 <sup>00</sup>	92	66	·· ··	
Mauritania	 550	40 <sup>91</sup>	57	120	58	183	183	48 <sup>91</sup>	32
Mauritius	50	97 <sup>95</sup>	99 <sup>99</sup>	17	90	25	19	15 <sup>95</sup>	

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Maternal and Child Health are given on page 49.

	Maternal mortality ratio <sup>2</sup>	Births atte	-	Infant mortality per 1,000 live births <sup>4</sup>	Percentage of 1 year olds immunised against measles <sup>5</sup>		rtality rate per ve births <sup>6</sup>		hild malnutrition or age (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990-1998	1990 🖔	2000 है	2001	2001 🖔	1990	2001	1990 🖔	2000 💆
Mayotte					<del></del>				
Mexico	55	92 <sup>95</sup>	86 <sup>97</sup>	24	97	46	29	17 <sup>96</sup>	8 99
Micronesia, Fed Sts			93 <sup>99</sup>	20	84	31	24		
Moldova	42		99 <sup>97</sup>	27	81	37	32		
Mongolia	150	100	97	61	95	107	76	12 <sup>92</sup>	13 <sup>99</sup>
Morocco	230	31 <sup>93</sup>	40 <sup>95</sup>	39	96	85	44	10 <sup>92</sup>	
Mozambique	1 100		44 <sup>97</sup>	125	92	235	197	27 <sup>95</sup>	26 <sup>97</sup>
Namibia	230	68 <sup>93</sup>	76	55	58	84	67	26 <sup>92</sup>	
Nepal		32 <sup>96</sup>	12	66	71	145	91	49 <sup>95</sup>	48 <sup>01</sup>
Netherlands Antilles									
New Caledonia				7	<del></del>		10		
Nicaragua	150	61 <sup>94</sup>	61 <sup>99</sup>	36	99	66	43	11 <sup>93</sup>	12 <sup>98</sup>
Niger	590	15 <sup>93</sup>	16	156	51	320	265	43 <sup>92</sup>	40
Nigeria	700	31	42 <sup>99</sup>	110	40	190	183	35	31 <sup>99</sup>
Oman	19	91 <sup>95</sup>	91 <sup>96</sup>	12	100	30	13	24 <sup>91</sup>	23 <sup>95</sup>
Pakistan		40	20 <sup>98</sup>	84	54	128	109	40 <sup>91</sup>	38 <sup>95</sup>
Panama	70		90 <sup>98</sup>	19	97	34	25	6 <sup>92</sup>	8 <sup>97</sup>
Papua New Guinea	370	33 <sup>95</sup>	53 <sup>97</sup>	70	58	101	94		
Paraguay	190	71	71 <sup>99</sup>	26	77	37	30	4	
Peru	265	78	56 <sup>97</sup>	30	97	75	39	11 <sup>92</sup>	7
Philippines	170	53 <sup>94</sup>	56 <sup>99</sup>	29	75	66	38	34	32 <sup>98</sup>
Poland	8		••	8	97	22	9		
Qatar		100 <sup>95</sup>	98 <sup>96</sup>	11	92	25	16	6 <sup>95</sup>	
Romania	41	99 <sup>96</sup>	98 <sup>99</sup>	19	98	36	21	6 <sup>91</sup>	••
Russian Federation	50		99 <sup>98</sup>	18	98	21	21	4 <sup>93</sup>	3 <sup>95</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Maternal and Child Health are given on page 49.

	Maternal mortality ratio <sup>2</sup>	Births atte	-	Infant mortality per 1,000 live births <sup>4</sup>	Percentage of 1 year olds immunised against measles <sup>5</sup>		rtality rate per ve births <sup>6</sup>		hild malnutrition or age (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990-1998	1990 🖔	2000 🖔	2001	2001 💆	1990	2001	1990 🖔	2000 🖔
<b>D</b> 1		26 <sup>93</sup>	0.4	00	70	470	400	29 <sup>92</sup>	04
Rwanda			31 100 <sup>98</sup>	96	78	178	183	29 %	24
Samoa (Western)		52	100 33	20	92	42	25		
Sao Tome & Principe			99	57	69	90	74		
Saudi Arabia		88	91 <sup>99</sup>	23	94	44	28		
Senegal	560	47 <sup>94</sup>	51	79	48	148	138	22 <sup>92</sup>	18
Serbia & Montenegro	10	90 <sup>94</sup>	93 <sup>98</sup>	17	90	26	19	2 <sup>96</sup>	2
Seychelles				13	95	21	17		
Sierra Leone			42	182	37	323	316	29	27
Singapore	6	100 <sup>94</sup>	100 <sup>99</sup>	3	89	8	4		
Slovak Republic	9			8	99	14	9		
Slovenia	11	100 <sup>92</sup>		4	98	10	5		••
Solomon Islands		85 <sup>91</sup>	85 <sup>99</sup>	20		36	24		21 <sup>97</sup>
Somalia	**	••	34 <sup>99</sup>	133	38	225	225		26
South Africa	**	82 <sup>96</sup>	84 <sup>99</sup>	56	72	60	71	9 <sup>95</sup>	••
Sri Lanka	60	85	95 <sup>97</sup>	17	99	23	19	38 <sup>93</sup>	33
OF ICHE O Name		100 <sup>95</sup>	99 <sup>98</sup>	20	04	20	24		
St Kitts & Nevis		100 95	99 <sup>97</sup>	20	94	36	24		
St Lucia			99 <sup>99</sup>	17	89	24	19		 20 <sup>96</sup>
St Vincent & Grenadines				22	98	26	25	93	
Sudan	500	69	86 <sup>94</sup>	65	67	123	107	<b>34</b> <sup>93</sup>	11
Suriname		95 <sup>96</sup>	85	26	90	44	32		
Swaziland	••	56 <sup>94</sup>		106	72	110	149		10
Syria	110	64	77 <sup>94</sup>	23	93	44	28	12 <sup>93</sup>	13 <sup>95</sup>
Tajikistan	65	••	77	91	86	127	116		
Tanzania	530	44 <sup>91</sup>	35	104	83	163	165	29 <sup>92</sup>	29 <sup>99</sup>
Thailand	44	71	95 <sup>96</sup>	24	94	40	28	19 <sup>93</sup>	18 <sup>95</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Maternal and Child Health are given on page 49.

	Maternal mortality ratio <sup>2</sup>	Births atte	-	Infant mortality per 1,000 live births⁴	Percentage of 1 year olds immunised against measles <sup>5</sup>		rtality rate per ve births <sup>6</sup>		child malnutrition or age (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990-1998	1990 💆	2000 ਵੇਂ	2001	2001 💆	1990	2001	1990 💆	2000 💆
Togo	480	51 <sup>98</sup>	51 <sup>99</sup>	79	58	152	141	19 <sup>96</sup>	25 <sup>98</sup>
Tonga		94 <sup>95</sup>	92	17	93	27	20		
Trinidad & Tobago		99 <sup>95</sup>	99 <sup>97</sup>	17	91	24	20	**	
Tunisia	70	80	90	21	92	52	27	9 <sup>95</sup>	4
Turkey	130	77	81 <sup>99</sup>	36	90	74	43	10 <sup>93</sup>	8 98
Turkmenistan	65		97	69	98	98	87		12
Uganda	510	38 <sup>95</sup>	38 <sup>96</sup>	79	61	165	124	26 <sup>95</sup>	23
Ukraine	27		99 <sup>99</sup>	17	99	22	20		3
United Arab Emirates	3	96 <sup>91</sup>	99 <sup>96</sup>	8	94	14	9		7 <sup>96</sup>
Uruguay	26	99 <sup>95</sup>	100 <sup>99</sup>	14	94	24	16	4 <sup>93</sup>	
Uzbekistan	21	98 <sup>97</sup>	96	52	99	65	68		19 <sup>96</sup>
Vanuatu		89 <sup>95</sup>		34	94	70	42	••	
Venezuela	60	95 <sup>95</sup>	95	19	49	27	22	8	4
Vietnam	160	95	70	30	97	50	38	41 <sup>93</sup>	34
West Bank & Gaza				21			25	15 <sup>95</sup>	
Yemen	350	16 <sup>93</sup>	22 <sup>98</sup>	79	79	142	107	30 <sup>92</sup>	46 <sup>97</sup>
Zambia	650	41	47 <sup>96</sup>	112	85	192	202	25 <sup>92</sup>	24 <sup>97</sup>
Zimbabwe	695	69 <sup>95</sup>	84	76	68	80	123	16 <sup>94</sup>	13 <sup>99</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Maternal and Child Health are given on page 49.

	Maternal mortality ratio <sup>2</sup>	Births atte	•	Infant mortality per 1,000 live births <sup>4</sup>	Percentage of 1 year olds immunised against measles <sup>5</sup>		rtality rate per ve births <sup>6</sup>		child malnutrition or age (%) <sup>7</sup>
	1990-1998	1990 💆	2000 है	2001	2001 🖔	1990	2001	1990 ខ្លី	2000 ੈ
East Asia & Pacific	<del></del>	80 <sup>95</sup>		34	76	59	44	17 <sup>95</sup>	15 <sup>01</sup>
Europe & Central Asia	**	••		31	95	44	38	••	
Latin America & Caribbean		••		28	91	53	34	9 <sup>96</sup>	9 <sup>01</sup>
Middle East & North Africa		••		44	92	77	54	15 <sup>95</sup>	15 <sup>01</sup>
South Asia		39	42 <sup>99</sup>	71	58	129	99	53 <sup>93</sup>	53 <sup>01</sup>
Sub-Saharan Africa				105	58	178	171		
Low & middle income				61	71	101	88		
Low income		43		80	60	139	121	••	
Low income									
(excl China & India)		••						••	
Middle income		••		31	86	52	38	13 <sup>95</sup>	13 <sup>01</sup>
Lower middle income		87 <sup>95</sup>		33	84	54	41	13 <sup>95</sup>	10 <sup>01</sup>
Upper middle income		••		23	94	43	27	9 <sup>96</sup>	9 <sup>01</sup>
LDCs		••		101	63	182	160	••	
Developed Countries				5	90	10	7	••	
World				56	72	93	81		

Source: World Bank 2003 World Development Indicators book and CD-Rom

- 2. The number of women who die during pregnancy and childbirth, per 100,000 live births. Data are for the most recent year available.
- 3. The percentage of deliveries attended by personnel trained to give the necessary supervision, care and advice to women during pregnancy, labour and post natal period to conduct deliveries on their own, and to care for new borns.
- 4. The numBer of infants who die before reaching one year of age, per 1,000 live births in a given year.
- 5. The percentage of children under one year of age receiving vaccination against measles.
- 6. The probability that a newborn baby will die before reaching age five, if subject to current age-specific mortality rates.
- 7. The percentage of children aged under five whose weight for age are less than minus two standard deviations from the median for the international reference population (children from the United States). Data refer to the most recent year available.

	HIV prevalence (% of women aged 15-24) <sup>2</sup>	Contraceptive prevalence (% of women aged 15-49) <sup>3</sup>	Incidence of TB per 100,000 people⁴	TB treatment success rate <sup>5</sup>	DOTS detection rate <sup>6</sup>
	2001	1995-2001	2001	1999	1998-2000
Afghanistan				87	9
Albania	<del></del>	<del></del>	21	<del></del>	
Algeria	<del></del>	<del></del>	23	87	129
Angola	5.7	<del></del>	197		
Antigua & Barbuda			3		
Argentine Republic	0.3		30	59	31
Armenia	0.1		47	88	39
Azerbaijan	0.0	55 <sup>01</sup>	56	88	6
Bahamas			19		
Bahrain		62 <sup>95</sup>	34		
Bangladesh	0.0	54 <sup>99</sup>	211	81	24
Barbados	<del></del>	<del></del>	11	<del></del>	
Belarus	0.2	<del></del>	57		
Belize	<del></del>	<del></del>	18		
Benin	3.7	16 <sup>96</sup>	36	77	32
Bermuda					
Bhutan		31 00	114		
Bolivia	0.1	49 <sup>98</sup>	116	74	75
Bosnia & Herzegovina			35	90	47
Botswana	37.5		224	71	67
Brazil	0.5	77 <sup>96</sup>	44	11	1
Brunei			24		
Bulgaria			20		22
Burkina Faso	9.7	12 <sup>98</sup>	157	61	10
Burma	1.7		113	81	48

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Communicable Diseases are given on page 57.

	HIV prevalence (% of women aged 15-24) <sup>2</sup>	Contraceptive prevalence (% of women aged 15-49) <sup>3</sup>	Incidence of TB per 100,000 people <sup>4</sup>	TB treatment success rate <sup>5</sup>	DOTS detection rate <sup>6</sup>
	2001	1995-2001 টু	2001	1999	1998-2000
Burundi	11.0	<del></del>	170	<del></del>	30
Cambodia	2.5	24 <sup>00</sup>	560	93	44
Cameroon	12.7	19 <sup>98</sup>	96	75	16
Cape Verde		53 <sup>98</sup>	188	<del></del>	<del></del>
Cayman Islands					
Central African Republic	13.5		255		
Chad	4.3	4 96	168		33
Chile	0.1		10	83	76
China	0.1	83 <sup>99</sup>	107	96	33
Colombia	0.2	77 00	29	82	34
Comoros	<del></del>	21 <sup>96</sup>	49	<del></del>	<del></del>
Congo (Dem Rep)	5.9		184	69	51
Congo	7.8	<del></del>	122	61	97
Costa Rica	0.3	<del></del>	7	81	147
Cote d'Ivoire	8.3	15 <sup>98</sup>	207	63	32
Croatia	0.0		40		
Cuba	0.0		6	91	96
Cyprus			5		
Czech Republic	0.0		7	78	49
Djibouti			382		
Dominica			9		
Dominican Republic	2.8	64 <sup>96</sup>	88	81	4
Ecuador	0.2	66 <sup>99</sup>	94	75	23
Egypt		56 <sup>00</sup>	23	87	36
El Salvador	0.4	60 <sup>98</sup>	36	78	56

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Communicable Diseases are given on page 57.

	HIV prevalence (% of women aged 15-24) <sup>2</sup>	Contraceptive prevalence (% of women aged 15-49) <sup>3</sup>	Incidence of TB per 100,000 people⁴	TB treatment success rate <sup>5</sup>	DOTS detection rate <sup>6</sup>
	2001	1995-2001 💆	2001	1999	1998-2000
Equatorial Guinea			102	<del></del>	
Eritrea	4.3	8 95	249	44	13
Estonia	0.6		27	63	61
Ethiopia	7.8	8 00	179	76	29
Fiji			23		
French Polynesia					
Gabon	4.7	33 00	187		
Gambia	1.4		283		67
Georgia	0.0	41 <sup>99</sup>	58	61	34
Ghana	3.0	22 <sup>98</sup>	145	55	29
Grenada		<del></del>	3	<del></del>	<del></del>
Guatemala	0.8	38 <sup>99</sup>	48	81	47
Guinea	1.4	6 <sup>99</sup>	134	<del></del>	40
Guinea-Bissau	3.0	<del></del>	135	35	37
Guyana			65		
Haiti	5.0	28 00	190	70	22
Honduras	1.5	62 <sup>01</sup>	46	88	61
Hong Kong	0.0		39	78	33
Hungary	0.0		22	<del></del>	22
ndia	0.7	52 <sup>99</sup>	199	82	11
Indonesia	0.1	57 <sup>98</sup>	321	50	19
Iran	0.0	73 <sup>97</sup>	32	82	32
Iraq	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	85	23
Israel	0.1	<del></del>	5		
Jamaica	0.9	65 <sup>97</sup>	3	74	102

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Communicable Diseases are given on page 57.

e 15-24) <sup>2</sup>	Contraceptive prevalence (% of women aged 15-49) <sup>3</sup>	Incidence of TB per 100,000 people <sup>4</sup>	TB treatment success rate <sup>5</sup>	DOTS detection rate <sup>6</sup>
2001	1995-2001 🦉	2001	1999	1998-2000
	50 <sup>97</sup>	5	88	40
0.0	66 <sup>99</sup>	94	79	80
15.6	<b>39</b> <sup>98</sup>	289	78	43
			94	26
0.0		48		65
		27		
0.0	60 <sup>97</sup>	88	83	37
0.0		143	84	40
0.2		43	74	50
	61 <sup>96</sup>	11	96	57
38.1		277	69	64
		<del></del>		42
	<b>45</b> <sup>95</sup>	11	67	106
0.0		48	84	1
0.0		26		
0.2	19 <sup>97</sup>	158		67
14.9	31 <sup>00</sup>	242	71	40
0.1		67	90	74
		21		
2.1	7 <sup>96</sup>	295	68	17
		3		
			<u>.</u>	
			<u>.</u>	
0.0		57	87	32
	0.6 0.0	0.6 8 00	0.6 8 00 209	0.6 8 00 209

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Communicable Diseases are given on page 57.

	HIV prevalence (% of women aged 15-24) <sup>2</sup>	Contraceptive prevalence (% of women aged 15-49) <sup>3</sup>	Incidence of TB per 100,000 people⁴	TB treatment success rate <sup>5</sup>	DOTS detection rate <sup>6</sup>
	2001	1995-2001 💆	2001	1999	1998-2000
Mayotte	<del></del>				
Mexico	0.1	65 <sup>97</sup>	19	80	66
Micronesia, Fed Sts					
Moldova	0.1	<b>74</b> <sup>97</sup>	104		
Mongolia		60 <sup>98</sup>	124	86	57
Morocco		59 <sup>97</sup>	47	88	81
Mozambique	14.7	6 <sup>97</sup>	125	71	40
Namibia	24.3		221	50	105
Nepal	0.3	<b>29</b> <sup>96</sup>	135	87	58
Netherlands Antilles					
New Caledonia		<del></del>			
Nicaragua	0.1	60 <sup>98</sup>	35	81	76
Niger	1.5	8 98	150	60	22
Nigeria	5.8	15 <sup>99</sup>	196	75	12
Oman		24 <sup>95</sup>	5	67	159
Pakistan	0.1	28 00	178	70	3
Panama	1.3	<del></del>	28	80	45
Papua New Guinea	0.4	26 <sup>96</sup>	283	66	7
Paraguay	0.0	57 <sup>98</sup>	43		4
Peru	0.2	69 <sup>00</sup>	94	93	93
Philippines	0.0	47 <sup>00</sup>	226	87	45
Poland	0.0		23	69	3
Qatar		<b>43</b> <sup>98</sup>	13	**	••
Romania	0.0	48 <sup>99</sup>	94	78	9
Russian Federation	0.7		93	65	3

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Communicable Diseases are given on page 57.

	HIV prevalence (% of women aged 15-24) <sup>2</sup>	Contraceptive prevalence (% of women aged 15-49) <sup>3</sup>	Incidence of TB per 100,000 people⁴	TB treatment success rate <sup>5</sup>	DOTS detection rate <sup>6</sup>
	2001	1995-2001 💆	2001	1999	1998-2000
Rwanda	11.2	13 <sup>00</sup>	188	67	29
Samoa (Western)			22		
Sao Tome & Principe			143		
Saudi Arabia		21 <sup>99</sup>	27	66	39
Senegal	0.5	11 <sup>99</sup>	103		49
Serbia & Montenegro					
Seychelles			26		
Sierra Leone	7.5		258	75	46
Singapore	0.2		22	95	12
Slovak Republic	0.0		15	79	39
Slovenia	0.0		12	88	63
Solomon Islands	<del></del>	<del></del>	52	<del></del>	<del></del>
Somalia	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	88	27
South Africa	25.6	62 <sup>98</sup>	237	60	67
Sri Lanka	0.0		50	84	35
St Kitts & Nevis			7		
St Lucia			9	<del></del>	<del></del>
St Vincent & Grenadines			<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>
Sudan	3.1		142	81	37
Suriname			44		
Swaziland	39.5		627		<del></del>
Syria		<b>45</b> <sup>99</sup>	47	84	24
Tajikistan	0.0	••	83		
Tanzania	8.1	25 <sup>99</sup>	212	78	45
Thailand	1.7	72 <sup>96</sup>	100	77	46

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Communicable Diseases are given on page 57.

	HIV prevalence (% of women aged 15-24) <sup>2</sup>	Contraceptive prevalence (% of women aged 15-49) <sup>3</sup>	Incidence of TB per 100,000 people <sup>4</sup>	TB treatment success rate <sup>5</sup>	DOTS detection rate <sup>6</sup>
	2001	1995-2001 💆	2001	1999	1998-2000
Togo	5.9	24 <sup>98</sup>	114	76	16
Tonga	<del></del>		<del></del>		<del></del>
Trinidad & Tobago	3.2		9	<del></del>	<del></del>
Tunisia		60 <sup>95</sup>	18	91	68
Turkey		64 <sup>98</sup>	25		
Turkmenistan	0.0		56		18
Uganda	4.6	15 <sup>95</sup>	187	61	50
Ukraine	0.9	72 <sup>00</sup>	57		<del></del>
United Arab Emirates			13		29
Uruguay	0.2		15	83	83
Uzbekistan	0.0	56 <sup>96</sup>	63	79	4
Vanuatu	<del></del>		63	<del></del>	<del></del>
Venezuela	0.1		22	82	78
Vietnam	0.2	75 <sup>96</sup>	93	92	80
West Bank & Gaza		42 <sup>96</sup>	19		
Yemen		21 <sup>97</sup>	70	83	50
Zambia	21.0	26 <sup>96</sup>	445	<del></del>	<del></del>
Zimbabwe	33.0	54 <sup>99</sup>	291	73	52

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Communicable Diseases are given on page 57.

	HIV prevalence (% of women aged 15-24) <sup>2</sup>	Contraceptive prevalence (% of women aged 15-49) <sup>3</sup>	Incidence of TB per 100,000 people <sup>4</sup>	TB treatment success rate <sup>5</sup>	DOTS detection rate <sup>6</sup>
	2001	1995-2001 💆	2001	1999	1998-2000
East Asia & Pacific	0.2	59 <sup>01</sup>	137		
Europe & Central Asia	0.4	64 <sup>01</sup>			
Latin America & Caribbean	0.5	40 <sup>01</sup>	41	<del></del>	
Middle East & North Africa		54 <sup>01</sup>		<del></del>	
South Asia	0.6	49 <sup>01</sup>	188	<del></del>	
Sub-Saharan Africa	9.3	21 <sup>01</sup>	198		
Low & middle income	1.6	44 <sup>01</sup>			
Low income	2.4	44 <sup>01</sup>	197	<del></del>	
Low income					
(excl China & India)					
Middle income	0.8	61 <sup>01</sup>	85		
Lower middle income	0.9	61 <sup>01</sup>			
Upper middle income	0.4				
LDCs			192		
Developed Countries	0.1				
World	1.3	44 <sup>01</sup>	119		

Source: World Bank 2003 World Development Indicators book and CD-ROM.

- 2. The percentage of women aged 15-24 who are infected with HIV. Estimates are based on plausible extrapolations from surveys of smaller, nonrepresentative groups.
- 3. The percentage of women who are practicing, or whose sexual partners are practicing, any form of contraception. It is usually measured for married women aged 15-49 only.
- 4. The estimated number of new tuberculosis cases (pulmonary, smear positive, extrapulmonary), per 100,000 people.
- 5. The percentage of new, registered smear-positive TB cases that were cured or in which a full course of treatment was completed.
- 6. The percentage of new infectious TB cases detected under the directly observed treatment short-course (DOTS) case strategy.

	•	Gender equality in primary education <sup>2</sup>		Gender equality in secondary Female % of 0 education <sup>3</sup> labour force <sup>4</sup> ir		Seats in parliament held by women (as % of total) <sup>6</sup>		Life expecta	-	Total fertility rate (births per woman) <sup>8</sup>
	1990 g	2000 g	<b>2000</b> ga 2000	2001	2001	2001	Total	Male	Female	2001
Afghanistan	54	0	38 <sup>96</sup>	35.7	<del></del>		43	43	43	6.8
Albania	100	100	104	41.4	98	6	74	72	76	2.2
Algeria	85	92	107	28.3	90	6	71	69	72	2.9
Angola	93	88	72 <sup>99</sup>	46.3		16	47	45	48	6.6
Antigua & Barbuda		••				8		••		
Argentine Republic	104	100	106	33.8	101	31	74	71	78	2.5
Armenia	100	101	107	48.6	100	3	74	71	78	1.4
Azerbaijan	99	102 <sup>99</sup>	100 <sup>99</sup>	44.6		11	65	62	69	2.1
Bahamas	105	97 <sup>99</sup>	98 <sup>99</sup>	47.4	102	23	70	65	74	2.2
Bahrain	100	100	107	21.2	101	6	73	71	76	2.6
Bangladesh	86	101	104	42.4	70	2	62	61	62	3.0
Barbados	100	100	101	46.0	100	20	75	73	78	1.8
Belarus	100	99	104	49.0	100	18	68	62	74	1.3
Belize	98	97	108	24.2	102	14	74	73	75	3.0
Benin	50	69	47 <sup>99</sup>	48.3	51	6	53	51	55	5.4
Bermuda										
Bhutan				39.9		9	63	62	64	5.2
Bolivia	91	98	96	37.9	96	18	63	61	65	3.8
Bosnia & Herzegovina	100	100 <sup>96</sup>	100 <sup>96</sup>	38.1		12	74	71	76	1.6
Botswana	107	100	107	45.2	108	17	39	39	38	3.9
Brazil	94	96	111	35.5	103	9	68	64	72	2.2
Brunei	94	96	106	35.9	101		76	74	79	2.5
Bulgaria	97	97	98	48.1	100	26	72	68	75	1.3
Burkina Faso	63	71	67	46.5	53	12	44	43	44	6.4
Burma	97	100	95	43.4	100	**	57	54	60	2.9

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Gender are given on page 65.

	Gender equality in primary education <sup>2</sup>		-		Gender equality in youth literacy <sup>5</sup>			Life expecta		Total fertility rate (births per woman) <sup>8</sup>
	1990 💆	2000 ਵ੍ਹ	<b>2000</b> bg	2001	2001	2001	Total	Male	Female	2001
Burundi	84	79	75	48.6	96	19	42	41	42	5.9
Cambodia	81	88	54	51.6	89	9	54	52	55	3.9
Cameroon	85	87	77 <sup>98</sup>	38.1	97	9	49	48	50	4.7
Cape Verde	94	98	98 <sup>96</sup>	38.9	93	11	69	66	72	3.6
Cayman Islands										
Central African Republic	64	69	43 <sup>96</sup>		79	7	43	42	43	4.7
Chad	45	63	28 <sup>99</sup>	44.8	83	6	48	47	50	6.3
Chile	98	97	74	34.1	100	10	76	73	79	2.1
China	92	103 <sup>99</sup>	91 <sup>99</sup>	45.2	98	22	70	69	72	1.9
Colombia	115	99	109	38.9	102	11	72	69	75	2.5
Comoros	72	87	78 <sup>99</sup>	42.3	80		61	60	62	4.2
Congo (Dem Rep)	74	90 <sup>98</sup>	54 <sup>98</sup>	43.4	85		45	45	46	6.1
Congo	88	92	83	43.5	99	11	51	49	54	5.9
Costa Rica	99	97	109	31.4	101	35	78	75	80	2.4
Cote d'Ivoire	71	76	57	33.5	76	9	46	45	46	4.7
Croatia	99	99 <sup>97</sup>	102 <sup>97</sup>	44.3	100	16	74	69	78	1.4
Cuba	97	96	105	39.7	100	36	77	75	79	1.6
Cyprus	100	100	101	38.8	100	11	78	76	80	1.9
Czech Republic	101	99	103	47.3		16	75	72	78	1.2
Djibouti	71	76	131 <sup>99</sup>		91	11	45	45	45	5.3
Dominica						19	76	74	79	1.9
Dominican Republic	101	97	126	31.1	101	15	67	65	70	2.7
Ecuador	99	100	102	28.4	99	16	70	69	72	2.9
Egypt	85	93	94	30.7	83	2	68	67	70	3.2
El Salvador	101	96	100 <sup>98</sup>	36.9	99	10	70	67	73	3.0

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Gender are given on page 65.

	Gender equality in primary education <sup>2</sup>				Gender equality in youth literacy⁵	Seats in parliament held by women (as % of total) <sup>6</sup>	Life expectancy at birth 2001 <sup>7</sup>		Total fertility rate (births per woman) <sup>8</sup>	
	1990 <sup>kg</sup>	2000 년	<b>2000</b> ga <b>0002</b>	2001	2001	2001	Total	Male	Female	2001
Equatorial Guinea	79 <sup>98</sup>	91	44 <sup>99</sup>	35.6	97	5	51	50	53	5.6
Eritrea	80 <sup>92</sup>	83	68	47.4	75	22	51	50	52	5.4
Estonia	97	96	101	49.0	100	18	71	65	76	1.2
Ethiopia	67	68	64	40.9	81	8	42	41	43	5.6
Fiji	100	99 <sup>98</sup>	100 <sup>96</sup>	31.2	100	6	69	68	71	2.7
French Polynesia	96	96 <sup>96</sup>	132 <sup>96</sup>				73	70	76	2.6
Gabon	99 <sup>98</sup>	99	95	44.7		11	53	52	54	4.1
Gambia	68	91	70	45.1	76	13	53	52	55	4.9
Georgia	100	101	103	46.8		7	73	69	77	1.1
Ghana	83	90	80	50.4	95	9	56	55	57	4.1
Grenada						18	73	70	76	3.1
Guatemala	87	92	90	29.5	85	9	65	62	68	4.4
Guinea	48	72	35 <sup>98</sup>	47.2		19	46	46	47	5.1
Guinea-Bissau	54	67 <sup>99</sup>	54 <sup>99</sup>	40.5	62	8	45	44	47	5.7
Guyana	99	97 <sup>99</sup>	107 <sup>96</sup>	34.2	100	20	63	58	67	2.3
Haiti	95	97 <sup>97</sup>	93 <sup>96</sup>	42.9	102	9	52	50	55	4.3
Honduras	105	102	121 <sup>96</sup>	32.2	104	6	66	63	69	4.1
Hong Kong	101	103 <sup>96</sup>	109 <sup>96</sup>	37.2	101		80	77	83	0.9
Hungary	100	98	101 <sup>99</sup>	44.7	100	10	72	67	76	1.3
India	76	83 <sup>99</sup>	70 <sup>99</sup>	32.4	83	9	63	62	64	3.0
Indonesia	97	98	97	41.0	99	8	66	65	68	2.4
Iran	90	97	93	27.8	96	4	69	68	70	2.6
Iraq	85	82 <sup>99</sup>	62 <sup>99</sup>	20.0	50		62	61	63	4.2
Israel	103	100	99	41.5	99	15	79	77	81	2.8
Jamaica	99	99	104	46.2	108	14	76	74	78	2.4

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Gender are given on page 65.

	Gender equality in primary education <sup>2</sup>				Gender equality in youth literacy <sup>5</sup>	Seats in parliament held by women (as % of total) <sup>6</sup>	Life expectancy			Total fertility rate (births per woman) <sup>8</sup>
	1990 ਵੇਂ	2000 ਵੇਂ	2000 है	2001	2001	2001	Total	Male	Female	2001
Jordan	100	100 <sup>99</sup>	103 <sup>99</sup>	25.1	100	3	72	70	73	3.6
Kazakhstan	99	99	97	47.1	100	9	63	58	68	1.8
Kenya	96	98	91	46.1	99	7	46	46	47	4.3
Kiribati	102 <sup>98</sup>	102 <sup>99</sup>					62	59	65	3.7
Korea Dem Rep (North)				43.3			61	60	63	2.1
Korea, Rep (South)	100	100	100	41.6	100	6	74	70	77	1.4
Kuwait	95	99 <sup>99</sup>	102 <sup>99</sup>	31.7	102	0	77	75	79	2.6
Kyrgyzstan	100	97	100	47.3		7	66	62	70	2.5
Laos	78	86	70		85	23	54	53	55	4.9
Latvia	99	99	102	50.5	100	21	70	65	76	1.2
Lebanon	96	96	110	29.9	96	2	71	69	72	2.3
Lesotho	123	105	120	37.0	119	17	43	43	44	4.3
Liberia	66	69 <sup>99</sup>	71 <sup>99</sup>	39.6	63		47	46	48	5.9
Libya	94	102	103	23.6	94		72	70	74	3.4
Lithuania	95	99	99	48.0	100	11	73	68	78	1.3
Macedonia (FYR of)	98	100	98	41.8		18	73	71	75	1.8
Madagascar	100	96	93 <sup>98</sup>	44.7	92	6	55	54	57	5.3
Malawi	84	97	78	48.5	77	9	38	38	39	6.2
Malaysia	100	100	110	38.1	100	15	73	70	75	2.9
Maldives	97	100	108	43.5	100	6	69	67	70	4.1
Mali	56	72	50 <sup>98</sup>	46.2	54	10	41	40	43	6.2
Malta	96	101 <sup>99</sup>	100 <sup>99</sup>	28.1	102	9	78	76	81	1.8
Marshall Islands										
Mauritania	73	93	91	43.6	72	3	51	49	53	4.5
Mauritius	100	99	95	32.8	101	6	72	69	76	2.0

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Gender are given on page 65.

	Gender equality in primary education <sup>2</sup>				Gender equality in youth literacy⁵	Seats in parliament held by women (as % of total) <sup>6</sup>	Life expectancy			Total fertility rate (births per woman) <sup>8</sup>
	1990 ਵ੍ਹ	2000 g	<b>2000</b> kg	2001	2001	2001	Total	Male	Female	2001
Mayotte	<del></del>					<del></del>				
Mexico	97	99	105	33.5	99	16	73	70	76	2.5
Micronesia, Fed Sts		110	108				68	66	70	3.6
Moldova	100	100	103	48.5	100	13	67	64	71	1.4
Mongolia	102	104	122	47.1	100	11	65	64	67	2.5
Morocco	68	87	80 <sup>99</sup>	34.8	78	6	68	66	70	2.8
Mozambique	74	76	64	48.4	63	30	42	41	43	5.1
Namibia	110	101	112	40.9	104	21	44	44	44	4.9
Nepal	61	84	74	40.5	57	8	59	60	59	4.2
Netherlands Antilles	96 <sup>98</sup>	87	113	42.8	100					
New Caledonia	98	99 <sup>96</sup>	112 <sup>96</sup>		<del></del>		73	70	77	2.5
Nicaragua	105	101	116	36.3	103	21	69	66	71	3.5
Niger	57	69	63	44.3	42	1	46	44	48	7.2
Nigeria	76	83 <sup>96</sup>	82 <sup>96</sup>	36.6	94	3	46	45	47	5.2
Oman	91	96	97	18.0	97		74	72	75	4.1
Pakistan	48	58	66	29.1	60	21	63	62	65	4.6
Panama	96	97	106	35.5	99	10	75	72	77	2.5
Papua New Guinea	85	91 <sup>99</sup>	75 <sup>99</sup>	42.3	90	1	57	56	58	4.4
Paraguay	96	97	103	30.2	100	8	71	68	73	3.9
Peru	96	99 <sup>99</sup>	94 <sup>98</sup>	31.6	97	18	70	67	72	2.7
Philippines	96	100	109	37.9	100	17	70	68	72	3.3
Poland	99	99	97	46.4	100	21	74	69	78	1.3
Qatar	93	99	107	15.7	104		75	75	75	2.5
Romania	100	98	101	44.5	100	10	70	66	74	1.3
Russian Federation	100	99 <sup>96</sup>	108	49.2	100	6	66	59	72	1.2

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Gender are given on page 65.

	Gender equality in primary education <sup>2</sup>				Gender equality in youth literacy <sup>5</sup>	Seats in parliament held by women (as % of total) <sup>6</sup>		Life expecta	-	Total fertility rate (births per woman) <sup>8</sup>
	1990 <sup>bg</sup>	2000 है	<b>2000</b> g z	2001	2001	2001	Total	Male	Female	2001
Rwanda	99	99	100 <sup>99</sup>	48.8	97	26	40	39	40	5.8
Samoa (Western)	109	96	108		100	6	69	66	72	4.1
Sao Tome & Principe						9	65	63	68	4.4
Saudi Arabia	87	96	90	16.9	96		73	71	75	5.4
Senegal	74	89	67	42.6	72	19	52	51	54	5.0
Serbia & Montenegro	103	101 <sup>96</sup>	107 <sup>96</sup>	43.0			73	70	75	1.7
Seychelles						29	73	69	77	2.1
Sierra Leone	68	75	83	37.0		15	37	36	39	5.7
Singapore	97	98 <sup>96</sup>	97 <sup>96</sup>	39.2	100	12	78	76	80	1.4
Slovak Republic	105	100	101	47.7		19	73	69	77	1.3
Slovenia	100	99	103 <sup>97</sup>	46.5	100	12	76	72	79	1.2
Solomon Islands	86	90 <sup>96</sup>	64 <sup>96</sup>	46.5	••	0	69	68	70	5.3
Somalia	50	54 <sup>96</sup>	57 <sup>96</sup>	43.4	••	**	47	46	49	7.0
South Africa	98	94	110	37.9	99	30	47	46	48	2.8
Sri Lanka	98	97 <sup>98</sup>	106 <sup>98</sup>	36.8	100	4	73	71	76	2.1
St Kitts & Nevis						13	71	69	74	2.2
St Lucia	93 <sup>98</sup>	95	130			21	72	70	74	2.1
St Vincent & Grenadines						23	73	70	76	2.1
Sudan	75	86 <sup>99</sup>	164 <sup>99</sup>	29.8	88	10	58	57	59	4.5
Suriname		99	118	34.0		18	70	68	73	2.3
Swaziland	96	95	100 <sup>99</sup>	37.8	102	6	45	44	45	4.3
Syria	89	93	89	27.3	83	10	70	68	72	3.6
Tajikistan	98	93	83	45.1	100	12	67	64	70	3.0
Tanzania	99	100	83	49.1	95	22	44	43	44	5.2
Thailand	98	96	95	46.3	99	10	69	67	71	1.8

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Gender are given on page 65.

	Gender equality in primary education <sup>2</sup>				parlian Female % of Gender equality be labour force <sup>4</sup> in youth literacy <sup>5</sup> (as %			incy 1 <sup>7</sup>	Total fertility rate (births per woman) <sup>8</sup>	
	1990 💆	2000 ਵ੍ਹ	2000 ছু	2001	2001	2001	Total	Male	Female	2001
Togo	65	80	44	40.0	74	7	49	48	51	5.0
Tonga	97 <sup>98</sup>	99	106				71	69	73	3.5
Trinidad & Tobago	99	98	108	34.6	100	25	72	70	75	1.8
Tunisia	89	96	105	31.9	92	12	72	70	74	2.1
Turkey	94	91	72 <sup>99</sup>	37.9	95	4	70	67	72	2.3
Turkmenistan	99	100 <sup>96</sup>	100 <sup>96</sup>	45.9		26	65	61	69	2.3
Uganda	80	90	76	47.6	85	25	43	43	43	6.1
Ukraine	100	97 <sup>98</sup>	89 <sup>98</sup>	48.8	100	5	68	63	74	1.2
United Arab Emirates	97	100	113	15.3	108	0	75	74	77	3.1
Uruguay	99	99	114	42.0	100	12	74	71	79	2.2
Uzbekistan	99	98 <sup>96</sup>	89 <sup>96</sup>	46.9	100	7	67	64	71	2.5
Vanuatu	96	107	84	••	**	2	68	67	70	4.4
Venezuela	103	98	120	35.1	102	10	74	71	77	2.8
Vietnam	94	94	91	48.8	101	27	69	67	72	2.2
West Bank & Gaza							72	70	75	5.0
Yemen	40	64	36 <sup>98</sup>	28.2	58	1	57	56	58	6.1
Zambia	93	95	81	44.7	95	12	37	37	38	5.2
Zimbabwe	98	97	89	44.5	97	10	39	40	39	3.7

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Gender are given on page 65.

	Gender equality in primary education <sup>2</sup>		Gender equality in secondary Female % of education <sup>3</sup> labour force <sup>4</sup>		Gender equality in youth literacy⁵	Seats in parliament held by women (as % of total) <sup>6</sup>		Life expecta at birth 200	-	Total fertility rate (births per woman) <sup>8</sup>
	1990 💆	2000 ਵ੍ਹ	ğ 0002	2001	2001	2001	Total	Male	Female	2001
East Asia & Pacific	94	101 <sup>99</sup>	92 <sup>99</sup>	44.5	99		69	67	71	2.1
Europe & Central Asia	99	97	100 <sup>96</sup>	46.3	99		69	64	73	1.6
Latin America & Caribbean	98	98	107	35.0	100		71	67	74	2.5
Middle East & North Africa	84	92	96	28.1	85	**	68	67	70	3.3
South Asia	75	82 <sup>99</sup>	75 <sup>99</sup>	33.5	78	**	63	62	63	3.2
Sub-Saharan Africa	82	87	83 <sup>98</sup>	42.0	88		46	45	47	5.1
Low & middle income	89	93 <sup>99</sup>	90 <sup>99</sup>	40.2	92		64	63	66	2.8
Low income	81	85 <sup>99</sup>	78 <sup>99</sup>	37.9	85		59	58	60	3.5
Low income										
(excl China & India)		••				**				
Middle income	94	99 <sup>99</sup>	96 <sup>99</sup>	42.1	98	**	70	67	72	2.2
Lower middle income	93	100 <sup>99</sup>	91 <sup>99</sup>	43.2	97		69	67	71	2.1
Upper middle income	97	98	106	36.3	101	**	72	68	75	2.3
LDCs	80	87	88	42.4	81	**	51	50	52	4.8
Developed Countries	100	100	103	43.3		**	78	75	81	1.7
World	90	93 <sup>99</sup>	94 <sup>99</sup>	40.7			67	65	69	2.6

Source: World Bank 2003 World Development Indicators book and CD-ROM.

- 2. The gross primary enrolment rate for girls as a percentage of that for boys. 100 would equate to absolute equality in enrolment patterns, less than 100 implying that fewer girls than boys. are able to enrol.
- 3. The gross secondary enrolment rate for girls as a percentage of that for boys. 100 would equate to absolute equality in enrolment patterns, less than 100 implying that fewer girls than boys are able to enrol.
- 4. Females as a percentage of the total labour force.
- 5. The female youth literacy rate as a percentage of the male literacy rate. A figure of 100 indicates absolute equality in youth literacy less than 100 implies that girls are less able than boys to read.
- 6. Data refer to the situation of women in the lower or single house of the national parliaments at January of the year indicated.
- 7. The life expectancy of a new born infant if patterns of mortality at the time of its birth stay the same throughout its life.
- 8. The number of children born to a woman if she lives to the end of her child bearing years and bears children at current age-specific fertility rates.

# 1.6 Environment and Infrastructure<sup>1</sup>

		Percentage of population with access to improved water <sup>2</sup>		population with	Electric power consumption per capita kwh <sup>4</sup>	Industrial carbon dioxide emissions (tonnes per capita) <sup>5</sup>	Energy efficiency (GDP per unit of energy use) <sup>6</sup>	Forest area as a % of national surface area <sup>7</sup>	Biodiversity: land area protected (% of total land area) <sup>8</sup>
	1990	2000	1990	2000	2000	1999	2000	2000	2002
Afghanistan		13		12		0		2	0.3
Albania		97		91	1 073	0	7	36	3.8
Algeria	**	89		92	612	3	6	1	5.0
Angola		38		44	88	1	4	56	6.6
Antigua & Barbuda		91		95		5		20	
Argentine Republic	94		82		2 038	4	7	13	6.6
Armenia					944	1	4	12	7.6
Azerbaijan		78		81	1 852	4	2	13	5.5
Bahamas		97		100		6		84	
Bahrain					8 507	29	2		
Bangladesh	94	97	41	48	96	0	11	10	0.8
Barbados	••	100		100		8		5	
Belarus	••	100	••		2 678	6	3	45	6.3
Belize	••	92	••	50		3		59	
Benin		63	20	23	64	0	3	24	11.4
Bermuda									
Bhutan		62		70		0		64	
Bolivia	71	83	52	70	387	1	4	49	13.9
Bosnia & Herzegovina					1 473	1	5	45	0.5
Botswana	93	95	60	66		2		22	18.5
Brazil	83	87	71	76	1 878	2	7	63	6.7
Brunei					7 263	14		84	••
Bulgaria		100		100	2 962	5	3	33	4.5
Burkina Faso		42		29		0		26	10.4
Burma		72		64	69	0		52	0.9

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Environment and Infrastructure are given on page 73.

	Percentage of population with access to improved water <sup>2</sup>			Percentage of population with access to improved sanitation <sup>3</sup>		ndustrial carbon exide emissions (tonnes per capita) <sup>5</sup>	Energy efficiency (GDP per unit of energy use) <sup>6</sup>	% of national surface area <sup>7</sup>	Biodiversity: land area protected (% of total land area) <sup>8</sup>
	1990	2000	1990	2000	2000	1999	2000	2000	2002
Burundi	69	78	87	88		0		4	5.7
Cambodia		30		17	<del></del>	0		53	18.5
Cameroon	51	58	77	79	183	0	4	51	4.5
Cape Verde		74		71		0		21	
Cayman Islands				••				50	
Central African Republic	48	70	24	25		0		37	8.9
Chad		27	18	29		0		10	9.1
Chile	90	93	97	96	2 406	4	6	21	18.9
China	71	75	29	38	827	2	4	17	7.8
Colombia	94	91	83	86	788	2	10	48	9.1
Comoros	88	96	98	98		0		4	
Congo (Dem Rep)		45		21	40	0	3	60	6.5
Congo		51		14	86	1	3	65	5.0
Costa Rica		95		93	1 630	2	12	39	23.0
Cote d'Ivoire	80	81	46	52		1	4	22	6.4
Croatia					2 695	5	5	32	7.5
Cuba		91		98	1 049	2		21	67.5
Cyprus	100	100	100	100	3 958	8	6	13	
Czech Republic					4 807	11	4	34	16.1
Djibouti		100		91		1		0	
Dominica		97		83		1		61	
Dominican Republic	83	86	66	67	788	3	7	28	32.0
Ecuador	71	85	70	86	624	2	5	38	46.4
Egypt	94	97	87	98	976	2	5	0	1.0
El Salvador	66	77	73	82	587	1	8	6	0.4

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Environment and Infrastructure are given on page 73.

		Percentage of population with access to improved water <sup>2</sup>		Percentage of population with access to improved sanitation <sup>3</sup>		capita kwh <sup>4</sup> capita) <sup>5</sup>		Forest area as a % of national surface area <sup>7</sup>	Biodiversity: land area protected (% of total land area) <sup>8</sup>
	1990	2000	1990	2000	2000	1999	2000	2000	2002
Equatorial Guinea		44		53		1		62	
Eritrea		46		13		0		16	5.0
Estonia		••			3 628	12	3	49	11.8
Ethiopia	25	24	8	12	22	0	3	5	22.8
Fiji		47		43		1		45	
French Polynesia						2		29	
Gabon		86		53	697	3	5	85	2.8
Gambia		62		37		0		48	2.3
Georgia		79		100	1 212	1	5	43	2.8
Ghana	53	73	61	72	288	0	5	28	5.6
Grenada	<del></del>	95		97	<del></del>	2		15	
Guatemala	76	92	70	81	335	1	7	26	20.0
Guinea	45	48	55	58		0		28	0.7
Guinea-Bissau		56	44	56	**	0		78	
Guyana		94		87		2		86	••
Haiti	53	46	23	28	37	0	8	3	0.4
Honduras	83	88	61	75	499	1	6	48	6.4
Hong Kong					5 447	6	11		
Hungary	99	99	99	99	2 909	6	5	20	7.0
India	68	84	16	28	355	1	6	22	5.2
Indonesia	71	78	47	55	384	1	4	58	19.7
Iran		92		83	1 474	5	3	4	5.1
Iraq		85		79	1 450	3		2	0.0
Israel					6 188	10	6	6	15.8
Jamaica	93	92	99	99	2 328	4	2	30	

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Environment and Infrastructure are given on page 73.

	Percentage of population with access to improved water <sup>2</sup>			Percentage of population with access to improved sanitation <sup>3</sup>		ndustrial carbon oxide emissions (tonnes per capita) <sup>5</sup>	Energy efficiency (GDP per unit of energy use) <sup>6</sup>	Forest area as a % of national surface area <sup>7</sup>	Biodiversity: land area protected (% of total land area) <sup>8</sup>
	1990	2000	1990	2000	2000	1999	2000	2000	2002
Jordan	97	96	98	99	1 236	3	4	1	3.4
Kazakhstan		91		99	2 622	7	2	4	2.7
Kenya	45	57	80	87	106	0	2	30	8.0
Kiribati		48		48		0		38	
Korea Dem Rep (North)		100		99		9		68	2.6
Korea, Rep (South)		92		63	5 607	8	4	63	6.9
Kuwait					13 995	25	2	0	1.5
Kyrgyzstan		77		100	1 606	1	5	5	3.6
Laos		37		30		0		54	13.1
Latvia					1 887	3	5	47	13.4
Lebanon		100		99	1 814	4	4	4	0.5
Lesotho		78		49				0	0.2
Liberia		••				0		36	2.6
Libya	71	72	97	97	3 921	8		0	0.1
Lithuania				••	1 768	4	4	31	10.0
Macedonia (FYR of)						6		36	7.1
Madagascar	44	47	36	42		0		20	2.1
Malawi	49	57	73	76		0		28	11.3
Malaysia					2 628	5	4	59	5.3
Maldives		100		56		2		3	
Mali	55	65	70	69		0		11	3.7
Malta	100	100	100	100	4 018	9	7	0	
Marshall Islands									
Mauritania	37	37	30	33	··	1	••	0	1.7
Mauritius	100	100	100	99		2		8	7.8

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Environment and Infrastructure are given on page 73.

		Percentage of population with access to improved water <sup>2</sup>		Percentage of population with access to improved sanitation <sup>3</sup>				Forest area as a % of national surface area <sup>7</sup>	Biodiversity: land area protected (% of total land area) <sup>8</sup>
	1990	2000	1990	2000	2000	1999	2000	2000	2002
Mayotte									
Mexico	80	88	70	74	1 655	4	5	29	10.2
Micronesia, Fed Sts									
Moldova		92		99	720	2	3	10	1.4
Mongolia		60		30		3		7	11.5
Morocco	75	80	58	68	447	1	10	7	0.7
Mozambique		57		43	53	0	2	39	8.4
Namibia	72	77	33	41		0	12	10	13.6
Nepal	67	88	20	28	56	0	4	27	8.9
Netherlands Antilles									
New Caledonia	<del></del>	<del></del>				8		20	
Nicaragua	70	77	76	85	267	1		27	17.8
Niger	53	59	15	20		0		1	7.7
Nigeria	53	62	53	54	81	0	1	15	3.3
Oman	37	39	84	92	2 952	8	3	0	12.6
Pakistan	83	90	36	62	352	1	4	3	4.9
Panama		90		92	1 331	3	7	39	22.9
Papua New Guinea	40	42	82	82		0		68	2.3
Paraguay	63	78	93	94	838	1	7	59	3.5
Peru	74	80	60	71	668	1	9	51	6.1
Philippines	87	86	74	83	477	1	7	19	5.7
Poland		••			2 511	8	4	31	12.4
Qatar		••			14 994	91		0	
Romania		58		53	1 513	4	3	28	4.7
Russian Federation		99			4 181	10	2	50	8.3

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Environment and Infrastructure are given on page 73.

		Percentage of population with access to improved water <sup>2</sup>		Percentage of population with access to improved sanitation <sup>3</sup>		Industrial carbon dioxide emissions (tonnes per capita) <sup>5</sup>	Energy efficiency (GDP per unit of energy use) <sup>6</sup>	Forest area as a % of national surface area <sup>7</sup>	Biodiversity: land area protected (% of total land area) <sup>8</sup>
	1990	2000	1990	2000	2000	1999	2000	2000	2002
Rwanda		41		8		0		12	14.7
Samoa (Western)		99		99		1		37	
Sao Tome & Principe		••		••		1		28	
Saudi Arabia		95		100	4 912	12	3	1	38.4
Senegal	72	78	57	70	121	0	4	32	11.6
Serbia & Montenegro		98		100		4			
Seychelles						3		67	
Sierra Leone		57		66		0		15	2.1
Singapore	100	100	100	100	6 948	14	4	3	4.9
Slovak Republic		100		100	4 075	7	4	42	
Slovenia	100	100			5 290	7	5	55	6.0
Solomon Islands	••	71		34	••	0		91	
Somalia	••		••		••			12	0.8
South Africa	86	86	86	87	3 745	8	4	7	5.5
Sri Lanka	68	77	85	94	293	0	8	30	13.5
St Kitts & Nevis		98		96		2		11	
St Lucia		98		89		2		15	
St Vincent & Grenadines		93		96		1		15	
Sudan	67	75	58	62	66	0	4	26	5.2
Suriname		82		93		5		90	
Swaziland						0		30	3.5
Syria		80		90	900	3	3	3	••
Tajikistan		60		90	2 137	1	2	3	4.2
Tanzania	38	68	84	90	56	0	1	44	29.8
Thailand	80	84	79	96	1 448	3	5	29	13.9

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Environment and Infrastructure are given on page 73.

		oopulation with proved water <sup>2</sup>	Percentage of population with access to improved sanitation <sup>3</sup>		Industrial carbon Electric power dioxide emissions I consumption per (tonnes per capita kwh <sup>4</sup> capita) <sup>5</sup>		Energy efficiency (GDP per unit of energy use) <sup>6</sup>	Biodiversity: land area protected (% of total land area) <sup>8</sup>	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	2000	1999	2000	2000	2002
Togo	51	54	37	34		0	5	9	7.9
Tonga		100				1		6	
Trinidad & Tobago	91	90	99	99	3 692	19	1	50	6.0
Tunisia	75	80	76	84	939	2	7	3	0.3
Turkey	79	82	87	90	1 468	3	5	13	1.6
Turkmenistan					1 071	6	1	8	4.2
Uganda	45	52		79		0		21	24.9
Ukraine		98		99	2 293	8	1	17	3.9
United Arab Emirates					10 725	31		4	0.0
Uruguay		98		94	1 924	2	9	7	0.3
Uzbekistan	<del></del>	85		89	1 612	5	1	5	2.0
Vanuatu		88		100		0		37	
Venezuela		83		68	2 533	5	2	56	63.8
Vietnam	55	77	29	47	286	1	4	30	3.5
West Bank & Gaza									
Yemen		69	32	38	107	1	4	1	
Zambia	52	64	63	78	556	0	1	42	61.0
Zimbabwe	78	83	56	62	845	1	3	49	12.9

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Environment and Infrastructure are given on page 73.

					li	ndustrial carbon			
		Percentage of population with access to improved water <sup>2</sup>		Percentage of population with access to improved sanitation <sup>3</sup>		_		Forest area as a % of national surface area <sup>7</sup>	Biodiversity: land area protected (% of total land area) <sup>8</sup>
	1990	2000	1990	2000	2000	1999	2000	2000	2002
East Asia & Pacific	71	76	35	46	760	2		27	9.2
Europe & Central Asia		91			2 753	7	2	40	7.0
Latin America & Caribbean	82	86	72	77	1 528	3	6	47	11.5
Middle East & North Africa		88		85	1 346	4	4	2	10.4
South Asia	72	84	22	34	323	1	6	16	4.8
Sub-Saharan Africa	53	58	54	53	432	1	3	27	9.9
Low & middle income	71	79	39	51	914	2	4	31	9.3
Low income	66	76	30	44	352	1	4	27	9.2
Low income									
(excl China & India)		••				••	••	••	
Middle income	76	82	47	59	1 391	3	4	33	9.3
Lower middle income	74	80	42	55	1 193	3	4	32	7.5
Upper middle income	84	88	75	79	2 252	4	5	34	13.0
LDCs		61		44	77	0	••	27	••
Developed Countries		••			8 617	12	5	26	19.5
World	74	81	45	55	2 176	4	4	30	11.7

Source: World Bank 2003 World Development Indicators book and CD-ROM.

- 2. The data on access to an improved water source measure the share of the population with ready access to water for domestic purposes. Access to drinking water from an improved source does not ensure that the water is safe or adequate. Reasonable access is defined as the availability of at least 20 litres of water a person per day within one kilometre of the dwelling.
- 3. The share of the population with at least adequate private or shared excreta disposal facilities.
- 4. Measures the production of power plants and combined heat and power plants less transmission, distribution, and transformation losses and own use by heat and power plants, divided by the population.
- 5. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are those stemming both from the burning of fossil fuels and the manufacture of cement. They include contributions to the carbon dioxide produced during consumption of solid, liquid, and gas fuels and gas flaring.
- 6. GDP per unit of energy use
- 7. Forest area is land under natural or planted stands of trees, whether productive or not.
- 8. Nationally protected (totally or partially) areas of at least 1,000 hectares that are designated as national parks, natural monuments, nature reserves or wildlife sanctuaries, protected landscapes and seascapes, or scientific reserves with limited public access. The data do not include sites protected under local or provincial law.

	Trade (% of GDP) <sup>2</sup>	Trade in goods % of GDP <sup>3</sup>	Primary share of exports (% of merchandise exports) <sup>4</sup>	Net foreign direct investment (% of GDP) <sup>5</sup>	Information and communication technology expenditure (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Internet users (thousands) <sup>7</sup>	Telephones (mainline and mobile phones) per 1000 people <sup>8</sup>
	2001 💆	2001 💆	2001	2001 💆	2001	2001 bg	2001 ਫ਼ੁੱ
Afghanistan							1
Albania	61	39	16	5		10	138
Algeria	59	56	98	2		60	64
Angola	136	106		23		60	12
Antigua & Barbuda	148	49				5 00	804
Argentine Republic	22	17	66	1	4	3 300	417
Armenia	72	60	52	3		50 <sup>00</sup>	146
Azerbaijan	81	71	95	4		25	191
Bahamas		58 <sup>00</sup>	71	5 <sup>00</sup>		17	597
Bahrain	140	124	87			140	672
Bangladesh	38	32		0		250	8
Barbados	100	55 <sup>00</sup>	47	1		15	672
Belarus	139	127	30	1		422	292
Belize	129	71		4		18	304
Benin	43	43	94	6		25	28
Bermuda		30 <sup>97</sup>				25 <sup>99</sup>	1 075
Bhutan	89 <sup>00</sup>	55	60	0		3	25
Bolivia	43	38	78	8		150	152
Bosnia & Herzegovina	85 <sup>00</sup>	82		5		45	168
Botswana	86	92		1		50	165
Brazil	28	23	44	5	8	8 000	385
Brunei		74 <sup>98</sup>			**	35	673
Bulgaria	119	97	37	5	4	605	550
Burkina Faso	36	33		1		19	11
Burma	2 <sup>98</sup>			••		10	6

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on International Linkages are given on Page 81.

	Trade (% of GDP) <sup>2</sup>	Trade in goods % of GDP <sup>3</sup>	Primary share of exports (% of merchandise exports) <sup>4</sup>	Net foreign direct investment (% of GDP) <sup>5</sup>	Information and communication technology expenditure (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Internet users (thousands) <sup>7</sup>	Telephones (mainline and mobile phones) per 1000 people <sup>8</sup>
	2001 🖔	2001 💆	2001	2001 g	2001	2001 <sup>bg</sup>	2001 🖔
Burundi	25	26		0		6	6
Cambodia	115	92		3		10	19
Cameroon	61	42	95	1		45	27
Cape Verde	83	41		0		12	215
Cayman Islands		42 <sup>96</sup>				1 <sup>95</sup>	821 00
Central African Republic	27	27		1		2	5
Chad	67	50		5		4	4
Chile	67	52	80	7	8	3 102	575
China	49	44	11	4	6	33 700	247
Colombia	38	30	61	3	12	1 154	247
Comoros	45	41		1	<del></del>	3	12
Congo (Dem Rep)	35	34		1		6	3
Congo	134	110		2		1	55
Costa Rica	88	72	38	3		384	306
Cote d'Ivoire	72	60	85	2		70	63
Croatia	99	63	27	7		250 <sup>00</sup>	742
Cuba	34 <sup>00</sup>					120	52
Cyprus	93 <sup>99</sup>	55 <sup>00</sup>	47	2		150	1 087
Czech Republic	145	124	10	9	10	1 400	1 050
Djibouti	107 00	30		1		3	20
Dominica	115	65		5		6 00	291
Dominican Republic	56	67		6		186	256
Ecuador	65	54	88	7		328	171
Egypt	40	17	60	1	3	600	147
El Salvador	72	57	44	2		50 <sup>00</sup>	218

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on International Linkages are given on Page 81.

	Trade (% of GDP) <sup>2</sup>	Trade in goods % of GDP <sup>3</sup>	Primary share of exports (% of merchandise exports) <sup>4</sup>	Net foreign direct investment (% of GDP) <sup>5</sup>	Information and communication technology expenditure (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Internet users (thousands) <sup>7</sup>	Telephones (mainline and mobile phones) per 1000 people <sup>8</sup>
	2001 g	2001 bg	2001	2001 ਫ਼੍ਰੇ	2001	2001 <sup>kg</sup>	2001 ਫ਼ੈਲ੍ਹ
Equatorial Guinea	275 <sup>98</sup>	38		5		1	47
Eritrea	97	73		5	<del></del>	15	8
Estonia	185	140	25	10		430	807
Ethiopia	47	23		0		25	4
Fiji	132 00	85		0		15	211
French Polynesia	29 00	40 00				16	508
Gabon	101	82	98	5		17	235
Gambia	126	54	82	9		18	67
Georgia	60	33		5		25	213
Ghana	123	89	84	2		41	21
Grenada	129	57		9		5	392
Guatemala	47	39	62	2		200	162
Guinea	57	48	72	0		15	10
Guinea-Bissau	114	60		15		4	10
Guyana	206	152		8		95	179
Haiti	46	35		0		30	21
Honduras	93	66	72	3	<del></del>	40 00	83
Hong Kong	282	125	4	14	9	2 601	1 439
Hungary	123	124	12	5	9	1 480	872
India	29	20	21	1	4	7 000	44
Indonesia	74	60	44	-2	2	4 000	66
Iran	50	38	90	0		1 005	201
Iraq							29
Israel	87 <sup>00</sup>	63 <sup>00</sup>	6	3	7	1 800	1 284
Jamaica	97	59	27	8		100	466

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on International Linkages are given on Page 81.

	Trade (% of GDP) <sup>2</sup>	Trade in goods % of GDP <sup>3</sup>	Primary share of exports (% of merchandise exports) <sup>4</sup>	Net foreign direct investment (% of GDP) <sup>5</sup>	Information and communication technology expenditure (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Internet users (thousands) <sup>7</sup>	Telephones (mainline and mobile phones) per 1000 people <sup>8</sup>
	2001 💆	2001 g	2001	2001 💆	2001	2001 🖔	2001 💆
Jordan	113	81	34	1	<del></del>	212	294
Kazakhstan	95	67	80	12	<del></del>	100 00	36
Kenya	61	25	79	0	<del></del>	500	29
Kiribati		150				2	48
Korea Dem Rep (North)						0	22
Korea, Rep (South)	83	69	9	1	7	24 380	1 107
Kuwait	92	73	80	0		200	685
Kyrgyzstan	73	62	40	0	<del></del>	151	83
Laos	84 <sup>98</sup>	50		1	<del></del>	10	15
Latvia	100	73	40	2		170	587
Lebanon	54	49	31	1	<del></del>	300 00	407 00
Lesotho	119	121		15	<del></del>	5	15
Liberia		173		2	<del></del>	1	3
Libya	51 <sup>00</sup>	62 <sup>00</sup>				20	118
Lithuania	106	92	41	4		250	566
Macedonia (FYR of)	96	81	30	13		70	372
Madagascar	60	46	48	0	<del>.</del>	35	13
Malawi	64	49		3	<del></del>	20	10
Malaysia	214	184	19	1	7	6 500	510
Maldives	169	80		2		10	168
Mali	74	53		4		30	8
Malta	180	72	4	8		99	884
Marshall Islands	81 <sup>99</sup>					1	67
Mauritania	89	61		3		7	42
Mauritius	127	78	25	-1		158	509

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on International Linkages are given on Page 81.

	Trade (% of GDP) <sup>2</sup>	Trade in goods % of GDP <sup>3</sup>	Primary share of exports (% of merchandise exports) <sup>4</sup>	Net foreign direct investment (% of GDP) <sup>5</sup>	Information and communication technology expenditure (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Internet users (thousands) <sup>7</sup>	Telephones (mainline and mobile phones) per 1000 people <sup>8</sup>
	2001 <sup>tg</sup>	2001 🖁	2001	2001 💆	2001	2001 है	2001 g
Mayotte					<del></del>		70
Mexico	58	54	15	4	3	3 636	354
Micronesia, Fed Sts	85 <sup>98</sup>				**	5	84
Moldova	124	99	66	6		60	202
Mongolia	144	68	74	6		40	133
Morocco	66	53	36	8		400	205
Mozambique	66	49	91	13		15	12
Namibia	120	95				45	122
Nepal	54	40	23	0		60	14
Netherlands Antilles						2 99	372
New Caledonia	46 <sup>99</sup>	54 <sup>00</sup>			<del></del>	25	541
Nicaragua	117 <sup>98</sup>	100 <sup>98</sup>	87	9 <sup>98</sup>		50 <sup>00</sup>	30
Niger	42	27	95	1		12	2
Nigeria	97	73	100	3		115	9
Oman		80 00	87	0 00	<del></del>	120	214
Pakistan	37	34	15	1		500	29
Panama	68	38	87	5		90 00	355
Papua New Guinea	90 <sup>99</sup>	97	98	2		50	14
Paraguay	61	43	84	1		60	255
Peru	33	29	78	2		3 000	137
Philippines	97	89	9	3	4	2 000	192
Poland	62	49	19	3	6	3 800	555
Qatar	72 <sup>97</sup>	90 <sup>00</sup>	93			40	568
Romania	75	68	18	3	2	1 000	356
Russian Federation	61	51	66	1	3	4 300	281

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on International Linkages are given on Page 81.

	Trade (% of GDP) <sup>2</sup>	Trade in goods % of GDP <sup>3</sup>	Primary share of exports (% of merchandise exports) <sup>4</sup>	Net foreign direct investment (% of GDP) <sup>5</sup>	Information and communication technology expenditure (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Internet users (thousands) <sup>7</sup>	Telephones (mainline and mobile phones) per 1000 people <sup>8</sup>
	2001 🖔	2001 💆	2001	2001 💆	2001	2001 🖔	2001 bg
Rwanda	35	20		0		20	11
Samoa (Western)	114 <sup>00</sup>	57		0	<del></del>	3	82
Sao Tome & Principe	124	58		12	<del></del>	9	36
Saudi Arabia	66	53	91		<del></del>	300	258
Senegal	67	56	71	3		100	56
Serbia & Montenegro	73			0		600	416
Seychelles	198	104		10		9	800
Sierra Leone	54	26		1		7	11
Singapore	325	278	11	10	10	1 500	1 195
Slovak Republic	157	133	16	7	8	674	685
Slovenia	122 <sup>00</sup>	103	10	3	5	600	1 161
Solomon Islands		75		-2		2	17
Somalia						1	4 00
South Africa	53	51	28	6	9	3 068	364
Sri Lanka	81	68	23	1		150	80
St Kitts & Nevis	117	60	27	24		2 <sup>99</sup>	600 00
St Lucia	109	49	79	8		3 99	329 00
St Vincent & Grenadines	108	64		10		4 00	241 00
Sudan	29	26		5		56	17
Suriname	154	131	22			15	374
Swaziland	150	131		2		14	96
Syria	69	45	90	1		60	115
Tajikistan	140	127		2		3	36
Tanzania	40	26	84	2		300	16
Thailand	126	111	22	3	4	3 536	222

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on International Linkages are given on Page 81.

	Trade (% of GDP) <sup>2</sup>	Trade in goods % of GDP <sup>3</sup>	Primary share of exports (% of merchandise exports) <sup>4</sup>	Net foreign direct investment (% of GDP) <sup>5</sup>	Information and communication technology expenditure (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Internet users (thousands) <sup>7</sup>	Telephones (mainline and mobile phones) per 1000 people <sup>8</sup>
	2001 <sup>kg</sup>	2001 g	2001	2001 g	2001	2001 💆	2001 gg
Togo	82	84	50	5		150	30
Tonga		73		1	<del></del>	3	111
Trinidad & Tobago	98	93	54	9		120	437
Tunisia	99	81	23	2	<del></del>	400	149
Turkey	65	28	17	2	4	2 500	587
Turkmenistan	94	79	92	2 <sup>98</sup>		8	80
Uganda	37	36	93	3	<del></del>	60	17
Ukraine	111	85		2	<del></del>	600	256
United Arab Emirates		128 <sup>98</sup>				976	956
Uruguay	39	27	58	2		400	438
Uzbekistan	56	54		1		150	68
Vanuatu	98 <sup>95</sup>	39	86	8		6	36
Venezuela	40	36	89	3	4	1 265	372
Vietnam	111	94		4	7	1 010	53
West Bank & Gaza	85 <sup>00</sup>					60	169
Yemen	75	60		-2		17	30
Zambia	64	50	87	2		25	19
Zimbabwe	43	37	72	0	<del></del>	100	43

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on International Linkages are given on Page 81.

	Trade (% of GDP) <sup>2</sup>	Trade in goods % of GDP <sup>3</sup>	Primary share of exports (% of merchandise exports) <sup>4</sup>	Net foreign direct investment (% of GDP) <sup>5</sup>	Information and communication technology expenditure (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Internet users (thousands) <sup>7</sup>	Telephones (mainline and mobile phones) per 1000 people <sup>8</sup>
	2001 है	2001 g	2001	2001 💆	2001	2001 🖔	2001 g
East Asia & Pacific	77	61		3		50 902	207
Europe & Central Asia	79	66		3		18 778	375
Latin America & Caribbean	38	38	40	4		26 282	326
Middle East & North Africa	61	45		1		3 356	153
South Asia	33	23		1	<del></del>	7 973	38
Sub-Saharan Africa	64	56		4	<del></del>	5 300	40
Low & middle income	57	49		3	<del></del>	112 591	165
Low income	56	40		1		15 932	40
Low income							
(excl China & India)							
Middle income	58	51		3		96 658	281
Lower middle income	63	50		3		60 355	246
Upper middle income	53	51		3	<del></del>	36 303	432
LDCs	52	45		2	<del></del>	1 483	12
Developed Countries	49 <sup>00</sup>	1202	16	2	<del></del>	388 888	1 202
World	58	40		2		501 478	328

Source: World Bank 2003 World Development Indicators book and CD-ROM.

- 2. Trade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services, measured as a share of GDP.
- 3. The sum of exports and imports of goods, measured as a share of GDP.
- 4. Primary exports are food, agricultural raw materials, fuels, ores and metals as a percentage of merchandise trade.
- 5. Foreign direct investment is net inflows of investment to acquire a lasting management interest (10 percent or more of voting stock) in an enterprise operating in an economy other than that of the investor. It is the sum of equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other than long-term capital, and short-term capital as shown in the balance of payments.
- 6. Information and communications technology expenditures include external spending on information technology ("tangible" spending on information technology products purchased by businesses, households, governments, and education institutions from vendors or organisations outside the purchasing entity), internal spending on information technology ("intangible" spending on internally customised software, capital depreciation, and the like), and spending on telecommunications and other office equipment.
- 7. Internet users are people with access to the worldwide network.
- 8. Includes fixed line telephones plus mobile telephone subscribers.

	Debt service as % of exports of goods and services <sup>2</sup>	Gross National Income (US\$ billions) <sup>3</sup>	Gross National Income per capita (US\$) <sup>4</sup>	Gross National Income per capita average annual growth % <sup>5</sup>	Gross domestic savings (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Unemployment rate 15-24 years old <sup>7</sup>
	2001 resk	2001 bg	2001 है	2001 💆	2001 💆	1995 - 2001 🕏
Afghanistan		<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	
Albania	2	4.2	1 340	5	-3	
Algeria	20	51.0	1 650	1	41	
Angola	26	6.7	500	0	46	
Antigua & Barbuda		0.6	9 150	-1	17	
Argentine Republic	66	260.3	6 940	-6	15	12 <sup>95</sup>
Armenia	8	2.2	570	9	-2	
Azerbaijan	5	5.3	650	9	25	
Bahamas		4.5 00	14 860 <sup>00</sup>	3 00		16 <sup>99</sup>
Bahrain		7.2	11 130	0	34	13 <sup>95</sup>
Bangladesh	7	48.6	360	3	16	
Barbados	4 00	2.6	9 750	1	15	22 <sup>99</sup>
Belarus	3	12.9	1 290	4	19	6 <sup>95</sup>
Belize	25	0.7	2 940	2	16	23 <sup>99</sup>
Benin	8	2.4	380	2	6	
Bermuda						
Shutan	3	0.5	640	4	18 <sup>00</sup>	
Bolivia	31	8.1	950	-1	7	7 96
Bosnia & Herzegovina	19	5.0	1 240	4	-13 <sup>99</sup>	
Botswana	2	5.3	3 100	5	38	
Brazil	75	528.9	3 070	0	20	18 <sup>99</sup>
Brunei		7.8 <sup>98</sup>	24 100 <sup>98</sup>	-2 <sup>98</sup>		
Bulgaria	17	13.2	1 650	6	13	34 <sup>00</sup>
Burkina Faso	11	2.5	220	3	10	
Burma	3		<del></del>	8	12 00	

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Other Economic Indicators are given on page 89.

	Debt service as % of exports of goods and services <sup>2</sup>	Gross National Income (US\$ billions) <sup>3</sup>	Gross National Income per capita (US\$) <sup>4</sup>	Gross National Income per capita average annual growth % <sup>5</sup>	Gross domestic savings (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Unemployment rate 15-24 years old <sup>7</sup>
	2001 हु	2001 💆	2001 हूँ	2001	2001 हुँ	1995 - 2001
Burundi	40	0.7	100	1	-5	
Cambodia	1	3.3	270	4	10	
Cameroon	13	8.7	580	3	20	
Cape Verde	5	0.6	1 340	1	-13	
Cayman Islands						10 <sup>97</sup>
Central African Republic	12	1.0	260	0	11	
Chad	8	1.6	200	5	3	
Chile	28	70.6	4 590	2	23	21 <sup>99</sup>
China	8	1 131.2	890	7	40	3 00
Colombia	36	81.6	1 890	0	15	36 <sup>00</sup>
Comoros	4	0.2	380	-1	0	
Congo (Dem Rep)	2	4.2	80	-7	6	
Congo	4	2.0	640	0	61	
Costa Rica	9	15.7	4 060	-1	16	12 <sup>98</sup>
Cote d'Ivoire	14	10.3	630	-3	17	
Croatia	28	19.9	4 550	4	18	30 <sup>98</sup>
Cuba				5 00	7 00	
Cyprus		9.4	12 320	4	16 <sup>99</sup>	
Czech Republic	11	54.3	5 310	4	27	17 00
Djibouti	6 <sup>00</sup>	0.6	890	0	-5 <sup>00</sup>	
Dominica	13	0.2	3 200	-4	15	41 <sup>97</sup>
Dominican Republic	6	19.0	2 230	1	15	30 <sup>96</sup>
Ecuador	21	14.0	1 080	4	22	24 <sup>99</sup>
Egypt	9	99.6	1 530	1	10	34 <sup>95</sup>
El Salvador	6	13.0	2 040	0	2	13 <sup>97</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Other Economic Indicators are given on page 89.

	Debt service as % of exports of goods and services <sup>2</sup>	Gross National Income (US\$ billions) <sup>3</sup>	Gross National Income per capita (US\$) <sup>4</sup>	Gross National Income per capita average annual growth % <sup>5</sup>	Gross domestic savings (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Unemployment rate 15-24 years old <sup>7</sup>
	2001 💆	2001 g	2001 हू	2001 ਫ਼ੁੱ	2001 हू	1995 - 2001 🕏
Equatorial Guinea	0	0.3	700	-1	20 <sup>98</sup>	
Eritrea	2	0.7	160	7	-19	
Estonia	7	5.3	3 870	5	24	16 <sup>98</sup>
Ethiopia	19	6.7	100	5	2	
Fiji	2	1.8	2 150	2	19 00	
French Polynesia		4.1 00	17 290 <sup>00</sup>	2 00		
Gabon	14	4.0	3 160	0	50	
Gambia	4	0.4	320	3	1	
Georgia	9	3.1	590	6	3	
Ghana	13	5.7	290	2	6	
Grenada	6 <sup>00</sup>	0.4	3 610	-6	21	<del></del>
Guatemala	9	19.6	1 680	-1	6	
Guinea	12	3.1	410	1	20	••
Guinea-Bissau	41	0.2	160	-2	-11	**
Guyana	7	0.6	840	1	6	
Haiti	5	3.9	480	-4	10	
Honduras	11	5.9	900	0	14	6 <sup>98</sup>
Hong Kong		170.3	25 330	-1	31	10 <sup>98</sup>
Hungary	37	49.2	4 830	3	25	12 <sup>99</sup>
India	12	477.4	460	4	21	
Indonesia	24	144.7	690	2	26	13 <sup>96</sup>
Iran	5	108.7	1 680	3	36	••
Iraq						
Israel		106.6	16 750	-3	12 <sup>00</sup>	17 <sup>98</sup>
Jamaica	14	7.3	2 800	1	16	34 <sup>99</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Other Economic Indicators are given on page 89.

	Debt service as % of exports of goods and services <sup>2</sup>	Gross National Income (US\$ billions) <sup>3</sup>	Gross National Income per capita (US\$) <sup>4</sup>	Gross National Income per capita average annual growth % <sup>5</sup>	Gross domestic savings (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Unemployment rate 15-24 years old <sup>7</sup>
	2001 ਫ਼੍ਰੋ	2001 हू	2001 हू	2001 हुँ	2001 हू	1995 - 2001
Jordan	11	8.8	1 750	1	1	
Kazakhstan	31	20.1	1 350	14	23	
Kenya	15	10.7	350	-1	4	
Kiribati		0.1	830	-1		
Korea Dem Rep (North)						
Korea, Rep (South)	14	447.6	9 460	2	29	14 <sup>99</sup>
Kuwait		37.4	18 270	-4	26	
Kyrgyzstan	30	1.4	280	4	16	2 <sup>95</sup>
Laos	9	1.6	300	3	13 <sup>98</sup>	
Latvia	14	7.6	3 230	8	19	23 <sup>99</sup>
Lebanon	51	17.6	4 010	0	-12	
Lesotho	12	1.1	530	3	-15	
Liberia	1	0.5	140	3	<del></del>	
Libya					33 <sup>00</sup>	
Lithuania	31	11.7	3 350	7	16	25 <sup>99</sup>
Macedonia (FYR of)	13	3.5	1 690	-5	1	49 <sup>96</sup>
Madagascar	43	4.2	260	3	12	
Malawi	8	1.7	160	-3	-1	
Malaysia	6	79.3	3 330	-2	47	
Maldives	5	0.6	2 000	0	41	
Mali	9	2.5	230	-1	10	
Malta	3	3.6	9 210	-2	16	
Marshall Islands		0.1	2 190	-1		••
Mauritania	23	1.0	360	1	14	45 <sup>00</sup>
Mauritius	7	4.6	3 830	6	25	24 <sup>95</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Other Economic Indicators are given on page 89.

	Debt service as % of exports of goods and services <sup>2</sup>	Gross National Income (US\$ billions) <sup>3</sup>	Gross National Income per capita (US\$) <sup>4</sup>	Gross National Income per capita average annual growth % <sup>5</sup>	Gross domestic savings (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Unemployment rate 15-24 years old <sup>7</sup>
	2001 💆	2001 हू	2001 हू	2001 🖔	2001 క్లే	1995 - 2001
Mayotte				<del></del>	<del></del>	
Mexico	26	550.2	5 530	-2	18	3 <sup>99</sup>
Micronesia, Fed Sts		0.3	2 150	-1		
Moldova	19	1.5	400	6	-4	
Mongolia	8	1.0	400	0	14	
Morocco	18	34.7	1 190	5	19	35 <sup>98</sup>
Mozambique	3	3.8	210	12	19	
Namibia	<del></del>	3.5	1 960	1	12	37 <sup>97</sup>
Nepal	5	5.8	250	2	15	
Netherlands Antilles						36 <sup>98</sup>
New Caledonia		3.2 <sup>00</sup>	15 060 <sup>00</sup>	0 00		
Nicaragua	26	1.8 98	370 <sup>98</sup>	1 <sup>98</sup>	-10 <sup>98</sup>	
Niger	7	2.0	180	4	3	
Nigeria	12	37.1	290	2	27	
Oman	14	14.9 00	6 180 <sup>00</sup>	2 00		
Pakistan	26	60.0	420	0	15	10 <sup>97</sup>
Panama	13	9.5	3 260	-1	26	29 <sup>98</sup>
Papua New Guinea	13	3.0	580	-6	22 <sup>99</sup>	
Paraguay	11	7.6	1 350	0	9	6 <sup>95</sup>
Peru	22	52.2	1 980	-1	17	11 <sup>95</sup>
Philippines	19	80.8	1 030	1	20	21 <sup>01</sup>
Poland	28	163.6	4 230	1	18	30 <sup>99</sup>
Qatar					32 <sup>97</sup>	
Romania	19	38.6	1 720	5	14	20 99
Russian Federation	15	253.4	1 750	6	35	27 <sup>98</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Other Economic Indicators are given on page 89.

	Debt service as % of exports of goods and services <sup>2</sup>	Gross National Income (US\$ billions) <sup>3</sup>	Gross National Income per capita (US\$) <sup>4</sup>	Gross National Income per capita average annual growth % <sup>5</sup>	Gross domestic savings (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Unemployment rate 15-24 years old <sup>7</sup>
	2001 দু	2001 हु	2001 हू	2001 हू	2001 💆	1995 - 2001
Rwanda	11	1.9	220	4	2	
Samoa (Western)	11 00	0.3	1 490	9		
Sao Tome & Principe	23	0.0	280	1	2	
Saudi Arabia		181.1	8 460	-2	36	
Senegal	13	4.7	490	3	12	
Serbia & Montenegro	2	9.9	930	5	-9	
Seychelles	3	0.5	6 530	-9	8	
Sierra Leone	102	0.7	140	3	-12	
Singapore	<del></del>	88.8	21 500	-5	46	7 98
Slovak Republic	17	20.3	3 760	3	23	32 <sup>99</sup>
Slovenia		19.4	9 760	3	24 <sup>00</sup>	18 <sup>99</sup>
Solomon Islands	7 00	0.3	590	-11		
Somalia						
South Africa	12	121.9	2 820	1	18	56 <sup>00</sup>
Sri Lanka	10	16.4	880	-3	15	28 <sup>98</sup>
St Kitts & Nevis	14	0.3	6 630	-1	17	
St Lucia	7	0.6	3 950	-5	8	44 <sup>98</sup>
St Vincent & Grenadines	8	0.3	2 740	-1	11	
Sudan	2	10.7	340	5	15	
Suriname		0.8	1 810	5	-1	23 <sup>98</sup>
Swaziland	3	1.4	1 300	-1	6	
Syria	3	17.3	1 040	0	29	
Tajikistan	9	1.1	180	9	7 00	
Tanzania	10	9.4	270	3	8	
Thailand	25	118.5	1 940	1	30	7 98

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Other Economic Indicators are given on page 89.

	Debt service as % of exports of goods and services <sup>2</sup>	Gross National Income (US\$ billions) <sup>3</sup>	Gross National Income per capita (US\$) <sup>4</sup>	Gross National Income per capita average annual growth % <sup>5</sup>	Gross domestic savings (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Unemployment rate 15-24 years old <sup>7</sup>
	2001 g	2001 bg	2001 <sup>be 3</sup>	2001 ਫ਼ੁੱ	2001 bg	1995 - 2001
Togo	7	1.3	270	0	4	
Tonga	3	0.2	1 530	3	**	
Trinidad & Tobago	5	7.8	5 960	4	31	25 <sup>99</sup>
Tunisia	13	20.0	2 070	4	23	
Turkey	40	167.3	2 530	-9	19	15 <sup>99</sup>
Turkmenistan	32 <sup>98</sup>	5.1	950	17	36	
Uganda	7	5.9	260	2	6	
Ukraine	11	35.2	720	10	22	23 <sup>98</sup>
United Arab Emirates		49.2 <sup>98</sup>	18 060 <sup>98</sup>	-11 <sup>98</sup>		
Uruguay	36	19.2	5 710	-4	12	24 <sup>98</sup>
Uzbekistan	26	13.8	550	3	20	
Vanuatu	1	0.2	1 050	-6	23 <sup>95</sup>	
Venezuela	25	117.2	4 760	1	24	26 <sup>99</sup>
Vietnam	7	32.8	410	5	29	
West Bank & Gaza		4.2	1 350	-15	-24 <sup>00</sup>	
Yemen	5	8.2	450	0	21	
Zambia	12	3.3	320	3	10	
Zimbabwe	7	6.2	480	-10	9	

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Other Economic Indicators are given on page 89.

	Debt service as % of exports of goods and services <sup>2</sup>	Gross National Income (US\$ billions) <sup>3</sup>	Gross National Income per capita (US\$) <sup>4</sup>	Gross National Income per capita average annual growth % <sup>5</sup>	Gross domestic savings (% of GDP) <sup>6</sup>	Unemployment rate 15-24 years old <sup>7</sup>
	2001 bg	2001 💆	2001 g	2001 🖔	2001 క్లే	1995 - 2001 <sup>bg</sup>
East Asia & Pacific	12	1 639.9	900	5	36	
Europe & Central Asia	22	934.6	1 970	2	25	
Latin America & Caribbean	36	1 875.8	3 580	-1	19	
Middle East & North Africa	9	668.6	2 220	1	29	
South Asia	13	617.7	450	3	19	
Sub-Saharan Africa	11	311.2	460	1	17	
Low & middle income	19	6 025.0	1 160	2	25	
Low income	14	1 069.0	430	3	20	
Low income						
(excl China & India)						
Middle income	20	4 957.1	1 860	2	25	
Lower middle income	15	2 671.8	1 230	3	30	
Upper middle income	26	2 290.9	4 550	-1	21	
LDCs		189.7	280	3	12	
Developed Countries		25 372.5	26 510	0	22 00	
World	**	31 400.0	5 120	0	24	

Source: World Bank 2003 World Development Indicators book and CD-ROM.

- 2. Total debt service is the sum of principal repayments and interest actually paid on long-term debt, interest paid on short-term debt and repayments to the IMF.
- 3. Gross National Income is the sum of the value added by all resident producers plus any taxes (less subsidies) not included in the valuation of output plus net receipts or primary income (compensation of employees and property income) from abroad. Data are in US \$ converted using the World Bank Atlas method.
- 4. Gross National Income divided by the mid year population. GNI per capita in US dollars is converted using the World Bank Atlas method.
- 5. Gross National Income divided by mid year population. Growth is calculated from constant price GNI per capita in national currency units.
- 6. Gross domestic savings (% of GDP) are calculated as the difference between GDP and public and private consumption.
- 7. Uemployment refers to the share of the labour force without work but available and seeking employment. Definitions of labour force and unemployment differ by country.

		Net bilat	eral oda/oa²					
	United Kingdom (£ million)	Total DAC Donors (£ million)	UK as % of total net oda/oa	Total net oda/oa per capita (£)	Imputed UK share of multilateral net oda/oa <sup>3</sup> (£ million)	Total multilateral (£ million)	Total aid per poor person <sup>4</sup> from all donors (£)	Total net oda/oa (all donors) as a % of GNI
	(2)	(2		(-)	(2	(~~	(-)	
Afghanistan	24.6	224.2	11	15	7	50.8		
Albania	3.7	104.0	4	85	12	81.0		7
Algeria	0.2	17.2	1	6	12	71.9		0
Angola	5.5	124.6	4	20	9	62.4		4
Anguilla	2.1	2.1	100		0	0.4		
Antigua & Barbuda	0.7	4.2	17		0	1.3		1
Argentine Republic	0.0	7.0	0	4	15	95.7		0
Armenia	1.7	86.2	2	56	8	60.4	371	10
Azerbaijan	0.8	103.0	1	28	5	46.7	498	4
Bahamas	0.0	5.0	0		0	0.8		
Bahrain		0.8			1	- 0.1		0
Bangladesh	86.4	401.6	22	8	41	299.6	15	2
Barbados	0.2	2.0	10		0	- 2.8		0
Belarus	0.1	15.4	1	4	1	4.1		0
Belize	4.8	7.1	68	<del></del>	1	7.4		3
Benin	0.0	100.3	0	42	12	88.4		12
Bermuda						-		
Bhutan		29.5			0	12.5		12
Bolivia	31.6	368.1	9	86	12	137.2	412	10
Bosnia & Herzegovina	4.3	261.5	2	157	25	168.1		13
Botswana	1.9	16.8	11	17	0	0.3		1
Brazil	8.4	108.9	8	2	16	16.3	14	0
Brunei	0.0	0.2	0		0	0.0	••	
Bulgaria	3.6	120.4	3	43	19	19.3	640	3
Burkina Faso	1.2	153.4	1	34	13	13.0		16

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Aid Flows are given on page 96.

		Net bilat	eral oda/oa <sup>2</sup>					
	United Kingdom (£ million)	Total DAC Donors (£ million)	UK as % of total net oda/oa	Total net oda/oa per capita (£)	Imputed UK share of multilateral net oda/oa <sup>3</sup> (£ million)	Total multilateral (£ million)	Total aid per poor person <sup>4</sup> from all donors (£)	Total net oda/oa (all donors) as a % of GNI
	· · ·				·		,	
Burma	1.2	61.9	2		3	25.8	**	**
Burundi	1.2	38.0	3	19	8	52.8	22	20
Cambodia	8.1	183.9	4	33	13	99.0		13
Cameroon	7.4	190.6	4	26	13	87.3	55	5
Cape Verde		34.0			3	19.3		14
Cayman Islands	0.0	0.0			0	- 0.5		
Central African Republic		33.3		20	3	19.5		8
Chad	0.2	50.6	0	23	12	73.5		12
Chile		27.5		4	2	12.1		0
China	35.2	746.4	5	1	28	232.5	5	0
Colombia	1.8	258.5	1	9	5	4.7	42	0
Comoros		6.7			2	11.4		13
Congo (Dem Rep)	11.8	99.5	12	5	8	74.5	**	6
Congo		20.6		24	4	31.3	**	4
Costa Rica	1.0	4.2	24	1	1	- 3.2	4	0
Cote d'Ivoire	0.8	110.1	1	11	4	19.6	64	2
Croatia	1.7	51.7	3	26	2	17.0		1
Cuba	2.2	23.4	9	5	2	11.5		
Cyprus	0.1	14.9	1		4	21.9		1
Czech Republic	0.9	20.6	4	31	32	196.8		1
Djibouti		19.5			2	18.8		10
Dominica	0.6	3.4	18		1	9.7		9
Dominican Republic	-0.6	70.7	n/a <sup>5</sup>	12	2	2.3		1
East Timor	6.1	106.9	6		4	28.2		
Ecuador	0.7	102.5	1	13	1	15.9	45	1

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Aid Flows are given on page 96.

		Net bilat	eral oda/oa <sup>2</sup>					
	United Kingdom (£ million)	Total DAC Donors (£ million)	UK as % of total net oda/oa	Total net oda/oa per capita (£)	Imputed UK share of multilateral net oda/oa <sup>3</sup> (£ million)	Total multilateral (£ million)	Total aid per poor person <sup>4</sup> from all donors (£)	Total net oda/oa (all donors) as a % of GNI
Egypt	2.5	757.0	0	19	10	71.9	413	1
El Salvador	2.1	160.4	1	37	2	1.8	118	2
Equatorial Guinea		9.1			0	0.9		5
Eritrea	3.4	105.1	3	67	12	87.5		44
Estonia	0.1	17.8	1	50	5	29.5		1
Ethiopia	19.2	254.9	8	16	60	475.5	14	17
Fiji <sup>6</sup>		16.6			0	1.2		2
French Polynesia		266.4			0	3.2		
Gabon	<del></del>	- 5.6		7	2	11.5		0
Gambia	2.6	9.3	28	38	3	24.4	42	12
Georgia	4.0	105.2	4	55	12	91.4		10
Ghana	67.9	274.9	25	33	23	175.6	51	12
Grenada	0.3	2.3	13		0	2.9		2
Guatemala	0.4	139.7	0	19	3	16.3	83	1
Guinea	0.8	83.5	1	36	11	106.1		9
Guinea-Bissau	0.0	21.1	0	48	3	19.6		32
Guyana	12.6	31.9	39		1	38.7		17
Haiti	0.1	94.4	0	20	4	20.6		5
Honduras	0.8	293.2	0	103	12	176.2	300	12
Hong Kong		2.5		1	-	-		0
Hungary	1.7	37.8	4	41	42	251.4		1
India	120.7	628.0	19	2	71	559.2	3	0
Indonesia	16.3	954.9	2	7	11	69.8	68	1
Iran	1.7	63.0	3	2	2	16.2		0
Iraq	8.8	70.0	13	5	2	14.4		

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Aid Flows are given on page 96.

	-	Net bilat	eral oda/oa <sup>2</sup>					
	United Kingdom (£ million)	Total DAC Donors (£ million)	UK as % of total net oda/oa	Total net oda/oa per capita (£)	Imputed UK share of multilateral net oda/oa <sup>3</sup> (£ million)	Total multilateral (£ million)	Total aid per poor person <sup>4</sup> from all donors (£)	Total net oda/oa (all donors) as a % of GNI
II	, , , , ,					40.0		0
Israel		103.1 - 0.7	n/a <sup>5</sup>	27	3	16.6	••	0
Jamaica	4.6			21	5	30.7	**	l -
Jordan	5.9	209.7	3	86	14	91.7		5
Kazakhstan	0.6	85.2	1	10	1	11.3	432	1
Kenya	38.3	187.8	20	15	16	122.7	44	4
Kiribati <sup>5</sup>		7.2			0	1.4		17
Korea, Dem Rep (North)	0.8	36.3	2	5	0	46.3		
Korea, Rep (South)		- 75.4		- 2	5	- 2.0		0
Kuwait		2.0		2	0	0.5		0
Kyrgyzstan	1.7	49.5	3	38	11	77.7	1282	14
Laos	0.8	104.0	1		8	64.3	118	16
Latvia	0.1	34.4	0	45	6	38.1		1
Lebanon	0.2	70.6	0	55	7	41.9		1
Lesotho	2.9	20.5	14	26	2	17.8		5
Liberia	0.8	10.9	7	11	2	14.8		9
Libya				2	0	3.6		
Lithuania	0.1	33.6	0	37	9	54.2		1
Macedonia (FYR of)	5.1	114.0	4	121	8	57.0		8
Madagascar	1.7	95.7	2	22	20	150.9	31	9
Malawi	46.2	136.0	34	38	18	135.5	62	25
Malaysia	0.0	17.3	0	1	0	2.3		0
Maldives	0.2	10.5	2		1	6.9		5
Mali	0.8	144.8	1	32	11	89.0		14
Malta	0.1	_			0	2.2		0
Marshall Islands <sup>6</sup>		46.8			0	4.6		69

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Aid Flows are given on page 96.

		Net bilat	eral oda/oa <sup>2</sup>					
	United Kingdom (£ million)	Total DAC Donors (£ million)	UK as % of total net oda/oa	Total net oda/oa per capita (£)	Imputed UK share of multilateral net oda/oa <sup>3</sup> (£ million)	Total multilateral (£ million)	Total aid per poor person <sup>4</sup> from all donors (£)	Total net oda/oa (all donors) as a % of GNI
Mauritania	0.7	56.5	1	95	19	126.3	232	28
Mauritius	1.6	5.6	29	18	1	4.5		0
Mayotte		82.8			_ '	0.6		
Mexico	0.9	28.2	3	 1	3	23.3	6	0
Micronesia, Fed States <sup>6</sup>		93.5			0	2.0		57
Moldova	1.8	54.7		28	3	23.9	84	8
Mongolia	1.5	98.0	2	88	6	45.0	425	23
Montserrat	22.6	22.7	100		0	0.2		
Morocco	0.1	237.5	0	18	16	97.1		1
Mozambique	128.5	500.0	26	52	19	146.0	94	26
Namibia	2.4	53.8	4	61	3	21.0		3
Nepal	23.0	187.6	12	16	10	77.7	30	7
Netherlands Antilles		38.6			0	2.3	**	
New Caledonia <sup>6</sup>		204.8			0	- 0.6	••	
Nicaragua	0.7	496.2		178	10	148.1	150	
Niger	0.4	78.9	1	22	13	92.9	25	13
Nigeria	22.8	74.6	31	1	6	54.6	1	1
Oman	0.0	5.6	0	1	0	0.1		
Pakistan	19.0	770.7	2	14	74	564.8		3
Panama	0.2	11.9	2	10	1	0.2	55	0
Papua New Guinea <sup>6</sup>		137.5		39	0	1.2		7
Paraguay	0.0	40.5	0	11	1	1.7	40	1
Peru	5.2	295.3	2	17	3	17.0	77	1
Philippines	4.1	350.6	1	7	7	47.6	35	1
Poland	3.1	338.0	1	25	56	331.7		1

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Aid Flows are given on page 96.

		Net bilat	eral oda/oa <sup>2</sup>					
	United Kingdom (£ million)	Total DAC Donors (£ million)	UK as % of total net oda/oa	Total net oda/oa per capita (£)	Imputed UK share of multilateral net oda/oa <sup>3</sup> (£ million)	Total multilateral (£ million)	Total aid per poor person <sup>4</sup> from all donors (£)	Total net oda/oa (all donors) as a % of GNI
	· · ·	, , ,		, ,	· · · · · ·		, ,	
Qatar		0.6			0	0.2		
Romania	4.7	98.6	5	29	58	350.4	954	2
Russian Federation	26.6	629.4	4	8	18	105.3	83	0
Rwanda	25.5	103.4	25	33	13	98.2		16
Samoa (Western) <sup>6</sup>		18.9			2	10.9		
Sao Tome & Principe		15.2			1	11.1		94
Saudi Arabia		7.3		1	1	11.5		0
Senegal	0.7	155.3	0	43	18	135.8	113	9
Serbia & Montenegro								
Seychelles	0.1	5.7	2		0	3.6		3
Sierra Leone	35.5	115.8	31	65	15	114.4	<del></del>	51
Singapore	0.1	0.5		-	0	0.2		
Slovak Republic	1.6	23.5	7	30	15	90.3		1
Slovenia	0.1	0.1	100	63	14	87.1		1
Solomon Islands <sup>6</sup>		17.1			4	23.7		25
Somalia	2.5	61.4	4	16	4	31.8		
South Africa	29.0	217.5	13	10	13	79.2		0
Sri Lanka	10.4	194.3	5	18	4	26.1	179	2
States of ex Yugoslavia	38.7	438.2	9		5	465.0		14
St Helena	10.1	10.2	99		0	0.3		
St Kitts & Nevis		0.9			1	5.3	<del></del>	3
St Lucia	-0.3	0.5	n/a <sup>5</sup>		2	10.9		3
St Vincent & Grenadines	0.0	0.5	0		1	5.4		3
Sudan	6.9	74.7	9	5	4	37.8		2
Suriname		13.9			0	2.2		3

<sup>1.</sup> Notes on Aid Flows are given on page 96.

		Net bilat	eral oda/oa <sup>2</sup>					
	United Kingdom (£ million)	Total DAC Donors (£ million)	UK as % of total net oda/oa	Total net oda/oa per capita (£)	Imputed UK share of multilateral net oda/oa <sup>3</sup> (£ million)	Total multilateral (£ million)	Total aid per poor person <sup>4</sup> from all donors (£)	Total net oda/oa (all donors) as a % of GNI
	,	, ,	. 5		,	,		
Swaziland	-2.2	2.9	n/a <sup>5</sup>	27	2	15.2	**	2
Syria	0.1	64.1	0	9	3	23.8		1
Tajikistan	0.6	44.1	1	25	9	65.0	170	15
Tanzania	201.4	655.3	31	36	27	204.9	••	14
Thailand	-0.1	188.1	n/a <sup>5</sup>	5	1	10.4		0
Togo	0.4	19.8	2	10	1	10.1		4
Tonga <sup>6</sup>		14.3			0	- 0.3		14
Trinidad & Tobago	0.2	3.0	7	0	0	- 4.2		0
Tunisia	0.0	127.5	0	39	22	134.3		2
Turkey	-0.2	- 21.8	n/a <sup>5</sup>	3	16	99.5		0
Turkmenistan	0.1	23.0	0	13	1	5.0	43	1
Turks & Caicos Islands	3.4	3.5	97		0	1.1		
Tuvalu <sup>6</sup>		4.8			0	1.8		
Uganda	57.1	268.2	21	34	36	273.8	29	14
Ukraine	9.5	237.8	4	11	14	80.8	222	1
United Arab Emirates				1	0	0.4		
Uruguay		7.5			0	2.7		0
Uzbekistan	0.5	74.1	1	6	2	11.7	18	1
Vanuatu <sup>6</sup>		16.7			1	5.2		16
Venezuela	0.0	23.3	0	2	1	7.6	8	0
Vietnam	16.5	570.8	3	18	52	399.7	69	5
West Bank & Gaza	11.8	194.5	6	280	36	234.6		16
Yemen	2.3	69.3	3	24	17	144.6	76	4
Zambia	38.7	190.3	20	36	9	68.4	40	12
Zimbabwe	12.5	103.1	12	12	1	9.8		3

<sup>1.</sup> Sources: World Development Indicators CD ROM 2003, DAC Geographical Distribution of Financial Flows to Aid Recipients CD-ROM2002.

<sup>2.</sup> Total net bilateral oda/oa comprises flows from 22 DAC member countries, including the UK, but excludes the European Commission.

<sup>3.</sup> An estimate of multilateral net oda/oa that can be attributed to the UK.

<sup>4.</sup> This is derived by dividing the number of poor people (based on the international \$1 a day standard, measured in 1993 international prices and adjusted for local currency using purchasing power parities) by the total population, both of which are given in Table 1.1

5. Percentages are not appropriate for those countries in receipt of a negative UK and/or total net flow.

6. Individual country information is not available as UK to Pacific expenditure is at the regional level only.


#### **Summary Tables**

- 2.1 DFID External Assistance Programmes: 1978/79-2002/03 (Current Prices)
- 2.2 DFID Programmes: 1978/79-2002/03 (Constant 2002/03 Prices)
- 3 Gross Public Expenditure on Development 1998/99-2002/03
- 4 Bilateral Aid by Income Group
- 5 Bilateral Aid by Region
- 6 Bilateral Aid: Top Twenty Recipient Countries

#### 2.1 DFID External Assistance Programmes: 1978/79 - 2002/03

(Current Prices) £ million

Year	Aid to De	eveloping Countries	s <sup>1</sup>	Α	id to other Countries <sup>2</sup>	
	Bilateral	Multilateral	Total	Bilateral	Multilateral	Total
1978/79	575	202	777	-	-	-
1979/80	610	239	849	-	-	-
1980/81	738	281	1 019	-	-	-
1981/82	655	386	1 041	-	-	-
1982/83	625	429	1 053	-	-	-
1983/84	615	505	1 121	-	-	-
1984/85	690	500	1 190	-	-	-
1985/86	725	491	1 216	-	-	-
1986/87	775	496	1 271	-	-	-
1987/88	799	493	1 292	-	-	-
1988/89	881	575	1 455	1	-	1
1989/90	962	589	1 552	64	-	64
1990/91	1 057	601	1 658	15	-	15
1991/92	1 044	784	1 828	51	35	87
1992/93	1 098	837	1 935	47	97	145
1993/94	1 115	855	1 973	62	122	184
1994/95	1 067	958	2 025	75	161	236
1995/96	1 048	905	1 952	75	197	272
1996/97	992	841	1 833	82	164	247
1997/98	963	834	1 797	80	124	204
1998/99	1 095	936	2 031	67	197	264
1999/00	1 268	983	2 251	60	194	253
2000/01	1 363	1 086	2 449	57	200	257
2001/02	1 473	1 076	2 549	56	224	280
2002/03	1 757	1 137	2 895	56	272	328




- 1. This includes all countries defined as developing by DAC, ie those on Part I of the DAC List of recipient countries. See page 8.
- 2. These are countries on Part II of the DAC List of recipient countries. See page 8.
- 3. Excludes administrative costs.
- From 1983/84 onwards figures include payment for accommodation and other services previously met by the Property Services Agency.
  From 1990/91 onwards figures include payment to the FCO for the costs of aid administration overseas. NRI administration costs are included from 1996/97.

#### 2.1 DFID External Assistance Programmes: 1978/79 - 2002/03

(Current Prices) continued


£ million

	Total DFID		Non-aid multi			
	External	FAO	Global			
	Assistance	and	Environmental		Total	
Year	Programmes <sup>7</sup>	UNESCO <sup>6</sup>	Assistance <sup>5</sup>	Admin Costs <sup>4</sup>	Multilateral	Bilateral
1978/79	792	3		12	202	575
1979/80	865	2		14	239	610
1980/81	1 040	3		18	281	738
1981/82	1 063	3		19	386	655
1982/83	1 077	4		19	429	625
1983/84	1 149	4		25	505	615
1984/85	1 221	6		25	500	690
1985/86	1 248	5		27	491	725
1986/87	1 303	5		27	496	775
1987/88	1 326	2		32	493	799
1988/89	1 499	6		36	575	882
1989/90	1 657	6		36	589	1 026
1990/91	1 724	7		44	601	1 072
1991/92	1 975	7	5	49	819	1 095
1992/93	2 144	7	9	49	934	1 145
1993/94	2 227	11	8	54	977	1 177
1994/95	2 322	5	1	55	1 119	1 142
1995/96	2 288	6	1	57	1 102	1 123
1996/97	2 150	5	2	63	1 006	1 075
1997/98	2 081	17	2	61	958	1 043
1998/99	2 374	13	-	65	1 133	1 162
1999/00	2 591	13	-	75	1 176	1 327
2000/01	2 800	9	-	85	1 285	1 420
2001/02	2 930 8	16	-	85	1 300	1 530
2002/03	3 329	16		90	1 409	1 813



- 5. Since 1994/95, 100% of contributions to the Montreal Protocol have been defined by DAC as official development assistance and therefore are shown under multilateral contributions to developing countries. From 1994/95-1997/98, 84% of contributions to the Global Environment Facility were counted as oda. From 1998/99 all contributions count as aid.
- 6. Only part of the UK subscriptions to the FAO and UNESCO are defined by DAC as official development assistance and these are shown under multilateral contributions to developing countries. Figures prior to 1987/88 are estimates based on calendar year information.
- Comprises aid to developing countries, aid to DAC List Part II countries from 1989/90, all global environmental assistance from 1991/92, all FAO and UNESCO contributions, and administrative costs.
- 8. 2001/02 is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

Year	Aid to Do	eveloping Countries	s <sup>2</sup>	Aid to other Countries <sup>3</sup>			
	Bilateral	Multilateral	Total	Bilateral	Multilateral	Total	
1978/79	2 049	719	2 768	_	_	-	
1979/80	1 858	729	2 587	-	-	-	
1980/81	1 904	724	2 628	-	-	-	
1981/82	1 541	909	2 450	-	-	-	
1982/83	1 375	944	2 317	-	-	-	
1983/84	1 295	1 064	2 361	-	-	-	
1984/85	1 381	1 001	2 381	-	-	-	
1985/86	1 377	932	2 309	-	-	-	
1986/87	1 425	912	2 336	-	-	-	
1987/88	1 393	860	2 253	-	-	-	
1988/89	1 438	939	2 375	2	-	2	
1989/90	1 465	897	2 364	97	-	97	
1990/91	1 494	849	2 343	21	-	21	
1991/92	1 390	1 044	2 435	68	47	116	
1992/93	1 417	1 080	2 496	61	125	187	
1993/94	1 403	1 077	2 484	78	154	232	
1994/95	1 325	1 190	2 516	93	200	294	
1995/96	1 266	1 093	2 358	90	238	328	
1996/97	1 162	985	2 147	97	192	289	
1997/98	1 094	947	2 041	91	141	232	
1998/99	1 210	1 035	2 245	74	218	292	
1999/00	1 369	1 061	2 429	64	209	273	
2000/01	1 439	1 146	2 585	60	211	271	
2001/02	1 518	1 108	2 625	58	231	289	
2002/03	1 757	1 137	2 895	56	272	328	




- 1. Constant 2002/03 prices are derived by applying the June 2003 UK GDP deflator to the current price series in Table 2.1.
- 2. This includes all countries defined as developing by DAC, ie those on Part I of the DAC List of recipient countries. See page 8.
- 3. These are countries on Part II of the DAC List of recipient countries. See page 8.
- 4. Excludes administrative costs.

# 2.2 DFID Programmes: 1978/79 - 2002/03

(Constant 2002/03<sup>1</sup> Prices) continued £million

Multilateral Programme as a % of Total	Year	Total DFID		Total	
DFID Programmes	Teal	Programmes <sup>6</sup>	Admin Costs <sup>5</sup>	Multilateral	Bilateral
		rrogrammes	Admin 003t3		
25.6	1978/79	2 811	43	719	2 049
27.7	1979/80	2 629	43	729	1 858
27.1	1980/81	2 675	46	724	1 904
36.4	1981/82	2 495	45	909	1 541
40.0	1982/83	2 361	42	944	1 375
44.1	1983/84	2 411	53	1 064	1 295
41.2	1984/85	2 431	50	1 001	1 381
39.5	1985/86	2 360	51	932	1 377
38.2	1986/87	2 386	50	912	1 425
37.2	1987/88	2 308	56	860	1 393
38.5	1988/89	2 437	59	939	1 440
35.7	1989/90	2 515	55	897	1 563
35.0	1990/91	2 426	62	849	1 515
41.7	1991/92	2 614	65	1 091	1 458
43.9	1992/93	2 745	63	1 205	1 477
44.3	1993/94	2 780	68	1 231	1 482
48.3	1994/95	2 878	69	1 391	1 419
48.3	1995/96	2 756	69	1 331	1 356
46.9	1996/97	2 510	74	1 178	1 258
46.5	1997/98	2 342	69	1 088	1 185
48.0	1998/99	2 610	72	1 253	1 284
45.6	1999/00	2 783	81	1 270	1 433
46.0	2000/01	2 946	90	1 357	1 499
44.6	2001/02	3 002 7	88	1 339	1 576
42.5	2002/03	3 313	90	1 409	1 813



- 5. From 1983/84 onwards figures include payment for accommodation and other services previously met by the Property Services Agency. Payment to the FCO for the costs of aid administration overseas is included from 1990/91, and NRI administration costs from 1996/97.
- 6. Comprises aid to developing countries, aid to DAC List Part II countries from 1989/90, global environmental assistance counted as aid from 1991/92 and administrative costs. Excludes all non-aid expenditure.
- 7. 2001/02 is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

#### 3 Gross Public Expenditure on Development<sup>1</sup> 1998/99 - 2002/03

£ thousand

					u.ououu
	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01	2001/02 <sup>2</sup>	2002/03
BILATERAL					
DFID PROGRAMME					
Project or Sector Aid (excl ATP)	127 634	174 951	186 210	254 246	288 367
Programme Aid of which : Budgetary Aid	133 574 12 577	140 372 12 897	254 995 11 639	253 519 15 259	216 208 15 905
Technical Cooperation (excl ATP)	479 621	515 257	541 269	590 510	705 803
Aid and Trade Provision of which: Technical Cooperation	56 898 2 470	37 790 1 790	27 645 1 247	23 094 911	17 733 352
Grants and Other Aid in Kind	189 311	170 124	178 811	200 159	270 620
Humanitarian Assistance of which:	113 159	227 157	210 363	189 749	292 272
Food Aid	3 089	6 458	12 816	12 274	64 786
DFID Debt Relief <sup>3</sup>	25 659	23 140	21 188	18 322	22 353
CDC Exchequer Advances	35 919	38 670	-	-	-
Total DFID Programme Bilateral of which:	1 161 774	1 327 461	1 420 481	1 529 599	1 813 356
Aid to Developing Countries <sup>4</sup> Aid to other Countries <sup>4</sup>	1 094 650 67 124	1 267 873 59 588	1 363 259 57 222	1 473 297 56 303	1 757 302 56 054
OTHER OFFICIAL PROGRAMMES					
CDC Investments	166 716	268 518	206 239	159 438	199 196
Other <sup>5</sup>	87 147	100 721	149 128	314 794	464 330
Total Other Bilateral Programmes	253 863	369 239	355 367	474 232	663 526
TOTAL BILATERAL GPEX (excluding flows from DFID to CDC) of which:	1 379 719	1 658 030	1 775 848	2 003 831	2 476 882
Aid to Developing Countries <sup>4</sup> Aid to other Countries <sup>4</sup>	1 312 316 67 403	1 598 386 59 644	1 718 068 57 780	1 947 352 56 479	2 420 134 56 748
ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS					
DFID Administration	65 496	74 787	85 419	85 027	90 446
Other Administration	33 149	29 814	34 843	27 195	38 628
Total Administration Costs	98 645	104 601	120 262	112 222	129 074

<sup>1.</sup> Comprises aid to developing countries and those on Part II of the DAC List. See page 8.

<sup>2. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>3.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment.

<sup>4.</sup> Excludes administrative costs.

<sup>5.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

### 3 Gross Public Expenditure on Development<sup>1</sup> 1998/99 - 2002/03

1998/99	1999/00	2000/01	2001/02 <sup>2</sup>	2002/03
735 086	739 318	699 845	723 505	851 421
175 254	170 277	276 653	246 672	247 617
18 000	17 000	-	11 147	11 434
15 186	16 939	21 022	25 231	27 253
66 295	67 178	54 803	75 382	90 587
109 256	151 085	218 479	201 911	165 368
7 184	7 421	6 942	7 552	7 619
6 943	7 121	7 599	8 205	7 900
1 133 204	1 176 339	1 285 343	1 299 605	1 409 199
936 384 196 820	982 632 193 708	1 085 804 199 539	1 075 535 224 070	1 137 406 271 793
19 463	18 545	19 470	27 130	30 330
134	156	121	106	85
24 727	24 645	26 645	24 594	23 019
677	704	720	733	738
233	240	242	242	242
45 234	44 290	47 199	52 806	54 415
1 178 438	1 220 629	1 332 542	1 352 411	1 463 614
974 281 204 157	1 020 293 200 337	1 126 335 206 207	1 119 479 232 932	1 181 627 281 987
2 360 474	2 578 587	2 791 243	2 914 231	3 313 001
2 031 034	2 250 505	2 449 063	2 548 831	2 894 708
				327 847
2 656 802	2 983 260	3 228 652	3 468 464	4 069 570
2 286 597	2 618 679	2 844 403	3 066 831	3 601 761
	735 086 175 254 18 000 15 186 66 295 109 256 7 184 6 943 1 133 204 936 384 196 820 19 463 134 24 727 677 233 45 234 1 178 438 974 281 204 157 2 360 474 2 031 034 263 944	735 086 739 318  175 254 170 277  18 000 17 000  15 186 16 939  66 295 67 178  109 256 151 085  7 184 7 421  6 943 7 121  1 133 204 1 176 339  936 384 982 632 196 820 193 708  19 463 18 545  134 156  24 727 24 645  677 704  233 240  45 234 44 290  1 178 438 1 220 629  974 281 1 020 293 204 157 200 337  2 360 474 2 578 587  2 031 034 2 250 505 263 944 253 296	735 086 739 318 699 845 175 254 170 277 276 653 18 000 17 000 - 15 186 16 939 21 022 66 295 67 178 54 803 109 256 151 085 218 479 7 184 7 421 6 942 6 943 7 121 7 599  1 133 204 1 176 339 1 285 343 936 384 982 632 1 085 804 196 820 193 708 199 539  1 9 463 18 545 19 470 134 156 121 24 727 24 645 26 645 677 704 720 233 240 242 45 234 44 290 47 199 1 178 438 1 220 629 1 332 542 974 281 1 020 293 1 126 335 204 157 200 337 206 207  2 360 474 2 578 587 2 791 243 2 031 034 2 250 505 2 449 063 2 63 944 253 296 256 761	735 086

<sup>1.</sup> Comprises aid to developing countries and those on Part II of the DAC List. See page 8.

<sup>2. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>6.</sup> Payment for 2000/01 was processed in 2001/02.

<sup>7.</sup> All contributions to the Montreal Protocol are counted as aid. From 1994/95 to 1997/98, 84 per cent of contributions to the Global Environment Facility counted as aid. From 1998/99, this rose to 100 per cent.

<sup>8.</sup> This includes DFID administration costs only. Total administration costs are included in the GPEX total.

### 4 DFID Programme: Bilateral Aid by Income Group<sup>1</sup>

1998/99		<u>1999</u>	<u>/00</u>	2000	<u>/01</u>	2001/02 <sup>2</sup>		2002/03	
£ m %		£m	£ m %		%	£m %		£m	%
1 162		1 327		1 420		1 530		1 813	
1 049		1 100		1 210		1 340		1 521	
	£ m	£ m %	£ m % £ m	£ m % £ m %	£ m % £ m % £ m	£ m % £ m % £ m %  1 162 1 327 1 420	£ m % £ m % £ m % £ m  1 162 1 327 1 420 1 530	£ m % £ m % £ m % £ m %  1 162 1 327 1 420 1 530	£m % £m % £m % £m % £m  1 162 1 327 1 420 1 530 1 813

#### **Country Specific DFID Programme: All Recipient Countries**

Total : Country Specific Aid	915		1 054		1 167		1 207		1 379	
Low Income Countries	664	73	709	67	886	76	943	78	1 110	80
Lower Middle Income Countries	164	18	272	26	218	19	204	17	210	15
Upper Middle Income Countries	86	9	72	7	63	5	60	5	59	4
High Income Countries	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Least Developed Countries - LDCs <sup>3</sup>	359	39	419	40	531	45	515	43	653	47

#### Country Specific DFID Programme: All Recipient Countries - Excluding Humanitarian Assistance


Total : Country Specific Aid	824		879		1 011		1 069		1 173	
Low Income Countries	594	72	662	75	791	78	832	78	934	80
Lower Middle Income Countries	150	18	152	17	159	16	178	17	181	15
Upper Middle Income Countries	78	9	64	7	60	6	58	5	57	5
High Income Countries	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Least Developed Countries - LDCs <sup>3</sup>	298	36	381	43	473	47	427	40	511	44


#### Country Specific DFID Programme: Developing Countries only<sup>4</sup>

Total : Country Specific Aid	852		1 000		1 117		1 157		1 327	
Low Income Countries	664	78	709	71	886	79	943	82	1 110	84
Lower Middle Income Countries	114	13	227	23	174	16	158	14	162	12
Upper Middle Income Countries	73	9	64	6	56	5	56	5	55	4
High Income Countries	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Least Developed Countries - LDCs <sup>3</sup>	359	42	419	42	531	48	515	45	653	49

- Countries are classified using 1998 GNI per capita estimates according to the thresholds given in the glossary. These
  thresholds are those used by DAC to cover data up to 2002. This table covers only bilateral aid which can be attributed to
  individual countries. Pacific country expenditure is not included in these totals as bilateral assistance to the Pacific is
  provided under a single regional programme.
- 2. 2001/02 is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.
- 3. The income group and LDC status of developing countries are given on page 218.
- 4. This includes all countries defined as developing by DAC, ie those on Part I of the DAC List of recipient countries. See list on page 8.

### 4 DFID Programme: Bilateral Aid by Income Group<sup>1</sup>





Countries are classified using 1998 GNI per capita estimates according to the thresholds given in the glossary. These
thresholds are those used by DAC to cover data up to 2002. This table covers only bilateral aid which can be attributed to
individual countries. Pacific country expenditure is not included in these totals as bilateral assistance to the Pacific is
provided under a single regional programme.

### 5 DFID Programme: Bilateral Aid by Region

#### **DFID PROGRAMME**


	1998/	99	1999/	/00	2000	01	2001/	02 <sup>1</sup>	2002	/03
	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%
TOTAL DFID PROGRAMME	1 162		1 327		1 420		1 530		1 813	
TOTAL ALLOCABLE BY REGION	958	100	1 107	100	1 223	100	1 286	100	1 493	100
Africa	446	47	495	45	651	53	586	46	750	50
of which: South of Sahara	432	45	484	44	633	52	540	42	711	48
America	110	12	97	9	95	8	100	8	99	7
Asia	314	33	322	29	360	29	499	39	554	37
Europe	83	9	189	17	113	9	97	8	85	6
Pacific	5	1	4	0	4	0	5	0	5	0
Total Allocable by										
Commonwealth Membership										
Commonwealth	624	65	702	63	826	68	824	64	877	59
of which Overseas Territories	47	5	39	4	36	3	39	3	36	2


#### **DFID PROGRAMME - Excluding Humanitarian Assistance**

	1998/	99	1999	/00	2000	/01	2001/	02 <sup>1</sup>	2002	/03
	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%
TOTAL	1 049		1 100		1 210		1 340		1 521	
TOTAL ALLOCABLE BY REGION	864	100	914	100	1 053	100	1 146	100	1 252	100
Africa	400	46	463	51	587	56	543	47	596	48
of which: South of Sahara	386	45	453	50	575	55	497	43	557	45
America	97	11	89	10	90	9	97	8	96	8
Asia	286	33	291	32	303	29	416	36	473	38
Europe	77	9	67	7	69	7	86	8	81	6
Pacific	5	1	4	0	4	0	5	0	5	0
Total Allocable by										
Commonwealth Membership										
Commonwealth	586	68	672	74	770	73	795	69	824	66
of which Overseas Territories	39	4	33	4	34	3	38	3	35	3

<sup>1. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

### 5 DFID Programme: Bilateral Aid by Region





<sup>1. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

### **6 Bilateral Aid Top Twenty Recipient Countries**

Rank         Country         £ m         Country         £ m         Country         £ m         Country	£m
Pank Country & m Country & m Country	f m
tank Country 2 in Country	~ !!!
1 India 117 India 185 India	161
2 Uganda 86 Uganda 68 Tanzania	96
3 Ghana 73 Tanzania 65 Bangladesh	77
4 Bangladesh 73 Bangladesh 62 Afghanistan	75
5 Tanzania 69 Ghana 55 Ghana	56
6 Malawi 57 Afghanistan 50 Uganda	55
7 Zambia 56 Malawi 47 Malawi	52
8 Kenya 52 Zambia 45 Kenya	45
9 Mozambique 41 Pakistan 43 Ethiopia	43
10 Sierra Leone 35 Mozambique 41 China	42
11 Rwanda 33 Sierra Leone 37 Zambia	41
12 States of ex-Yugoslavia <sup>2</sup> 32 China 33 Pakistan	39
13 South Africa 30 Kenya 28 Mozambique	38
14 China 30 Rwanda 27 South Africa	36
15 Russian Federation 26 South Africa 25 Sierra Leone	32
16 Montserrat 21 Russian Federation 25 Rwanda	32
17 Indonesia 20 Montserrat 24 Nigeria	31
18 Nepal 18 Nepal 23 Zimbabwe	30
19 Nigeria 17 Nigeria 21 Nepal	28
20 Ethiopia 16 Indonesia 17 Russian Federation	27
Total: Top 20 Recipient Countries 902 921	1036
Total: All Countries 1420 1530	1813
Proportion of Total to Top 20 64% 60%	57%

## DFID PROGRAMME excluding Humanitarian Assistance 2000/01 2001/02<sup>1</sup>

2002/03

Rank	Country	£ m	Country	£m	Country	£ m
1	India	98	India	178	India	156
2	Uganda	86	Uganda	68	Tanzania	96
3	Ghana	73	Tanzania	65	Bangladesh	77
4	Bangladesh	69	Bangladesh	60	Ghana	56
5	Tanzania	67	Ghana	55	Uganda	52
6	Malawi	57	Malawi	46	Malawi	45
7	Zambia	56	Zambia	45	Kenya	43
8	Kenya	40	Pakistan	43	China	41
9	Rwanda	33	Mozambique	40	Pakistan	38
10	Mozambique	32	China	33	Mozambique	38
11	Sierra Leone	32	Sierra Leone	32	South Africa	36
12	South Africa	30	Rwanda	27	Zambia	35
13	China	30	South Africa	25	Rwanda	32
14	Russian Federation	21	Kenya	24	Afghanistan	30
15	Indonesia	20	Montserrat	23	Nigeria	30
16	Montserrat	19	Russian Federation	23	Sierra Leone	29
17	Nepal	18	Nepal	21	Nepal	28
18	Nigeria	17	Nigeria	21	Russian Federation	24
19	Guyana	14	Indonesia	16	Montserrat	23
20	Pakistan	14	Vietnam	16	Vietnam	19
Total: To	p 20 Recipient Countries	824		861		930
Total: All	Countries	1210		1340		1521
Proportio	n of Total to Top 20	68%		64%		61%

<sup>1. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>2.</sup> Includes expenditure for all the successor states of ex-Yugoslavia.

#### **Main Tables**

- 7 Bilateral Aid by Country (Summary)
- 7.1 Bilateral Aid by Country (Africa)
- 7.2 Bilateral Aid by Country (America)
- 7.3 Bilateral Aid by Country (Asia)
- 7.4 Bilateral Aid by Country (Europe)
- 7.5 Bilateral Aid to the Pacific
- 8 Gross Public Expenditure on Multilateral Contributions
- 9 Bilateral Aid by Sector
- 9.1 Bilateral Aid by Sector: Regional Breakdown for 2002/03
- 10 Humanitarian Assistance
- 11 Bilateral Humanitarian Assistance: Top Ten Recipient Countries
- 12 DFID Programme: Bilateral Technical Co-operation
- 13 DFID Programme: Bilateral Grants and other Aid in Kind
- 14 DFID Expenditure through UK CSOs 2002/03


### 7 Bilateral Aid by Country

# Figure 7 DFID Programme Bilateral Aid in 2002/03 by Form of Aid

**Major forms of Aid** 

#### Humanitarian Assistance 16% Debt Relief 1% Aid and Trade Technical Co-Provision operation 1% 39% Programme Aid 12% Project or Sector Aid Grants and Other 16% Aid in Kind 15%

# Focus on Technical Co-operation<sup>1</sup> and Grants and other Aid in Kind<sup>2</sup>



- 1. Includes ATP-TC.
- 2. Refer to Tables 12 and 13 for further information.

		Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	ammes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid		Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure	
TOTAL ALL COUNTRIES <sup>5</sup>	1998/99 1999/00 2000/01 2001/02 2002/03	127 634 174 951 186 210 254 246 288 367	133 574 140 372 254 995 253 519 216 208	479 621 515 257 541 269 590 510 705 803	56 898 37 790 27 645 23 094 17 733	189 311 170 124 178 811 200 159 270 620	113 159 227 157 210 363 189 749 292 272	25 659 23 140 21 188 18 322 22 353	1 161 774 1 327 461 1 420 481 1 529 599 1 813 356	166 716 268 518 206 239 159 438 199 196	87 147 100 721 149 128 314 794 464 330	1 379 719 1 658 030 1 775 848 2 003 831 2 476 882
Africa	1998/99 1999/00 2000/01 2001/02 2002/03	49 565 90 617 104 141 147 860 135 330	125 234 132 140 245 857 161 948 151 208	144 272 163 345 165 340 177 567 213 177	9 195 2 652 626 519 - 1 052	63 430 66 325 64 309 48 971 92 160	46 251 32 001 63 425 42 755 153 980	8 019 7 513 6 992 6 390 5 414	445 966 494 593 650 690 586 010 750 218	80 780 76 656 64 740 64 947 24 459	47 544 60 226 67 903 228 127 96 340	574 289 631 475 783 332 879 084 871 018
of which: South of Sahara	1998/99 1999/00 2000/01 2001/02 2002/03	49 565 90 617 104 141 121 138 124 199	125 234 132 140 245 857 161 948 151 208	134 392 156 353 157 587 162 095 195 995	9 195 2 642 626 519 - 1 052	60 378 63 666 60 525 45 639 82 035	45 913 31 558 57 839 42 660 153 299	7 613 7 107 6 586 5 984 5 008	432 290 484 083 633 161 539 983 710 692	80 780 76 656 64 740 64 947 18 670	47 544 60 217 67 903 228 127 96 333	560 613 620 957 765 804 833 057 825 696
America	1998/99 1999/00 2000/01 2001/02 2002/03	14 500 10 506 9 920 14 684 15 204	8 340 8 232 5 740 7 605 11 265	39 583 41 037 45 004 46 630 41 002	577 490 403 314 217	20 058 15 413 16 984 17 574 13 264	13 759 8 034 5 007 3 271 2 798	13 605 12 840 12 440 9 901 15 336	110 423 96 553 95 498 99 978 99 086	37 391 130 963 71 433 47 836 110 920	22 311 12 315 16 897 22 460 6 545	170 125 239 831 183 828 170 274 216 550
Asia	1998/99 1999/00 2000/01 2001/02 2002/03	62 937 73 231 71 268 90 285 137 221	73 966 53 734	127 586 129 435 143 152 167 005 179 821	43 536 31 370 23 705 19 694 16 386	47 971 54 889 63 713 63 041 84 956	28 008 31 102 56 469 83 104 80 883	3 668 2 427 1 396 1 678 1 325	313 705 322 454 359 704 498 773 554 327	33 235 57 844 60 416 44 239 62 255	2 998 1 592 2 437 2 252 3 335	349 939 381 890 422 557 545 264 619 917

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

I. This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

<sup>5.</sup> CDC Exchequer Advances are included in Total DFID Programme. However, this internal UK DFID to CDC flow is excluded from Gross Public Expenditure. Such advances ceased from 2000/01.

	_	Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	ammes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Europe	1998/99	17	_	69 819	3 527	3 546	5 594		82 503	_	668	83 171
·	1999/00	14	_	60 240	3 176	3 858	121 807		189 095	_	2 796	191 891
	2000/01	11	3 398	58 528	2 848	3 747	44 798		113 330	_	825	114 155
	2001/02	269	10 000	70 397	2 485	2 998	10 639	-	96 788	_	1 426	98 214
	2002/03	7	-	73 057	2 069	5 677	4 197	-	85 007	-	299 738	384 745
Pacific	1998/99	562	_	2 571	_	1 264	178	367	4 941	15 310		20 251
	1999/00	534	_	2 424	-	825	49	360	4 193	3 055		7 248
	2000/01	823	_	1 941	-	836	_	360	3 960	1 174		5 134
	2001/02	1 107	_	1 894	-	1 272	_	353	4 626	2 416	-	7 042
	2002/03	563	-	2 462	-	1 419	66	278	4 788	790	-	5 578
Non-region specific <sup>6</sup>	1998/99	53	_	95 791	64	53 042	19 368		204 237	_	13 626	181 943
	1999/00	48	_	118 776	103	28 813	34 164	-	220 573	_	23 791	205 694
	2000/01	46	_	127 304	63	29 222	40 664		197 300	8 476	61 066	266 842
	2001/02	41	_	127 016	82	66 304	49 980		243 424	_	60 530	303 953
	2002/03	41	-	196 285	113	73 143	50 348	-	319 930	772	58 372	379 074
Exchequer	1998/99	-	-	_	_	-	-		35 919	-		-
Advances to the CDC <sup>5</sup>	1999/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38 670	-	-	-
	2000/01	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Developing	1998/99	127 535	133 574	416 649	56 898	186 534	111 883	25 659	1 094 650	166 716	86 868	1 312 316
Countries	1999/00	174 860	140 372	463 143	37 790	167 038	222 860	23 140	1 267 873	268 518	100 665	1 598 386
	2000/01	186 107	254 995	493 452	27 645	175 911	203 961	21 188	1 363 259	206 239	148 570	1 718 068
	2001/02	254 171	253 519	538 864	23 094	198 461	186 865	18 322	1 473 297	159 438	314 617	1 947 352
	2002/03	288 303	216 208	656 749	17 733	266 786	289 170	22 353	1 757 302	199 196	463 921	2 420 418

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

<sup>5.</sup> CDC Exchequer Advances are included in Total DFID Programme. However, this internal UK DFID to CDC flow is excluded from Gross Public Expenditure. Such advances ceased from 2000/01.

<sup>6. &</sup>quot;Non-region specific" comprises block grants to the British Council, VSO, CSOs, Research Institutions and Commonwealth Organisations based in the UK, and some ATP Technical Co-operation.

	-	Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	ammes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Least Developed Countries	1998/99	52 232	100 997	90 581	541	48 673	60 453	5 134	358 610	33 824	40 524	432 958
·	1999/00	93 481	113 476	110 543	22	58 713	37 618	4 897	418 749	40 065	52 033	510 847
	2000/01	95 880	184 958	124 384	10	63 202	58 126	4 531	531 090	45 169	63 850	640 109
	2001/02	105 902	131 728	134 879	-	50 296	87 861	4 285	514 952	36 342	225 746	777 040
	2002/03	118 951	134 611	168 578	-	85 910	141 198	3 323	652 599	4 236	32 965	689 772
Commonwealth	1998/99	112 193	123 574	227 566	9 752	90 500	38 360	22 361	624 306	116 040	56 161	796 506
	1999/00	169 142	130 372	248 872	3 198	100 339	29 851	20 413	702 188	99 602	55 227	857 016
	2000/01	172 843	226 197	247 473	633	104 244	55 764	18 572	825 726	98 255	75 296	999 277
	2001/02	209 647	224 932	259 681	519	85 220	29 038	15 403	824 440	97 143	222 181	1 143 765
	2002/03	229 415	171 476	285 401	- 1,052	118 806	52 615	19 934	876 595	66 739	66 249	1 009 582
of which	1998/99	4 244	12 577	11 407	_	10 378	7 704	198	46 508	-	130	46 638
Overseas Territories	1999/00	5 141	12 897	10 943	-	4 258	5 342	193	38 774	-	31	38 805
	2000/01	3 556	11 639	13 177	-	5 589	2 110	191	36 262	-	-	36 262
	2001/02	9 720	12 825	11 808	-	3 372	1 386	153	39 264	-	-	39 264
	2002/03	9 522	17 062	7 126	-	1 069	1 183	117	36 080	-	26	36 106
SPA Countries	1998/99	42 162	120 997	82 329	3 156	41 736	18 206	7 017	315 602	60 841	46 486	422 929
	1999/00	82 518	127 476	102 148	1 125	41 728	23 023	6 926	384 944	54 634	59 375	498 953
	2000/01	95 557	239 958	100 729	534	41 946	46 012	6 503	531 238	53 524	64 236	648 998
	2001/02	114 540	156 728	106 234	544	29 726	20 329	5 903	434 004	42 682	223 419	700 106
	2002/03	117 931	145 411	125 395	121	55 444	55 793	4 954	505 049	15 374	93 618	614 041

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid (Excl ATP)							_	Other Progra	ammes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Low income countries (GNI per capita under \$760 in 1998) <sup>7</sup>	1998/99 1999/00 2000/01 2001/02 2002/03	108 594 158 356 170 785 202 870 255 375	120 997 127 476 239 958 230 694 199 145	210 568 230 428 243 527 278 051 315 614	51 387 33 569 23 794 19 885 15 116	93 926 103 986 105 868 94 441 144 150	69 562 46 868 94 990 110 746 175 397	8 915 8 373 7 074 6 253 5 047	663 948 709 055 885 996 942 939 1 109 844	97 732 110 254 109 681 83 609 76 561	49 933 61 227 69 613 229 820 98 672	811 613 880 536 1 065 290 1 256 368 1 285 076
Lower middle income countries (GNI per capita between \$761 and \$3030 in 1998) <sup>7</sup>	1998/99 1999/00 2000/01 2001/02 2002/03	2 479 8 603 8 336 10 890 7 720	3 398 10 000	111 444 106 900 107 999 119 417 124 075	1 564 657 709 509 376	20 535 23 255 25 858 26 108 32 449	14 047 119 957 58 714 25 597 28 528	13 931 12 671 12 731 11 053 16 447	164 000 272 043 217 745 203 575 209 596	53 713 141 401 79 256 66 926 101 444	19 432 12 065 15 847 21 364 303 520	237 145 425 510 312 848 291 864 614 560
Upper middle income countries (GNI per capita between \$3031 and \$9360 in 1998) <sup>7</sup>	1998/99 1999/00 2000/01 2001/02 2002/03	4 997 6 452 4 981 10 548 10 135	12 577 12 897 11 639 12 825 17 062	39 438 31 355 31 076 25 928 22 384	3 823 3 409 3 017 2 591 2 097	14 629 8 044 8 185 5 797 5 005	7 822 7 831 2 801 2 026 1 649	2 446 1 736 1 023 664 581	85 733 71 723 62 722 60 378 58 913	- 39 13 808 7 652 3 756 4 457	523 531 650 1 021 2 482	86 217 86 062 71 024 65 155 65 852
<b>High income countries</b> (GNI per capita above \$9361 in 1998) <sup>7</sup>	1998/99 1999/00 2000/01 2001/02 2002/03	103 94 104 75 64	- - - -	1 109 1 035 613 324 338	- - - -	125 50 77 75 119	3 30 - -	-	1 340 1 210 794 473 520	- - - -	211 31 75 23 124	1 551 1 241 869 497 644

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

<sup>7.</sup> Countries are grouped according to current DAC thresholds which are based on 1998 World Bank GNI per capita estimates. Pacific country expenditure is not included in these totals as expenditure is at regional level only.

		Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	mmes	
	•	Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Africa: North of Sahara												
Algeria	1998/99	-	-	35	-	-	3	-	39	-	-	39
-	1999/00	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5	-	-	5
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2001/02	-	_	150	-	-	_	-	150	-	-	150
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Egypt	1998/99	_	-	3 940	_	598	3	406	4 947	_		4 947
65.	1999/00	-	_	2 024	-	1 116	_	406	3 546	-	-	3 546
	2000/01	-	_	1 888	-	367	_	406	2 661	-	-	2 661
	2001/02	-	_	1 968	-	220	-	406	2 594	_	-	2 594
	2002/03	-	-	1 952	-	260	-	406	2 619	5 789	7	8 415
Morocco	1998/99	_	_	19	_	276	-		295	_		295
	1999/00	-	_	_	10	155	-		164	_	9	173
	2000/01	-	_	_	-	148	-		148	_	-	148
	2001/02	_	_	- 18	_	_	_		- 18	-	-	- 18
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tunisia	1998/99	_	_	65	_	177	-		242	_		242
	1999/00	-	_	-	-	171	_	-	171	-	-	171
	2000/01	_	_	_	_	37	_		37	-	-	37
	2001/02	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	-	-	-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Africa Regional	1998/99	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_		_
ŭ	1999/00	-	-	28	-	_	-	-	28	-	-	28
	2000/01	-	_	51	-	_	-		51	-	-	51
	2001/02	-	_	22	-	_	-		22	-	-	22
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	i (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	mmes	
	•	Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Total North of Sahara	1998/99	_	_	4 060	_	1 050	6	406	5 523	_	_	5 523
	1999/00	-	_	2 052	10	1 442	5	406	3 915	-	9	3 924
	2000/01	-	_	1 938	-	552	-	406	2 897	-		2 897
	2001/02	-	_	2 122	-	220	-	406	2 749	-		2 749
	2002/03	-	_	1 953	-	260	-	406	2 619	5 789	7	8 415
Africa: South of Sahara												
Angola	1998/99	-	_	623	-	1 185	2 500	-	4 308	_		4 308
S	1999/00	-	_	1 243	-	625	3 236	-	5 104	_		5 104
	2000/01	-	_	1 827	-	371	2 240	-	4 438	-	-	4 438
	2001/02	-	_	1 507	-	56	2 191	-	3 754	-	-	3 754
	2002/03	-	-	2 868	-	84	6 936	-	9 887	-	-	9 887
Benin	1998/99	_	-	88	_	-	-		88	-		88
	1999/00	-	_	82	-	-	-	-	82	-	858	940
	2000/01	28	_	8	-	-	-	-	37	-	-	37
	2001/02	51	-	_	-	-	-	-	51	-	-	51
	2002/03	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	39	-	2 700	2 739
Botswana	1998/99	54	-	2 808	_	227	-	187	3 275	-		3 275
	1999/00	51	-	2 621	-	200	55	118	3 045	-	-	3 045
	2000/01	49	-	2 226	-	183	-	24	2 481	-	-	2 481
	2001/02	46	-	1 803	-	130	-	24	2 002	-	-	2 002
	2002/03	45	-	945	-	104	-	-	1 094	-	-	1 094
Burkina Faso	1998/99	-	-	_	_	305	-		305	-		305
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	267	-	-	267	-	-	267
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	234	-	-	234	-	-	234
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	81	-	-	81	-	970	1 051
	2002/03	_	-	-	-	74	105	-	179	-	-	179

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	l (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	mmes	
	•	Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Burundi	1998/99	_	_	-	_	26	298	_	324	-		324
	1999/00	_	_	_	_	203	709		912	-		912
	2000/01	_	_	_	_	43	1 504		1 547	-		1 547
	2001/02	_	_	_	_	64	540		604	-		604
	2002/03	-	-	65	-	814	1 055	-	1 934	-	-	1 934
Cameroon	1998/99	-	-	1 232	-	661	- 5		1 889	-	1 700	3 589
	1999/00	-	-	1 236	-	1 192	3		2 431	-	4 574	7 005
	2000/01	232	-	1 755	-	1 042	-		3 029	-	3 970	6 999
	2001/02	363	-	2 857	-	539	-	-	3 759	-	1 963	5 722
	2002/03	118	-	2 734	-	436	-	-	3 289	-	25 682	28 971
Cape Verde	1998/99	_	-	-	_	20	-	-	20	-	-	20
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	15	-	-	15
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	13	-		13
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Central African Republic	1998/99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	294	294
Chad	1998/99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	-	270	-	270	-	-	270
	2001/02	158	-	-	-	-	-	-	158	-	-	158
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	l (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	mmes	
	-	Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Comoros	1998/99	-	-	_	-	18	-	-	18	-	-	18
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	21	-	-	21	-	-	21
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Congo	1998/99	_	-	6	-	-	-		6	-	3 210	3 216
•	1999/00	-	-	24	-	-	-		24	-	3 200	3 224
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	580	-	580	-	-	580
Congo (Dem Rep)	1998/99	_	-	63	_	118	370		552	-	994	1 546
	1999/00	-	-	49	-	15	1 257		1 322	-	810	2 132
	2000/01	-	-	23	-	38	3 036		3 096	-	3 656	6 752
	2001/02	-	-	188	-	63	5 307		5 558	-	4 704	10 262
	2002/03	-	-	1 138	-	83	11 650	-	12 871	-	2 715	15 586
Cote d'Ivoire	1998/99	_	-	100	_	220	37	265	623	11 087	1 940	13 650
	1999/00	-	-	109	-	158	-	261	528	11 068	540	12 136
	2000/01	-	-	102	-	102	-	258	462	2 618	490	3 570
	2001/02	-	-	260	-	71	-	253	583	-	440	1 023
	2002/03	-	-	63	-	207	700	241	1 210	-	7 617	8 827
Djibouti	1998/99	-	-	-	_	-	-		-	-		-
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2000/01	-	-	_	-	-	-		-	-		-
	2001/02	-	-	_	-	-	-		-	-		-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	14	-	-	14	-	-	14

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	i (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	mmes	
	•	Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Eritrea	1998/99	_	_	260	_	382	226		868	-		868
	1999/00	_	_	6	_	270	162		438	_		438
	2000/01	_	_	35	_	162	2 188		2 385	_		2 385
	2001/02	_	_	5	_	34	1 472		1 511	_		1 511
	2002/03	-	-	12	-	134	2 600	-	2 746	-	-	2 746
Ethiopia	1998/99	_	-	2 476	_	2 768	3 489		8 733	-	1 060	9 793
·	1999/00	-	-	2 459	-	1 434	3 076	-	6 969	-	330	7 299
	2000/01	-	-	1 050	-	1 370	13 774	-	16 194	-	290	16 484
	2001/02	-	-	1 564	-	2 045	8 222	-	11 831	-	260	12 091
	2002/03	39	10 000	3 361	-	2 502	26 824	-	42 726	-	1 514	44 240
Gabon	1998/99	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
	1999/00	-	-	_	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	150		150	-		150
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gambia	1998/99	24	-	517	-	435	-	-	976	-	3	979
	1999/00	22	-	942	-	406	-	-	1 370	-	-	1 370
	2000/01	21	-	1 247	-	479	-	-	1 748	-	-	1 748
	2001/02	20	-	1 469	-	555	-	-	2 043	-	-	2 043
	2002/03	19	-	714	-	161	-	-	894	-	-	894
Ghana	1998/99	9 560	20 000	13 651	3 156	4 695	-	2 372	53 433	9 478	1	62 912
	1999/00	10 436	14 000	17 720	1 125	2 494	52	2 369	48 197	3 835	-	52 032
	2000/01	14 134	40 000	12 357	534	4 283	27	2 016	73 350	1 865	-	75 215
	2001/02	16 096	25 000	10 744	544	519	-	1 668	54 570	49	-	54 619
	2002/03	16 910	23 500	12 562	121	1 484	-	1 668	56 244	-	31 517	87 761

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	i (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Guinea	1998/99		_	_		20	54	_	74	_	179	253
	1999/00	_	_	_	_	91	30		122	_	152	274
	2000/01	_	_	_	_	69	500		569	_	134	703
	2001/02	12	_	_	_	32	-		44	_	179	223
	2002/03	14	-	-	-	61	1 250	-	1 325	-	1 455	2 780
Guinea-Bissau	1998/99	_	_	_	_	8	_		8	_		8
	1999/00	-	-	_	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	2000/01	-	-	_	-	-	120		120	-	-	120
	2001/02	-	-	_	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kenya	1998/99	1 315	-	14 102	-	13 105	4 622		33 144	8 915	105	42 163
	1999/00	813	-	13 165	-	10 538	2 535	-	27 051	5 847	28	32 927
	2000/01	4 110	15 000	12 823	-	7 694	12 452	-	52 080	10 726	-	62 806
	2001/02	4 550	-	15 172	-	4 494	3 324	-	27 540	7 461	6	35 007
	2002/03	14 728	-	17 497	-	10 845	1 472	-	44 542	11 207	24	55 773
Lesotho	1998/99	139	-	2 372	539	1 116	-	-	4 167	-	-	4 167
	1999/00	424	-	1 439	22	1 089	-	-	2 974	-	-	2 974
	2000/01	187	-	1 154	10	929	-	-	2 281	-	-	2 281
	2001/02	30	-	1 595	-	1 099	-	-	2 724	-		2 724
	2002/03	94	-	2 298	-	465	-	-	2 857	-	-	2 857
Liberia	1998/99	-	-	231	-	-	-	-	231	-	-	231
	1999/00	-	-	437	-	812	-	-	1 249	-	-	1 249
	2000/01	-	-	1 634	-	-	69	-	1 702	-	-	1 702
	2001/02	-	-	499	-	-	-		499	-	-	499
	2002/03	-	-	38	-	-	1 700	-	1 738	-	-	1 738

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	_	Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Madagascar	1998/99	_	_	43	_	267	100	_	410		202	612
aaagaooa.	1999/00	_	_	-	_	308	635	_	943	_	166	1 109
	2000/01	_	_	_	_	241	320	_	561	_	133	694
	2001/02	_	_	1	_	203	-	_	205	_	1 494	1 699
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	192	-	-	192	-	259	451
Malawi	1998/99	5 061	25 000	12 202	_	1 651	3 170	168	47 252	5 377		52 629
	1999/00	9 851	20 000	14 021	-	2 262	384	168	46 687	2 650	-	49 337
	2000/01	8 599	30 000	12 197	-	5 845	229	132	57 003	-	496	57 499
	2001/02	16 254	12 500	13 465	-	4 190	142	76	46 627	1 166	1 274	49 067
	2002/03	9 901	-	17 996	-	17 381	7 036	20	52 334	-	201	52 535
Mali	1998/99	_	-	11	-	321	-		331	-	500	831
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	205	-	-	205	-	437	642
	2000/01	54	-	-	-	357	-	-	411	-	339	750
	2001/02	95	-	80	-	215	-	-	389	-	258	647
	2002/03	33	-	25	-	84	-	-	141	-	4 345	4 486
Mauritania	1998/99	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	427	429
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	199	200
	2000/01	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	654	659
	2001/02	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	702	711
	2002/03	4	-	-	-	-	600	-	604	-	12 904	13 508
Mauritius	1998/99	32	-	441	-	273	-	409	1 155	40	-	1 195
	1999/00	28	-	435	-	44	-	63	570	-	-	570
	2000/01	26	-	85	-	133	-	60	303	1 378	-	1 681
	2001/02	24	-	85	-	59	-	57	225	2 321	-	2 546
	2002/03	22	-	74	-	16	-	54	167	508	-	675

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Mozambique	1998/99	4 110	15 997	3 268	_	1 292	364	1 251	26 281	701	1 920	28 902
	1999/00	11 147	14 003	3 728	_	1 520	12 243	1 251	43 893	22 071	4 666	70 630
	2000/01	11 208	10 000	7 704	-	2 163	8 955	1 251	41 281	263	1 760	43 304
	2001/02	11 146	20 642	5 793	-	1 421	908	1 113	41 022	969	92 680	134 671
	2002/03	9 810	17 379	8 189	-	1 954	779	283	38 394	1 223	-	39 617
Namibia	1998/99	_	_	2 886	_	975	_		3 861	_		3 861
	1999/00	-	_	2 747	-	652	172	-	3 571	-	-	3 571
	2000/01	-	-	1 848	-	837	27	-	2 712	-	-	2 712
	2001/02	-	-	1 627	-	390	-	-	2 017	-		2 017
	2002/03	-	-	1 712	-	494	-	-	2 206	-	-	2 206
Niger	1998/99	-	-	21	_	150	-		172	-	698	870
	1999/00	-	-	9	-	168	-	-	177	-	629	806
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	150	-	-	150	-	847	997
	2001/02	-	-	_	-	128	-	-	128	-	219	347
	2002/03	126	-	-	-	58	-	-	184	-	182	366
Nigeria	1998/99	771	-	6 789	_	3 656	-		11 216	-	-	11 216
	1999/00	739	-	8 131	-	5 683	43	-	14 596	1		14 597
	2000/01	697	-	11 344	-	5 059	197	-	17 297	- 942	1	16 356
	2001/02	654	-	15 595	-	4 442	231	-	20 923	702		21 625
	2002/03	622	-	22 230	-	7 393	262	-	30 505	228	-	30 733
Duranda	4000/00		40.000	4 000		4.004	4.005		40.500			40.500
Rwanda	1998/99	-	10 000	1 282	-	1 281	1 005	- 1	13 568	-	-	13 568
	1999/00	1.052	10 000	2 341	-	784	1 170	- 1	14 294	-	-	14 294
	2000/01	1 052	25 400	5 419	-	837	-	- 1	32 708	-	-	32 708
	2001/02	1 488	18 586	6 212	-	741	-	- 1	27 027	-	-	27 027
	2002/03	2 348	22 032	6 542	-	1 423	-	-	32 344	-	-	32 344

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	-	Financial Aid (Excl ATP)							_	Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Sao Tome & Principe	1998/99	_	_	_	_	_	_		-	_	_	-
	1999/00	_	_	_	_	1	_		1	_		1
	2000/01	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	-	-
	2001/02	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	-	-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Senegal	1998/99	_	_	41	_	351	-	93	485	_	162	647
Ü	1999/00	-	_	_	-	318	-	61	379	-	136	515
	2000/01	11	_	_	-	424	-	61	496	-	1 145	1 641
	2001/02	193	-	2	-	202	-	59	456	-	150	606
	2002/03	13	-	-	-	228	-	58	299	-	136	435
Seychelles	1998/99	15	-	37	-	164	-		216	-		216
•	1999/00	15	_	45	-	241	-	-	300	-	-	300
	2000/01	14	-	19	-	-	-	-	33	-	-	33
	2001/02	14	-	30	-	23	-	-	67	-	-	67
	2002/03	12	-	31	-	-	-	-	43	-	-	43
Ciama Lagra	4000/00	20	F 000	0.440		4 400			0.040	05	110	0.452
Sierra Leone	1998/99 1999/00	29 33	5 000 16 472	2 419 9 552	-	1 499 3 852	4		8 948 29 914	65	140 130	9 153 30 044
	2000/01	1 738	19 558	9 332 8 327	-	2 355	3 051		35 028	-	120	35 148
	2000/01	3 689	10 000	13 910	-	4 346	5 123		37 067	-	110	37 177
	2002/03	1 566	10 000	15 182	-	2 023	3 591		32 362	-	399	32 761
	2002/03	1 300	10 000	15 102	<del>-</del>	2 023	3 331	- 1	32 302	_	333	32 701
Somalia	1998/99	20	-	45	-	473	1 079		1 617	-	-	1 617
	1999/00	19	-	9	-	350	372	-	751	-	-	751
	2000/01	17	-	11	-	218	1 235	-	1 480	-	-	1 480
	2001/02	16	-	92	-	55	1 575	-	1 739	-	-	1 739
	2002/03	16	-	8	-	180	2 920	-	3 124	-	-	3 124

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	i (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	ımmes	
	•	Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
South Africa	1998/99	_	_	22 275	_	6 583	_	_	28 858	6 195	57	35 110
	1999/00	24	_	22 330	_	6 982	221		29 557	18 281	-	47 838
	2000/01	8	_	23 613	_	6 481	13		30 115	6 640	-	36 755
	2001/02	-	_	19 482	_	5 720	282		25 485	18 690	3	44 178
	2002/03	-	-	25 453	-	10 887	95	-	36 435	1 987	-	38 422
St Helena & Dependencies	1998/99	2 147	4 237	2 417	_	4	_		8 806	_		8 806
·	1999/00	2 346	4 664	2 352	-	-	-	-	9 362	-	-	9 362
	2000/01	1 950	5 899	2 259	-	7	-	-	10 115	-	-	10 115
	2001/02	2 244	5 220	2 632	-	9	-		10 106	-	-	10 106
	2002/03	1 214	5 797	2 538	-	5	-	-	9 555	-	-	9 555
Sudan	1998/99	115	-	176	_	413	23 497		24 201	-		24 201
	1999/00	106	-	15	-	319	2 755		3 196	-	-	3 196
	2000/01	97	-	14	-	309	4 491		4 912	-	-	4 912
	2001/02	93	-	34	-	322	5 149	-	5 598	-	-	5 598
	2002/03	87	-	407	-	2 696	16 032	-	19 222	-	-	19 222
Curaniland	4000/00	45		0.407		507	22		2.072	000		2.004
Swaziland	1998/99 1999/00	45 40	-	2 407 2 245	-	597 852	-	-	3 072 3 137	909 396	-	3 981 3 533
	2000/01	38	-	1 253	-	355	_	-	1 646	1 000	-	2 646
	2000/01	36	-	842	-	224	-		1 102	180		1 282
	2001/02	34	-	519	-	218	-	-	770	573	-	1 343
Tanania	4000/00	4.750	20,000	44.440		4 700	4 204		E0 40E	44 554	40,400	70.005
Tanzania	1998/99 1999/00	1 753 7 696	28 000 37 000	11 448 12 475	-	4 703 5 041	4 201 1 211	-	50 105 64 323	11 551 4 012	16 429 6 764	78 085 75 099
	2000/01	7 696 10 641	40 000	12 475 12 776		5 941 3 725	1 787		68 929	4 012 22 742	20 363	112 034
	2000/01	13 945	35 000	12 7 7 6	-	3 725 3 562	1 787 51		64 998	22 742 23 496	117 318	205 812
	2001/02	31 379	45 000	13 555	-	5 754	260		95 948	23 496 2 944	-	98 892

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

1998/99 1999/00 2000/01 2001/02 2002/03	Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid - - - - -	Technical Cooperation - - - -	Aid and Trade Provision - - -	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
1999/00 2000/01 2001/02 2002/03	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - -		-	-		-		1 204
1999/00 2000/01 2001/02 2002/03	- - -	- - -	- - -	-							
2000/01 2001/02 2002/03 1998/99	-	- - -	-	-				114	_	249	363
2001/02 2002/03 1998/99	-	-	-		173	_		173	_	209	382
2002/03	-	-		_	47	_		47	_	177	224
			-	-	220	-	-	220	-	133	353
	17 785	17 000	11 928	_	5 500	360		52 574	2 258	9 429	64 261
1999/00				_							90 286
				_							97 572
				_							68 724
2002/03	13 846	17 500	15 580	-	5 409	2 533	-	54 868	-	-	54 868
1998/99	2 525	_	7 233	_	1 952	284	2 867	14 863	11 408	7 296	33 567
1999/00		_		_		266			4 765		46 779
2000/01		15 000		_					14 640		93 488
2001/02	32 500	_		-		218			9 299		59 288
2002/03	17 039	-	11 319	-	4 000	6 408	2 683	41 449	-	4 256	45 705
1998/99	3 263	_	5 909	5 499	1 749	180		16 599	12 795		29 394
1999/00	3 537	-		1 495	2 805	212	-	14 019	3 344		17 363
2000/01	4 766	-		82		225	-				14 716
2001/02	2 739	-	3 845	- 24	1 552	7 242	-	15 354	372		15 726
2002/03	3 457	-	2 440	- 1,173	2 161	23 260	-	30 145	-	-	30 145
1998/99	802	_	_	_	_	_		802	_		802
1999/00	771	-	-	-	-	-		771	-	-	771
2000/01	734	-	-	-	-	-		734	-	-	734
2001/02	701	-	-	_	-	-	-	701	-		701
2002/03	666	-	-	-	-	-	-	666	-	-	666
200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	998/99 999/00 000/01 001/02 002/03 998/99 999/00 000/01 001/02 002/03	000/01       17 826         001/02       13 974         002/03       13 846         098/99       2 525         099/00       1 051         000/01       25 896         001/02       32 500         002/03       17 039         098/99       3 263         099/00       3 537         000/01       4 766         001/02       2 739         002/03       3 457         098/99       802         099/00       771         000/01       734         001/02       701	000/01       17 826       45 000         001/02       13 974       35 000         002/03       13 846       17 500         098/99       2 525       -         099/00       1 051       -         000/01       25 896       15 000         001/02       32 500       -         002/03       17 039       -         098/99       3 263       -         099/00       3 537       -         000/01       4 766       -         001/02       2 739       -         002/03       3 457       -         098/99       802       -         099/00       771       -         000/01       734       -         001/02       701       -	000/01       17 826       45 000       15 748         001/02       13 974       35 000       14 611         002/03       13 846       17 500       15 580         098/99       2 525       -       7 233         099/00       1 051       -       6 993         000/01       25 896       15 000       9 179         001/02       32 500       -       7 650         002/03       17 039       -       11 319         098/99       3 263       -       5 909         099/00       3 537       -       5 970         000/01       4 766       -       4 505         001/02       2 739       -       3 845         002/03       3 457       -       2 440         098/99       802       -       -         099/00       771       -       -         000/01       734       -       -         001/02       701       -       -	000/01       17 826       45 000       15 748       -         001/02       13 974       35 000       14 611       -         002/03       13 846       17 500       15 580       -         0998/99       2 525       -       7 233       -         099/00       1 051       -       6 993       -         000/01       25 896       15 000       9 179       -         001/02       32 500       -       7 650       -         002/03       17 039       -       11 319       -         098/99       3 263       -       5 909       5 499         099/00       3 537       -       5 970       1 495         000/01       4 766       -       4 505       82         001/02       2 739       -       3 845       - 24         002/03       3 457       -       2 440       - 1,173         098/99       802       -       -       -         099/00       771       -       -       -         000/01       734       -       -       -         000/02       701       -       -       -	000/01       17 826       45 000       15 748       -       7 320         001/02       13 974       35 000       14 611       -       4 563         002/03       13 846       17 500       15 580       -       5 409         098/99       2 525       -       7 233       -       1 952         099/00       1 051       -       6 993       -       3 269         000/01       25 896       15 000       9 179       -       2 878         001/02       32 500       -       7 650       -       1 676         002/03       17 039       -       11 319       -       4 000         098/99       3 263       -       5 909       5 499       1 749         099/00       3 537       -       5 970       1 495       2 805         000/01       4 766       -       4 505       82       1 997         001/02       2 739       -       3 845       - 24       1 552         002/03       3 457       -       2 440       - 1,173       2 161         098/99       802       -       -       -       -         099/00       771       - <td>000/01       17 826       45 000       15 748       -       7 320       447         001/02       13 974       35 000       14 611       -       4 563       328         002/03       13 846       17 500       15 580       -       5 409       2 533         098/99       2 525       -       7 233       -       1 952       284         099/00       1 051       -       6 993       -       3 269       266         000/01       25 896       15 000       9 179       -       2 878       388         001/02       32 500       -       7 650       -       1 676       218         002/03       17 039       -       11 319       -       4 000       6 408         098/99       3 263       -       5 909       5 499       1 749       180         099/00       3 537       -       5 970       1 495       2 805       212         000/01       4 766       -       4 505       82       1 997       225         001/02       2 739       -       3 845       - 24       1 552       7 242         099/00       771       -       -       -</td> <td>2000/01       17 826       45 000       15 748       -       7 320       447       -         201/02       13 974       35 000       14 611       -       4 563       328       -         202/03       13 846       17 500       15 580       -       5 409       2 533       -         298/99       2 525       -       7 233       -       1 952       284       2 867         299/00       1 051       -       6 993       -       3 269       266       2 816         200/01       25 896       15 000       9 179       -       2 878       388       2 785         201/02       32 500       -       7 650       -       1 676       218       2 734         202/03       17 039       -       11 319       -       4 000       6 408       2 683         298/99       3 263       -       5 909       5 499       1 749       180       -         299/00       3 537       -       5 970       1 495       2 805       212       -         200/01       4 766       -       4 505       82       1 997       225       -         200/02       2 739</td> <td>000/01       17 826       45 000       15 748       -       7 320       447       -       86 342         001/02       13 974       35 000       14 611       -       4 563       328       -       68 476         002/03       13 846       17 500       15 580       -       5 409       2 533       -       54 868         098/99       2 525       -       7 233       -       1 952       284       2 867       14 863         099/00       1 051       -       6 993       -       3 269       266       2 816       14 396         000/01       25 896       15 000       9 179       -       2 878       388       2 785       56 126         001/02       32 500       -       7 650       -       1 676       218       2 734       44 778         002/03       17 039       -       11 319       -       4 000       6 408       2 683       41 449         098/99       3 263       -       5 909       5 499       1 749       180       -       16 599         099/00       3 537       -       5 970       1 495       2 805       212       -       14 019</td> <td>000/01       17 826       45 000       15 748       -       7 320       447       -       86 342       670         001/02       13 974       35 000       14 611       -       4 563       328       -       68 476       242         002/03       13 846       17 500       15 580       -       5 409       2 533       -       54 868       -         098/99       2 525       -       7 233       -       1 952       284       2 867       14 863       11 408         999/00       1 051       -       6 993       -       3 269       266       2 816       14 396       4 765         000/01       25 896       15 000       9 179       -       2 878       388       2 785       56 126       14 640         001/02       32 500       -       7 650       -       1 676       218       2 734       44 778       9 299         002/03       17 039       -       11 319       -       4 000       6 408       2 683       41 449       -         098/99       3 263       -       5 909       5 499       1 749       180       -       16 599       12 795         3999/00</td> <td>000/01         17 826         45 000         15 748         -         7 320         447         -         86 342         670         10 560           001/02         13 974         35 000         14 611         -         4 563         328         -         68 476         242         6           002/03         13 846         17 500         15 580         -         5 409         2 533         -         54 868         -         -         -           098/99         2 525         -         7 233         -         1 952         284         2 867         14 863         11 408         7 296           099/00         1 051         -         6 993         -         3 269         266         2 816         14 396         4 765         27 618           000/01         25 896         15 000         9 179         -         2 878         388         2 785         56 126         14 640         22 722           001/02         32 500         -         7 650         -         1 676         218         2 734         44 778         9 299         5 211           002/03         17 039         -         11 319         -         4 000         6 408</td>	000/01       17 826       45 000       15 748       -       7 320       447         001/02       13 974       35 000       14 611       -       4 563       328         002/03       13 846       17 500       15 580       -       5 409       2 533         098/99       2 525       -       7 233       -       1 952       284         099/00       1 051       -       6 993       -       3 269       266         000/01       25 896       15 000       9 179       -       2 878       388         001/02       32 500       -       7 650       -       1 676       218         002/03       17 039       -       11 319       -       4 000       6 408         098/99       3 263       -       5 909       5 499       1 749       180         099/00       3 537       -       5 970       1 495       2 805       212         000/01       4 766       -       4 505       82       1 997       225         001/02       2 739       -       3 845       - 24       1 552       7 242         099/00       771       -       -       -	2000/01       17 826       45 000       15 748       -       7 320       447       -         201/02       13 974       35 000       14 611       -       4 563       328       -         202/03       13 846       17 500       15 580       -       5 409       2 533       -         298/99       2 525       -       7 233       -       1 952       284       2 867         299/00       1 051       -       6 993       -       3 269       266       2 816         200/01       25 896       15 000       9 179       -       2 878       388       2 785         201/02       32 500       -       7 650       -       1 676       218       2 734         202/03       17 039       -       11 319       -       4 000       6 408       2 683         298/99       3 263       -       5 909       5 499       1 749       180       -         299/00       3 537       -       5 970       1 495       2 805       212       -         200/01       4 766       -       4 505       82       1 997       225       -         200/02       2 739	000/01       17 826       45 000       15 748       -       7 320       447       -       86 342         001/02       13 974       35 000       14 611       -       4 563       328       -       68 476         002/03       13 846       17 500       15 580       -       5 409       2 533       -       54 868         098/99       2 525       -       7 233       -       1 952       284       2 867       14 863         099/00       1 051       -       6 993       -       3 269       266       2 816       14 396         000/01       25 896       15 000       9 179       -       2 878       388       2 785       56 126         001/02       32 500       -       7 650       -       1 676       218       2 734       44 778         002/03       17 039       -       11 319       -       4 000       6 408       2 683       41 449         098/99       3 263       -       5 909       5 499       1 749       180       -       16 599         099/00       3 537       -       5 970       1 495       2 805       212       -       14 019	000/01       17 826       45 000       15 748       -       7 320       447       -       86 342       670         001/02       13 974       35 000       14 611       -       4 563       328       -       68 476       242         002/03       13 846       17 500       15 580       -       5 409       2 533       -       54 868       -         098/99       2 525       -       7 233       -       1 952       284       2 867       14 863       11 408         999/00       1 051       -       6 993       -       3 269       266       2 816       14 396       4 765         000/01       25 896       15 000       9 179       -       2 878       388       2 785       56 126       14 640         001/02       32 500       -       7 650       -       1 676       218       2 734       44 778       9 299         002/03       17 039       -       11 319       -       4 000       6 408       2 683       41 449       -         098/99       3 263       -       5 909       5 499       1 749       180       -       16 599       12 795         3999/00	000/01         17 826         45 000         15 748         -         7 320         447         -         86 342         670         10 560           001/02         13 974         35 000         14 611         -         4 563         328         -         68 476         242         6           002/03         13 846         17 500         15 580         -         5 409         2 533         -         54 868         -         -         -           098/99         2 525         -         7 233         -         1 952         284         2 867         14 863         11 408         7 296           099/00         1 051         -         6 993         -         3 269         266         2 816         14 396         4 765         27 618           000/01         25 896         15 000         9 179         -         2 878         388         2 785         56 126         14 640         22 722           001/02         32 500         -         7 650         -         1 676         218         2 734         44 778         9 299         5 211           002/03         17 039         -         11 319         -         4 000         6 408

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

<sup>8.</sup> In 1999/00 financial aid of £26.7 million for Uganda was channelled through a World Bank Trust Fund for Heavily Indebted Poor Countries.

		Financial Aid	l (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	ammes	
	•	Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Southern Africa	1998/99		_	910	_	84		_	994			994
Development Community	1999/00	_	_	1 752	_	250	11		2 013	_	-	2 013
(SADC)	2000/01	_	_	2 624	_	414	116		3 155	_	-	3 155
( /	2001/02	_	_	2 999	_	628	92		3 719	_	-	3 719
	2002/03	-	-	5 199	-	382	38	-	5 619	-	-	5 619
South of Sahara Regional	1998/99	_	_	1 674	_	1 005	60		2 739	_	7	2 746
G	1999/00	-	-	2 385	-	1 005	198	-	3 587	-	32	3 620
	2000/01	-	-	2 419	-	1 235	177		3 831	-	10	3 840
	2001/02	-	-	3 005	-	1 141	111		4 257	-	-	4 257
	2002/03	-	-	2 701	-	1 409	31 061	-	35 171	-	-	35 171
Total South of Sahara	1998/99	49 565	125 234	134 392	9 195	60 378	45 913	7 613	432 290	80 780	47 544	560 613
	1999/00	90 617	132 140	156 353	2 642	63 666	31 558	7 107	484 083	76 656	60 217	620 957
	2000/01	104 141	245 857	157 587	626	60 525	57 839	6 586	633 161	64 740	67 903	765 804
	2001/02	121 138	161 948	162 095	519	45 639	42 660	5 984	539 983	64 947	228 127	833 057
	2002/03	124 199	151 208	195 995	- 1 052	82 035	153 299	5 008	710 692	18 670	96 333	825 696
Africa Regional	1998/99	-	-	5 820	-	2 002	332	-	8 154	-	-	8 154
	1999/00	-	-	4 940	-	1 216	439	-	6 595	-	-	6 595
	2000/01	-	-	5 814	-	3 232	5 586		14 632	-	-	14 632
	2001/02	26 721	-	13 350	-	3 112	95		43 278	-	-	43 278
	2002/03	11 131	-	15 229	-	9 865	681	-	36 907	-	-	36 907
Total Africa	1998/99	49 565	125 234	144 272	9 195	63 430	46 251	8 019	445 966	80 780	47 544	574 289
	1999/00	90 617	132 140	163 345	2 652	66 325	32 001	7 513	494 593	76 656	60 226	631 475
	2000/01	104 141	245 857	165 340	626	64 309	63 425	6 992	650 690	64 740	67 903	783 332
	2001/02	147 860	161 948	177 567	519	48 971	42 755	6 390	586 010	64 947	228 127	879 084
	2002/03	135 330	151 208	213 177	- 1 052	92 160	153 980	5 414	750 218	24 459	96 340	871 018

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	_	Financial Aid	(Excl ATP)						_	Other Programme	s	
	_	Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
America: Central												
Costa Rica	1998/99	-	-	47	-	164	-	-	211	8 008	-	8 220
	1999/00	-	-	5	-	111	-	-	117	15 615	-	15 732
:	2000/01	-	-	1	-	182	-	-	183	6 681	-	6 864
:	2001/02	-	-	1	-	114	-	-	116	1 264	-	1 380
:	2002/03	-	-	18	-	53	-	-	70	10 285	-	10 355
Cuba	1998/99	-	-	100	-	155	-		255	9 403	121	9 779
	1999/00	-	-	49	-	170	-	-	219	275	12	506
:	2000/01	-	-	138	-	85	-		223	14 569	5	14 797
:	2001/02	-	-	172	-	49	125		346	20 950	30	21 326
:	2002/03	-	-	65	-	220	200	-	485	-	6	490
El Salvador	1998/99	_	-	8	_	252	39		299	-		299
	1999/00	-	-	3	-	315	-		318	-		318
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	369	1 451		1 820	4 445		6 265
:	2001/02	-	-	-	-	271	160		431	4 141		4 572
:	2002/03	-	-	76	-	235	27	-	338	607	-	945
Guatemala	1998/99	_	_	15	_	437	28		479	-		479
	1999/00	-	-	21	-	322	28		371	12 361		12 732
	2000/01	-	-	40	_	247	31	-	319	30 332	-	30 651
	2001/02	-	-	191	-	228	-		420	-		420
:	2002/03	-	-	4	-	286	-	-	289	-	-	289
Haiti	1998/99	_	-	_	_	1	59		59	-		59
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	16	-		16	-		16
	2000/01	-	-	-	_	61	_	-	61	_		61
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	130	_		130	_		130
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	125	-	-	125	-	-	125

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	_	Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Programme	s	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Honduras	1998/99	_	-	444	_	330	1 526	280	2 580	771		3 351
	1999/00	-	_	579	-	537	608	373	2 097	_		2 097
	2000/01	_	_	305	-	691	2	373	1 371	_	-	1 371
	2001/02	-	_	430	-	465	_	324	1 220	_		1 220
	2002/03	-	-	231	-	317	434	93	1 075	-	-	1 075
Mexico	1998/99	_	-	3 392	_	403	-		3 794	-		3 795
	1999/00	-	-	1 960	-	213	-	-	2 172	-	1	2 174
	2000/01	-	-	1 184	-	354	-	-	1 539	1 930	-	3 469
	2001/02	-	-	466	-	46	-	-	512	-	-	512
	2002/03	-	-	510	-	51	-	-	561	3 943	-	4 504
Nicaragua	1998/99	_	-	204	_	413	1 379		1 996	-		1 996
	1999/00	-	-	270	-	549	334	-	1 153	2 627	-	3 780
	2000/01	-	-	161	-	464	11	-	636	-	-	636
	2001/02	-	-	125	-	338	150	-	613	-		613
	2002/03	-	-	626	-	417	-	-	1 043	1 293	61	2 397
Panama	1998/99	_	-	1	297	69	-		366	-		366
	1999/00	-	-	32	233	59	-	-	324	-		324
	2000/01	-	-	40	169	24	-	-	234	-	-	234
	2001/02	-	-	26	106	24	-	-	155	-		155
	2002/03	-	-	3	28	3	-	-	35	-	36	71
Central America	1998/99	10 000	-	219	_	-	200	-	10 419	-	-	10 419
Regional	1999/00	-	-	1 405	-	-	-	-	1 405	-	-	1 405
	2000/01	-	-	366	-	488	-	-	855	-	-	855
	2001/02	-	-	2 038	-	370	-	-	2 407	-	-	2 407
	2002/03	-	-	4 177	-	645	-	-	4 822	-	-	4 822

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)							Other Programme	es	
	•	Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Total Central	1998/99	10 000	_	4 430	297	2 223	3 229	280	20 460	18 182	122	38 764
America	1999/00	-	-	4 323	233	2 293	970	373	8 193	30 878	13	39 084
	2000/01	-	-	2 236	169	2 966	1 496	373	7 240	57 957	5	65 202
	2001/02	-	-	3 449	106	2 035	434	324	6 348	26 355	30	32 734
	2002/03	-	-	5 710	28	2 351	661	93	8 843	16 128	103	25 073
America: Caribbean												
Anguilla	1998/99	834	_	1 030	-	113	_		1 977	_	-	1 977
<b>J</b>	1999/00	1 232	_	763	-	38	25		2 058	_	-	2 058
	2000/01	1 118	_	1 016	-	13	87		2 234	_	-	2 234
	2001/02	932	_	883	-	32	_		1 847	_	-	1 847
	2002/03	171	-	780	-	92	-	-	1 044	-	-	1 044
Antigua & Barbuda	1998/99	77	_	108	_	82	_	357	625	_	4	629
•	1999/00	740	_	452	-	94	_	357	1 643	4 215	8	5 865
	2000/01	898	_	321	-	57	_	-	1 276	4 344	56	5 677
	2001/02	350	_	80	-	21	_	-	452	1 296	99	1 847
	2002/03	174	-	30	-	29	-	-	233	-	1 261	1 495
Bahamas	1998/99	_	_	- 6	_	5	_		- 1	_		- 1
	1999/00	_	_	4	_	_	30		35	_		35
	2000/01	_	_	15	-	_	_		15	_		15
	2001/02	-	_	18	-	-	_	-	18	_	-	18
	2002/03	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	20	-	-	20
Barbados	1998/99	17	_	81	_	63	_	49	209	313	11	533
	1999/00	14	_	44	-	54	-	46	158	891	-	1 049
	2000/01	12	_	48	-	95	-	44	200	_		200
	2001/02	11	_	78	-	38	-	42	168	139	16	323
	2002/03	9	-	73	-	87	-	21	190	6	662	857

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Programme	s	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Belize	1998/99	350	_	1 042	_	175	-		1 567	7 790		9 357
	1999/00	4 626	_	984	-	149	_	-	5 760	9 116	-	14 876
	2000/01	2 972	_	1 445	_	111	208		4 736	3 374	30	8 140
	2001/02	2 684	_	831	_	77			3 593	2 083	-	5 676
	2002/03	1 044	-	313	-	98	-	1 458	2 913	8 306	-	11 219
Bermuda	1998/99	-	-	-	_	-	-		-	-		-
	1999/00	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	13
	2000/01	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	4
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
British Virgin Islands	1998/99	-	-	620	_	2	-		622	-	55	677
	1999/00	-	-	490	-	27	-	-	517	-	-	517
	2000/01	-	-	186	-	-	-	-	186	-	-	186
	2001/02	-	-	89	-	-	-		89	-		89
	2002/03	-	-	90	-	-	-	-	90	-	-	90
Cayman Islands	1998/99	_	-	20	_	-	3		23	-		23
	1999/00	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	18	-	31	49
	2000/01	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	20	-	-	20
	2001/02	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	3
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	26
Dominica	1998/99	935	-	1 021	_	26	8	541	2 531	-		2 531
	1999/00	1 019	-	654	-	23	244	541	2 481	-	-	2 481
	2000/01	308	-	274	-	23	29	541	1 174	-	-	1 174
	2001/02	69	-	309	-	8	3	541	930	60	-	990
	2002/03	611	_	319	_	_	2	541	1 473	360		1 833

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	i (Excl ATP)						_	Other Programme	es	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Dominican Republic	1998/99	-	-	23	-	-	59	-	82	171		253
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	40	-		40	35 169	-	35 209
	2000/01	-	-	_	-	48	-	-	48	598	-	646
	2001/02	-	-	_	-	31	-	-	31	11 051	-	11 082
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	75	-	-	75	17 287	-	17 362
Grenada	1998/99	3	-	479	_	33	-	625	1 141	-		1 141
	1999/00	3	-	275	-	19	_	625	923	-	-	923
	2000/01	3	-	214	-	25	_	372	614	-	2	616
	2001/02	2	_	245	_	141	_	56	444	-	-	444
	2002/03	2	-	392	-	45	-	56	495	-	7	502
Guyana	1998/99	288	_	1 837	_	235	182	3 305	5 846	-	17 116	22 962
•	1999/00	1 087	-	4 214	-	162	_	4 537	10 000	4 372	1 861	16 233
	2000/01	2 840	-	5 874	-	290	_	4 888	13 892	3 274	13 907	31 073
	2001/02	3 076	_	4 768	-	84	-	4 633	12 561	2 711	1 228	16 500
	2002/03	3 397	-	4 134	-	162	-	4 457	12 151	1 879	993	15 023
Jamaica	1998/99	174	-	2 481	_	154	-	7 295	10 104	_	38	10 142
	1999/00	17	-	2 366	-	126	_	5 516	8 026	1 017	1	9 044
	2000/01	158	_	2 762	_	110	_	5 402	8 431	-	8	8 439
	2001/02	198	_	4 425	_	147	_	3 622	8 392	-	575	8 968
	2002/03	488	-	4 280	-	181	-	5 368	10 318	-	391	10 708
Montserrat	1998/99	53	8 340	4 943	_	10 059	7 700		31 096	-		31 096
	1999/00	-	8 232	4 952	-	4 138	5 317		22 640	-	-	22 640
	2000/01	1	5 740	7 482	-	5 566	2 023	-	20 811	-	-	20 811
	2001/02	5 644	7 605	6 452	-	3 330	1 386		24 417	-	-	24 417
	2002/03	7 476	11 265	2 948	-	927	1 183	-	23 800	-	-	23 800

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	<u>.</u>	Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Programme	s	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
St Kitts & Nevis	1998/99	61	_	220	_	3	37	288	609	_		609
	1999/00	1	_	98	_	_	_	-	99	_		99
	2000/01	1	_	1	-	_	_		2	_	-	2
	2001/02	1	_	_	-	_	_		1	_	-	1
	2002/03	1	-	18	-	-	-	-	18	-	-	18
St Lucia	1998/99	5	-	444	_	42	-	333	824	- 392	10	442
	1999/00	5	-	528	-	20	-	333	886	-		886
	2000/01	5	-	663	-	5	-	333	1 007	-		1 007
	2001/02	5	-	380	-	21	-	333	738	-		738
	2002/03	5	-	305	-	39	-	333	682	-	-	682
St Vincent &	1998/99	341	-	244	-	96	-		681	-		681
Grenadines	1999/00	5	-	278	-	29	-	-	311	-	-	311
	2000/01	5	-	177	-	34	-	-	217	-	-	217
	2001/02	5	-	108	-	4	-	-	117	-	-	117
	2002/03	4	-	61	-	25	-	2 596	2 686	-	-	2 686
Trinidad & Tobago	1998/99	107	_	68	_	52			228		30	258
Tillidad & Tobago	1999/00	107	_	124	_	30	-		254	-	-	254
	2000/01	92	_	146	_	- 1			237	_		237
	2001/02	80	_	52	_		_	_	132	_	45	177
	2002/03	72	-	74	-	-	-	-	145	-	35	181
Turks & Caicos	1998/99	1 210	-	2 249	-	152	-	198	3 808	-	-	3 808
Islands	1999/00	1 564	-	2 271	-	16	-	193	4 044	-	-	4 044
	2000/01	487	-	2 166	-	4	-	191	2 848	-	-	2 848
	2001/02	900	-	1 702	-	-	-	153	2 754	-	-	2 754
	2002/03	661	-	711	-	44	-	117	1 533	-	-	1 533

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	_	Financial Aid	i (Excl ATP)						_	Other Programm	es	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Windward Islands	1998/99	-	-	18	-	-	-		18	-	-	18
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2000/01	-	-	39	-	-	-	-	39	-	-	39
	2001/02	-	-	189	-	-	-	-	189	-	-	189
	2002/03	-	-	289	-	-	-	-	289	-	-	289
Caribbean Regional	1998/99	44	-	3 668	_	327	810		4 850	-	2 823	7 673
	1999/00	94	-	4 966	-	430	384	-	5 874	-	2 114	7 988
	2000/01	150	-	5 284	-	752	126	-	6 311	-	1 372	7 684
	2001/02	37	-	4 915	-	320	176	-	5 449	-	1 531	6 979
	2002/03	-	-	4 386	-	274	107	-	4 767	-	1 160	5 927
Total Caribbean	1998/99	4 500	8 340	20 591	-	11 619	8 799	12 991	66 840	7 882	20 088	94 809
	1999/00	10 506	8 232	23 495	-	5 395	6 000	12 149	65 778	54 780	4 015	124 572
	2000/01	9 048	5 740	28 138	-	7 133	2 472	11 771	64 301	11 590	15 375	91 266
	2001/02	13 994	7 605	25 527	-	4 254	1 565	9 379	62 324	17 340	3 494	83 158
	2002/03	14 114	11 265	19 224	-	2 078	1 292	14 948	62 920	27 838	4 534	95 293
America: South												
Argentina	1998/99	-	-	46	-	114	-		160	-	1	161
	1999/00	-	-	36	-	99	-	-	134	8 702	-	8 836
	2000/01	-	-	7	-	1	-	-	8	-	-	8
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	22
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bolivia	1998/99	-	-	2 842	_	1 391	123		4 356	6 306	704	11 365
	1999/00	-	-	3 818	-	2 510	6		6 334	26 763	6 610	39 707
	2000/01	872	-	4 635	-	2 084	-		7 592	1 886	516	9 993
	2001/02	689	-	3 453	-	8 542	-	-	12 684	977	17 833	31 494
	2002/03	1 090	-	4 258	-	3 970	-	-	9 318	3 450	70	12 838

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	_	Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Programme	s	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Brazil	1998/99	_	_	6 161	_	1 316	_	-	7 477	-	400	7 877
	1999/00	-	_	5 257	-	1 134	-	-	6 391	_	478	6 869
	2000/01	-	-	6 129	-	655	-	-	6 784	-	478	7 262
	2001/02	-	-	5 627	-	1 372	-		6 999	-	39	7 038
	2002/03	-	-	8 442	-	2 799	-	-	11 241	-	74	11 315
Chile	1998/99	_	-	986	_	30	13		1 029	-	-	1 029
	1999/00	-	-	410	-	-	-		410	-	-	410
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	96		96	-	-	96
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	145	-	145	-	-	145
Colombia	1998/99	_	-	964	280	517	857		2 617	_	755	3 372
	1999/00	-	-	538	256	478	266		1 537	-	653	2 190
	2000/01	-	-	605	234	671	221		1 730	-	522	2 252
	2001/02	-	-	380	208	335	11		934	-	546	1 480
	2002/03	-	-	228	189	184	-	-	600	-	1 228	1 828
Ecuador	1998/99	_	-	790	_	309	-		1 099	4 964	-	6 063
	1999/00	-	-	488	-	261	-		748	6 345	-	7 093
	2000/01	-	-	150	-	391	-	-	541	-	-	541
	2001/02	-	-	39	-	236	-	-	275	-	156	431
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	363	-	-	363	4	73	441
Paraguay	1998/99	-	-	82	-	178	15	-	275	-	-	275
	1999/00	-	-	122	-	133	-	-	255	-	-	255
	2000/01	-	-	78	-	100	-	-	179	-	-	179
	2001/02	-	-	34	-	59	-	-	94	-	-	94
	2002/03	-	-	9	-	56	-	-	66	-	-	66

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	_	Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Programme	es	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Peru	1998/99	-	-	1 830	_	1 536	26	334	3 726	57	155	3 938
	1999/00	-	-	1 744	-	2 443	8	318	4 512	3 495	512	8 520
	2000/01	-	-	1 684	-	2 320	-	296	4 300	-	-	4 300
	2001/02	-	-	6 914	-	643	415	198	8 170	433	298	8 901
	2002/03	-	-	1 844	-	951	311	295	3 401	48 328	376	52 105
Uruguay	1998/99	_	-	70	_	183	-		254	-		254
	1999/00	-	-	4	-	247	-		252	-	-	252
	2000/01	-	-	_	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	2001/02	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	15
Venezuela	1998/99	_	_	_	_	184	_		184	_	57	241
	1999/00	-	-	_	-	168	769		938	-	33	971
	2000/01	-	-	_	-	35	2		36	-	-	36
	2001/02	-	-	_	-	-	-		-	-	41	41
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	72	72
Latin America Regional	1998/99	_	_	157	_	_	696		853	-	29	883
G	1999/00	-	-	159	-	-	15	-	174	-	-	174
	2000/01	-	-	124	-	28	67		218	-	2	220
	2001/02	-	-	428	-	98	-		526	1 377	-	1 903
	2002/03	-	-	871	-	511	68	-	1 450	7 409	-	8 859
Total South America	1998/99	-	_	13 926	280	5 757	1 731	334	22 029	11 327	2 102	35 457
	1999/00	-	-	12 575	256	7 473	1 064	318	21 685	45 305	8 287	75 277
	2000/01	872	-	13 412	234	6 284	289	296	21 387	1 886	1 517	24 791
	2001/02	689	-	16 875	208	11 285	521	198	29 777	2 787	18 935	51 499
	2002/03	1 090	-	15 651	189	8 836	525	295	26 585	59 191	1 908	87 683

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	<u>-</u>	Financial Aid	(Excl ATP)						_	Other Programm	es	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
America Regional	1998/99	-	_	636	-	459	_		1 095	-		1 095
ŭ	1999/00	-	_	644	-	253	_	-	897	-		897
	2000/01	-	_	1 219	_	601	750	-	2 570	-	-	2 570
	2001/02	-	-	779	-	-	750	-	1 529	1 354	-	2 883
	2002/03	-	-	418	-	-	320	-	738	7 763	-	8 501
Total America	1998/99	14 500	8 340	39 583	577	20 058	13 759	13 605	110 423	37 391	22 311	170 125
	1999/00	10 506	8 232	41 037	490	15 413	8 034	12 840	96 553	130 963	12 315	239 831
	2000/01	9 920	5 740	45 004	403	16 984	5 007	12 440	95 498	71 433	16 897	183 828
	2001/02	14 684	7 605	46 630	314	17 574	3 271	9 901	99 978	47 836	22 460	170 274
	2002/03	15 204	11 265	41 002	217	13 264	2 798	15 336	99 086	110 920	6 545	216 550

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	_	Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Prograi	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Asia: Middle East												
Iran	1998/99	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	33	-	367	401
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	-	488	-	488	-	500	988
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	-	1 504	-	1 504	-	620	2 124
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	1 138	-	1 138	-	444	1 583
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	953	-	953	-	643	1 596
Iraq <sup>9</sup>	1998/99	_	_	_	_	_	5 749		5 749	_	_	5 749
·	1999/00	_	_	_	_	_	6 585		6 585	-		6 585
	2000/01	_	_	_	_	_	9 545	-	9 545	_	-	9 545
	2001/02	-	-	_	-	-	7 760	-	7 760	-	-	7 760
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	18 853	-	18 853	-	-	18 853
Jordan	1998/99	313	_	2 928	198	221	51	1 883	5 594	_	_	5 594
	1999/00	353	_	3 592	144	308	637	1 277	6 310	_		6 310
	2000/01	282	_	4 362	103	318	35	1 197	6 298	_		6 298
	2001/02	211	_	3 124	59	1 377	9	1 653	6 433	-		6 433
	2002/03	132	-	2 843	6	179	9	1 325	4 493	-	-	4 493
Lebanon	1998/99	_	_	_	_	156	72		228	_	_	228
	1999/00	_	_	_	_	168	-		168	_		168
	2000/01	_	_	_	_	230	407	-	636	_	-	636
	2001/02	-	-	_	-	111	_	-	111	-	-	111
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	232	-	-	232	-	-	232
Oman	1998/99	_	_	_	_	64	_		64	_		64
	1999/00	-	-	_	-	13	-	-	13	-		13
	2000/01	-	-	-	_	-	-	-		-	-	_
	2001/02	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	19	19
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	_	-		_	-	3	3

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

<sup>9.</sup> There is no aid to the government of Iraq. The amounts recorded as flows to Iraq are humanitarian assistance provided through UN agencies and CSOs for Iraqi citizens.

		Financial Aid (Excl ATP)								Other Programmes		
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Syria	1998/99	_	_	_	_	119	_		119	_	_	119
	1999/00	_	_	_	_	75	_		75	_		75
	2000/01	_	_	_	_	80	_		80	_		80
	2001/02	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_		-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	89	-	-	89	-	-	89
United Arab Emirates <sup>4</sup>	1998/99	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	-		
	1999/00	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_		_
	2000/01	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	36	36
	2001/02	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	2	2
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	92	92
West Bank & Gaza	1998/99	5	-	4 033	_	1 048	94		5 180	-		5 180
	1999/00	628	-	6 615	-	747	-	-	7 990	-	-	7 990
	2000/01	791	-	6 681	-	954	569	-	8 996	-	-	8 996
	2001/02	218	_	8 679	-	1 064	4 567	-	14 528	-	-	14 528
	2002/03	-	-	9 404	-	1 227	4 534	-	15 166	-	-	15 166
Yemen	1998/99	302	-	511	_	235	-		1 048	-		1 048
	1999/00	309	-	565	-	613	-	-	1 488	-	-	1 488
	2000/01	1 516	-	852	-	363	-	-	2 731	-	-	2 731
	2001/02	384	-	1 237	-	961	-	-	2 582	-	-	2 582
	2002/03	3 298	-	1 194	-	949	-	-	5 442	-	-	5 442
Middle East	1998/99	1	-	-	_	329	-		329	-		329
Regional	1999/00	1	-	-	-	288	-	-	288	-	-	288
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	134	-	-	135	-	-	135
	2001/02	4	-	-	-	73	-	-	78	-	6	84
	2002/03	_	_	_	_	104	_		104	_		104

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Total Middle East	1998/99	621	_	7 472	198	2 182	6 000	1 883	18 356	_	377	18 732
	1999/00	1 291	_	10 772	144	2 211	7 710	1 277	23 405	-	500	23 905
	2000/01	2 589	_	11 896	103	2 079	12 060	1 197	29 924	-	656	30 580
	2001/02	818	_	13 041	59	3 586	13 474	1 653	32 630	-	472	33 102
	2002/03	3 430	_	13 441	6	2 781	24 350	1 325	45 332	-	738	46 070
Asia: South												
Afghanistan	1998/99	1 000	_	69	_	191	2 579	33	3 873	_	-	3 873
<b>3</b> · · · · ·	1999/00	-	_	130	_	185	4 917	29	5 262	_	190	5 452
	2000/01	-	_	336	_	124	6 563	25	7 047	-	418	7 465
	2001/02	-	_	20	_	20	49 943	25	50 008	-	31	50 039
	2002/03	16 253	12 700	1 069	-	310	44 219	-	74 551	-	1 472	76 023
Bangladesh	1998/99	16 510	-	19 760	2	14 914	15 742	433	67 361	-		67 361
	1999/00	19 081	-	22 728	-	22 765	745	292	65 613	6 181	-	71 794
	2000/01	14 065	-	27 007	-	27 948	3 496		72 517	6 830	-	79 347
	2001/02	9 870	-	29 384	-	20 796	1 781		61 830	1 153	-	62 983
	2002/03	10 827	-	38 006	-	28 360	226	-	77 419	-	-	77 419
Bhutan	1998/99	_	-	-	_	14	-		14	-		14
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	11	-		11	-	-	11
	2000/01	-	-	1	-	-	-		1	-	-	1
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Burma	1998/99	10	-	18	-	482	285		795	-		795
	1999/00	9	-	56	-	287	207		559	-	-	559
	2000/01	7	-	68	-	288	1 030		1 393	-	-	1 393
	2001/02	10	-	99	-	82	2 088		2 279	-	-	2 279
	2002/03	5	-	1 415	-	2 436	3 163	-	7 020	-	-	7 020

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
India	1998/99	37 450	-	44 409	_	6 864	61		88 784	21 565	25	110 374
	1999/00	46 145	_	41 411	-	10 619	3 941		102 116	5 167	-	107 283
	2000/01	46 659	_	38 971	-	12 724	18 560		116 914	11 886	-	128 800
	2001/02	54 886	65 000	45 501	-	12 790	6 796		184 973	19 549	6	204 528
	2002/03	73 776	30 000	42 585	-	9 294	5 217	-	160 871	26 379	-	187 250
Maldives	1998/99	_	-	70	_	240	-		310	-	-	310
	1999/00	-	-	44	-	248	-		292	-	-	292
	2000/01	-	-	69	-	217	-		286	-	-	286
	2001/02	-	-	17	-	66	-		83	-	-	83
	2002/03	-	-	16	-	180	-	-	195	-	-	195
Nepal	1998/99	2 667	-	10 786	-	2 502	85	-	16 041	-	-	16 041
	1999/00	2 092	-	11 119	-	1 636	-	-	14 847	-	-	14 847
	2000/01	2 742	-	13 686	-	1 267	-	-	17 695	-	-	17 695
	2001/02	1 805	-	18 750	-	659	2 201	-	23 414	-	-	23 414
	2002/03	2 038	-	24 599	-	1 156	94	-	27 887	-	-	27 887
Pakistan	1998/99	4 076	-	13 083	433	4 736	-	1 148	23 477	1 761	1 667	26 904
	1999/00	1 996	-	13 900	523	5 202	247	751	22 619	-	852	23 471
	2000/01	67	-	10 539	-	2 837	1 425	173	15 041	2	1 301	16 345
	2001/02	11 728	8 966	14 523	-	7 931	122		43 271	-	1 640	44 911
	2002/03	8 977	11 034	11 279	-	7 008	226	-	38 524	7 760	778	47 062
Sri Lanka	1998/99	28	-	5 121	123	1 176	1 250	167	7 864	-	-	7 864
	1999/00	804	-	5 121	33	487	1 306	76	7 827	-	-	7 827
	2000/01	62	-	4 696	7	382	2 138		7 285	5 249	-	12 534
	2001/02	10	-	3 480	-	158	1 100		4 749	2 789	-	7 538
	2002/03	8	-	4 680	-	1 044	-	-	5 731	2 589	17	8 338

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	l (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	mmes	
	•	Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Total South Asia	1998/99	61 741	_	93 315	558	31 121	20 003	1 782	208 520	23 326	1 692	233 537
	1999/00	70 128	_	94 510	555	41 440	11 364	1 148	219 145	11 348	1 042	231 536
	2000/01	63 602	_	95 373	7	45 786	33 212	198	238 179	23 967	1 719	263 865
	2001/02	78 309	73 966	111 775	-	42 502	64 032	25	370 608	23 491	1 678	395 777
	2002/03	111 883	53 734	123 648	-	49 788	53 145	-	392 199	36 728	2 267	431 194
Asia: Far East												
Brunei	1998/99	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	6
	1999/00	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	6
	2000/01	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	6
	2001/02	5	-	14	-	-	-	-	19	-	-	19
	2002/03	4	-	17	-	-	-	-	21	-	-	21
Cambodia	1998/99	_	-	1 940	_	2 929	693		5 562	-		5 562
	1999/00	-	-	2 361	-	1 887	2 810	-	7 058	-	-	7 058
	2000/01	-	-	3 949	-	1 784	1 816	-	7 549	-	-	7 549
	2001/02	-	-	4 209	-	1 540	621	-	6 370	-	-	6 370
	2002/03	-	-	2 842	-	6 054	866	-	9 762	-	-	9 762
China	1998/99	_	-	8 310	24 522	6 190	166		39 189	-	1	39 190
	1999/00	1 272	-	7 370	16 269	819	531	-	26 260	-		26 260
	2000/01	4 040	-	13 018	11 037	1 650	-	-	29 746	27 020		56 766
	2001/02	4 770	-	16 759	9 555	1 690	-	-	32 773	17 644	1	50 418
	2002/03	15 017	-	17 760	7 547	1 142	320	-	41 785	2 840	-	44 625
East Timor	1998/99	_	-	40	_	-	-	-	40	-	-	40
	1999/00	-	-	113	-	1 369	6 975	-	8 457	-	-	8 457
	2000/01	-	-	392	-	5 500	1 091	-	6 983	-	-	6 983
	2001/02	-	-	809	-	3 315	-	-	4 124	-	-	4 124
	2002/03	-	-	440	-	6 107	-	-	6 547	-	-	6 547

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	-	Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						<u>-</u>	Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Hong Kong	1998/99	-	-	1	_	_	_		1	_		1
3 3 3	1999/00	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	-	_
	2000/01	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	-	_
	2001/02	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_		_
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indonesia	1998/99	109	_	6 500	17 235	1 577	_		25 420	_		25 420
	1999/00	109	_	3 148	14 134	3 023	-	-	20 414	38 300	-	58 714
	2000/01	368	_	4 767	12 131	2 317	543	-	20 127	8 197	-	28 324
	2001/02	83	_	4 364	9 811	1 836	750	-	16 843	1 507	-	18 350
	2002/03	107	-	3 142	8 621	6 617	1 500	-	19 987	22 687	-	42 674
Korea	1998/99	_	-	-	-	-	306		306	_		306
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	-	638		638	-	-	638
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	-	887		887	-	-	887
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	3	2 117		2 119	-	-	2 119
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Laos	1998/99	_	-	4	_	532	-	3	538	-		538
	1999/00	-	-	14	-	389	833	1	1 237	-	-	1 237
	2000/01	-	-	9	-	410	617		1 036	24	-	1 060
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	279	-		279	-	-	279
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	344	295	-	639	-	-	639
Malaysia	1998/99	381	-	574	-	5	-		960	_		960
•	1999/00	353	-	731	-	2	-		1 086	-	-	1 086
	2000/01	324	-	346	-	-	-		671	-	-	671
	2001/02	296	-	233	-	-	-		529	-	-	529
	2002/03	272	-	137	-	-	-	-	409	-	-	409

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	i (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Mongolia	1998/99	_	_	89	_	380	_		470	_	8	477
3	1999/00	_	_	35	_	255	32		322	_	-	322
	2000/01	_	_	536	_	275	597		1 408	_		1 408
	2001/02	_	_	206	_	247	89		542	_		542
	2002/03	-	-	129	-	166	100	-	395	-	-	395
Philippines	1998/99	-	-	267	656	619	-		1 542	8 678		10 220
	1999/00	-	-	157	44	406	-		607	5 817	-	6 424
	2000/01	-	-	-	241	545	250		1 037	1 208	-	2 245
	2001/02	3 692	-	64	163	228	-		4 147	1 597	-	5 744
	2002/03	631	-	67	158	321	-	-	1 177	-	-	1 177
Singapore	1998/99	79	-	55	_	-	-		133	-		133
	1999/00	73	-	66	-	-	-		139	-	-	139
	2000/01	87	-	79	-	-	-		166	-	-	166
	2001/02	61	-	22	-	-	-		83	-	-	83
	2002/03	52	-	35	-	-	-	-	87	-	-	87
Taiwan	1998/99	-	-	_	_	-	-		_	-		-
	1999/00	-	-	-	-	-	30	-	30	-	-	30
	2000/01	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thailand	1998/99	_	-	698	308	134	-		1 140	1 231	17	2 388
	1999/00	-	-	322	170	83	-	-	576	2 379	-	2 955
	2000/01	-	-	340	123	253	-		715	-	-	715
	2001/02	-	-	3	79	62	-	-	144	-	-	144
	2002/03	282	-	3	24	24	-	-	333	-	9	341

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	(Excl ATP)							Other Program	mmes	
	•	Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Vietnam	1998/99	_	_	3 638	-	961	100		4 699	_	_	4 699
	1999/00	_	_	3 593	_	1 486	123	-	5 202	_		5 202
	2000/01	_	_	3 620	_	1 297	943	-	5 859	_		5 859
	2001/02	1 002	_	7 827	_	7 214	49	-	16 093	_	-	16 093
	2002/03	2 871	-	5 500	-	10 690	-	-	19 061	-	-	19 061
Total Far East Asia	1998/99	575	-	22 114	42 722	13 328	1 265	3	80 006	9 909	26	89 942
	1999/00	1 813	-	17 910	30 618	9 719	11 973	1	72 035	46 496	-	118 531
	2000/01	4 826	-	27 054	23 533	14 032	6 744	-	76 189	36 449	-	112 638
	2001/02	9 908	-	34 509	19 608	16 414	3 626	-	84 065	20 748	1	104 814
	2002/03	19 236	-	30 072	16 350	31 466	3 081	-	100 205	25 527	9	125 740
Asia: Central Asian Repo	ublics											
Kazakhstan	1998/99	-	-	1 284	-	58	-	-	1 342	-	17	1 359
	1999/00	-	-	993	-	83	-	-	1 077	-	-	1 077
	2000/01	-	-	821	-	76	-	-	896	-	-	896
	2001/02	-	-	674	-	25	-	-	699	-	-	699
	2002/03	-	-	688	-	32	-	-	720	-	-	720
Kyrgyzstan	1998/99	-	-	381	_	30	31	-	442	-	17	458
	1999/00	-	-	1 181	-	42	-	-	1 223	-		1 223
	2000/01	-	-	1 428	-	81	-	-	1 509	-		1 509
	2001/02	-	-	1 832	-	20	-	-	1 852	-	-	1 852
	2002/03	-	-	3 490	-	33	-		3 523	-	-	3 523
Tajikistan	1998/99	_	-	64	_	39	629		732	-	708	1 440
	1999/00	-	-	39	-	7	-	-	45	-	-	45
	2000/01	-	-	598	-	43	-	-	640	-	-	640
	2001/02	-	-	23	-	48	1 907	-	1 978	-	-	1 978
	2002/03	-	-	127	-	81	150	-	358	-	-	358

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	i (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Turkmenistan	1998/99	_	_	164	_	31	_		194	_	28	222
	1999/00	-	_	71	-	26	-	-	97	_	-	97
	2000/01	-	_	70	-	41	-	-	111	_	-	111
	2001/02	-	_	46	-	16	-	-	62	_	17	79
	2002/03	-	-	119	-	43	-	-	162	-	27	189
Uzbekistan	1998/99	_	-	551	_	41	-		592	-		592
	1999/00	-	-	457	-	48	-	-	505	-	-	505
	2000/01	-	-	417	-	66	-	-	484	-	-	484
	2001/02	-	-	362	-	34	-	-	397	-	84	481
	2002/03	-	-	448	-	42	-	-	491	-	294	785
Total Central Asian	1998/99	-	-	2 444	-	198	660		3 302	-	769	4 071
Republics	1999/00	-	-	2 741	-	206	-		2 947	-	-	2 947
	2000/01	-	-	3 333	-	307	-		3 639	-	-	3 639
	2001/02	-	-	2 937	-	144	1 907	-	4 988	-	102	5 089
	2002/03	-	-	4 873	-	231	150	-	5 254	-	322	5 575
Asia Regional	1998/99	-	-	2 241	59	1 142	80		3 522	-	134	3 656
	1999/00	-	-	3 501	52	1 313	54	-	4 921	-	50	4 971
	2000/01	251	-	5 496	62	1 509	4 454	-	11 772	-	62	11 834
	2001/02	1 250	-	4 744	27	395	66	-	6 482	-	-	6 482
	2002/03	2 672	-	7 787	30	691	158	-	11 338	-	-	11 338
Total Asia	1998/99	62 937	-	127 586	43 536	47 971	28 008	3 668	313 705	33 235	2 998	349 939
	1999/00	73 231	-	129 435	31 370	54 889	31 102	2 427	322 454	57 844	1 592	381 890
	2000/01	71 268	-	143 152	23 705	63 713	56 469	1 396	359 704	60 416	2 437	422 557
	2001/02	90 285	73 966	167 005	19 694	63 041	83 104	1 678	498 773	44 239	2 252	545 264
	2002/03	137 221	53 734	179 821	16 386	84 956	80 883	1 325	554 327	62 255	3 335	619 917

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	_	Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Publie Expenditure
Europe												
Albania <sup>10</sup>	1998/99	-	_	589	_	35	19	-	643	-	-	643
	1999/00	-	-	628	_	29	14 583	-	15 240	-	-	15 240
	2000/01	-	_	850	-	57	1 381	-	2 288	_	-	2 288
	2001/02	-	_	3 446	_	108	624	-	4 178	_	-	4 178
	2002/03	-	-	2 360	-	157	65	-	2 582	-	-	2 582
Armenia	1998/99	_	-	413	-	48	89	-	551	-		551
	1999/00	-	-	1 017	-	78	-	-	1 096	-	-	1 096
	2000/01	-	-	991	-	284	660	-	1 935	-	-	1 935
	2001/02	260	-	1 132	-	55	45	-	1 491	-	-	1 491
	2002/03	-	-	1 071	-	85	35	-	1 191	-	-	1 191
Azerbaijan	1998/99	_	-	448	-	49	-	-	498	-		498
-	1999/00	-	-	643	-	53	-	-	697	-	-	697
	2000/01	-	-	343	-	11	334	-	688	-	-	688
	2001/02	-	-	411	-	5	63	-	479	-	-	479
	2002/03	-	-	256	-	13	-	-	269	-	-	269
Belarus	1998/99	_	_	415	_	57	_		472	_		472
	1999/00	-	-	229	-	48	-	-	278	-	-	278
	2000/01	-	-	32	-	51	-	-	82	-	-	82
	2001/02	-	-	43	-	18	-	-	61	-	-	61
	2002/03	-	-	40	-	17	-	-	57	-	-	57
Bosnia & Herzegovina	1998/99	_	_	2 257	_	238	104		2 599	-		2 599
-	1999/00	-	-	2 300	-	215	1 628		4 143	-	1 852	5 995
	2000/01	-	-	2 550	_	70	762	-	3 383	_	-	3 383
	2001/02	-	-	2 735	_	230	582	-	3 547	_	-	3 547
	2002/03	-	-	6 488	-	159	-	-	6 647	-	-	6 647

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

<sup>10.</sup> Includes humanitarian assistance to Kosovo for 1999/00 and 2000/01.

	_	Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Publie Expenditure
Bulgaria	1998/99	_	_	2 614	_	88	_		2 702	_	1	2 703
9	1999/00	_	_	2 780	_	183	_		2 963	_	18	2 981
	2000/01	_	_	2 951	_	265	_		3 216	_	106	3 322
	2001/02	_	_	3 598	_	162	2		3 762	_	130	3 891
	2002/03	-	-	3 301	-	245	1	-	3 548	-	184	3 731
Croatia	1998/99	-	-	645	_	155	-		800	-		800
	1999/00	-	-	954	-	236	100	-	1 290	-	-	1 290
	2000/01	-	-	794	-	98	-	-	892	-	-	892
	2001/02	-	-	1 012	-	2	387	-	1 401	-	56	1 457
	2002/03	-	-	1 267	-	17	321	-	1 605	-	-	1 605
Cyprus	1998/99	14	-	231	-	85	-		330	-	50	380
	1999/00	12	-	180	-	- 3	-	-	188	-	-	188
	2000/01	10	-	136	-	-	-	-	147	-	-	147
	2001/02	9	-	85	-	-	-	-	94	-	-	94
	2002/03	7	-	51	-	-	-	-	59	-	-	59
Czech Republic	1998/99	-	-	1 040	-	186	-	-	1 226	-	-	1 227
	1999/00	-	-	537	-	235	-	-	772	-	-	772
	2000/01	-	-	547	-	165	-	-	711	-	-	711
	2001/02	-	-	622	-	104	-	-	725	-	9	735
	2002/03	-	-	554	-	126	-	-	680	-	17	697
Estonia	1998/99	-	-	135	-	76	-		212	-	-	212
	1999/00	-	-	139	-	175	-		315	-	-	315
	2000/01	-	-	11	-	33	-		44	-	-	44
	2001/02	-	-	25	-	40	-	-	65	-	-	65
	2002/03	-	-	67	-	77	-	-	144	-	-	144

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

	_	Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Prograi	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Publie Expenditure
Georgia	1998/99	_	_	739	_	45	488	_	1 272	_		1 272
3 -	1999/00	_	_	582	_	36	340		958	_		958
	2000/01	_	_	827	_	5	1 956		2 789	_		2 789
	2001/02	_	_	1 947	_	86	414		2 447	_		2 447
	2002/03	-	-	1 679	-	131	575		2 386	-	-	2 386
Gibraltar	1998/99	_	_	28	_	-	-		28	_	75	103
	1999/00	-	_	23	-	-	-	-	23	-		23
	2000/01	-	-	27	-	-	-	-	27	-	-	27
	2001/02	-	-	23	-	-	-	-	23	-		23
	2002/03	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	6
Hungary	1998/99	_	-	2 870	_	130	-		3 000	-	5	3 005
	1999/00	-	-	2 159	-	137	-	-	2 296	-	-	2 296
	2000/01	-	-	1 819	-	243	-	-	2 062	-	6	2 068
	2001/02	-	-	1 201	-	63	-	-	1 264	-	7	1 271
	2002/03	-	-	1 205	-	100	-		1 306	-	70	1 376
Latvia	1998/99	_	_	121	_	61	-		182	-	3	184
	1999/00	-	-	130	-	186	-	-	316	-	-	316
	2000/01	-	-	34	-	73	-	-	107	-	-	107
	2001/02	-	-	29	-	33	-	-	62	-	-	62
	2002/03	-	-	22	-	60	-		83	-	-	83
Lithuania	1998/99	-	-	140	-	86	-	-	227	-	-	227
	1999/00	-	-	161	-	72	-	-	233	-	-	233
	2000/01	-	-	111	-	4	-	-	115	-	-	115
	2001/02	-	-	59	-	54	-	-	113	-	-	113
	2002/03	-	-	52	-	25	-	-	78	-	-	78

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Prograi	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Publie Expenditure
Macedonia (FYR of) <sup>10</sup>	1998/99	_	_	481	_	48	1		530	_		530
,	1999/00	_	_	837	_	50	11 409		12 296	_	30	12 326
	2000/01	_	_	1 151	_	98	936		2 185	_	-	2 185
	2001/02	_	3 000	1 564	_	71	3 430		8 066	_		8 066
	2002/03	-	-	1 620	-	77	94	-	1 791	-	46	1 837
Malta	1998/99	4	-	123	_	20	-		146	_		146
	1999/00	2	_	97	-	-	_	-	99	-		99
	2000/01	1	-	120	-	-	-	-	122	-		122
	2001/02	-	-	71	-	-	-	-	71	-	-	71
	2002/03	-	-	119	-	-	-	-	119	-	-	119
Moldova	1998/99	_	-	795	_	30	-		825	-		825
	1999/00	-	-	754	-	75	-	-	829	-		829
	2000/01	-	-	1 034	-	40	-	-	1 074	-	-	1 074
	2001/02	-	-	1 582	-	2	-	-	1 584	-		1 584
	2002/03	-	-	3 352	-	3	-	-	3 354	-	-	3 354
Poland	1998/99	_	-	7 644	_	272	-		7 916	_		7 917
	1999/00	-	-	4 178	-	264	-	-	4 442	-		4 442
	2000/01	-	-	3 552	-	262	-	-	3 814	-		3 814
	2001/02	-	-	2 295	-	232	-	-	2 527	-	20	2 547
	2002/03	-	-	1 278	-	209	-	-	1 487	-	-	1 487
Romania	1998/99	_	-	4 036	-	104	-		4 140	-	18	4 158
	1999/00	-	-	3 489	-	249	-	-	3 738	-	6	3 744
	2000/01	-	-	3 180	-	258	-	-	3 439	-		3 439
	2001/02	-	-	5 301	-	95	-	-	5 396	-		5 396
	2002/03	-	-	5 798	-	300	50	-	6 147	-	20	6 167

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

<sup>10.</sup> Includes humanitarian assistance to Kosovo for 1999/00 and 2000/01.

		Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)							Other Progra	ammes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Publie Expenditure
Russian Federation	1998/99	_	_	29 037	_	523	1 272		30 832	_	55	30 887
	1999/00	_	_	23 993	_	349	3 384		27 727	_	1	27 728
	2000/01	_	_	19 880	_	814	5 419		26 114	_		26 114
	2001/02	_	_	22 168	_	465	2 772		25 405	_		25 405
	2002/03	-	-	22 424	-	1 836	2 751		27 011	-	-	27 011
Serbia & Montenegro <sup>10</sup>	1998/99	_	_	196	_	_	75		272	_	1	273
(including Kosovo)	1999/00	_	_	76	_	_	6 316		6 393	_		6 393
( 111 5 111 1)	2000/01	_	3 398	1 948	_	107	10 080		15 533	_	134	15 667
	2001/02	_	7 000	4 150	_	107	487		11 745	_	27	11 771
	2002/03	-	-	5 538	-	571	- 2		6 108	-	299 164	305 271
Slovak Republic	1998/99	_	_	2 451	_	147	_		2 598	-		2 598
·	1999/00	-	_	1 541	_	182	_	-	1 723	-	-	1 723
	2000/01	-	_	986	_	162	_	-	1 149	-	-	1 149
	2001/02	-	_	1 992	_	189	_	-	2 181	-	8	2 188
	2002/03	-	-	2 819	-	232	-	-	3 051	-	-	3 051
Slovenia	1998/99	_	_	39	_	3	_		42	_	21	63
	1999/00	-	_	144	-	26	_	-	171	-	-	171
	2000/01	-	_	25	_	77	_	-	102	-	39	141
	2001/02	-	_	-	_	75	_	-	75	-	21	96
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	119	-	-	119	-	6	125
States of ex Yugoslavia <sup>10</sup>	1998/99	_	_	312	_	96	3 546		3 954	_		3 954
ŭ	1999/00	_	_	254	_	-	65 354	-	65 609	-	-	65 609
	2000/01	_	_	223	_	_	20 967	-	21 190	-	-	21 190
	2001/02	_	_	844	_	551	1 717	-	3 112	-	-	3 112
	2002/03	_	_	415	_	458	7		879	_	_	879

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

<sup>10.</sup> Includes humanitarian assistance to Kosovo for 1999/00 and 2000/01.

	·	Financial Aid	Financial Aid (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	ammes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Publie Expenditure
Turkey	1998/99	_	_	549	3 527	19	_		4 095	_	4	4 099
,	1999/00	-	_	_	3 176	_	1 565		4 741	_	11	4 751
	2000/01	-	_	_	2 848	_	283		3 131	_	109	3 239
	2001/02	-	_	_	2 485	_	7		2 492	_	648	3 140
	2002/03	-	-	-	2 069	-	-	-	2 069	-	231	2 300
Ukraine	1998/99	_	_	8 111	_	502	_		8 613	_	6	8 619
	1999/00	-	_	7 856	-	586	_	-	8 442	_	-	8 442
	2000/01	-	_	9 033	-	197	100	-	9 331	_	-	9 331
	2001/02	-	_	8 652	-	225	-	-	8 877	_	-	8 877
	2002/03	-	-	7 684	-	204	2	-	7 890	-	2	7 892
Balkan Regional <sup>10</sup>	1998/99	_	_	_	_	_	-		_	-		_
-	1999/00	-	_	502	-	-	16 274	-	16 776	_	-	16 776
	2000/01	-	_	16	-	-	1 035	-	1 051	_	21	1 072
	2001/02	-	_	-	-	8	-	-	8	_	500	508
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	53	-	-	53	-	-	53
Europe Regional	1998/99	_	-	3 358	_	443	-		3 801	-	428	4 229
	1999/00	-	_	4 057	-	395	852	-	5 303	_	878	6 181
	2000/01	-	_	5 357	-	372	883	-	6 612	_	410	7 022
	2001/02	-	_	5 412	-	17	110	-	5 538	_	-	5 538
	2002/03	-	-	3 589	-	402	298	-	4 289	-	-	4 289
Total Europe	1998/99	17	-	69 819	3 527	3 546	5 594	-	82 503	-	668	83 171
-	1999/00	14	-	60 240	3 176	3 858	121 807	-	189 095	-	2 796	191 891
	2000/01	11	3 398	58 528	2 848	3 747	44 798	-	113 330	-	825	114 155
	2001/02	269	10 000	70 397	2 485	2 998	10 639	-	96 788	-	1 426	98 214
	2002/03	7	-	73 057	2 069	5 677	4 197	-	85 007	-	299 738	384 745

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

<sup>10.</sup> Includes humanitarian assistance to Kosovo for 1999/00 and 2000/01.

### 7.5 Bilateral Aid to the Pacific

	_	Financial Aid	i (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	ımmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	,	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance1	DFID Debt Relief2	Total DFID Programme3	CDC Investments	Other4	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Pacific <sup>11</sup>	4000/00	500		0.574		1.004	470	227	4.044	45.040		00.054
Pacific	1998/99	562	-	2 571	-	1 264	178	367	4 941	15 310	-	20 251
	1999/00	534	-	2 424	-	825	49	360	4 193	3 055	-	7 248
	2000/01	823	-	1 941	-	836	-	360	3 960	1 174	-	5 134
	2001/02	1 107	-	1 894	-	1 272	-	353	4 626	2 416	-	7 042
	2002/03	563	-	2 462	-	1 419	66	278	4 788	790	-	5 578


<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

<sup>11.</sup> No country breakdown is available as bilateral assistance to the Pacific is provided under a single regional programme.



<sup>1.</sup> This comprises IMF Structural Adjustment Facility, Global Environmental Assistance, Commonwealth and International Research Organisations.

	199	98/99	1	999/00	:	2000/01	2	001/02	200	2/03
	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme <sup>1</sup>	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments
European Community <sup>2</sup>										
European Development Fund	212 997	-	212 893	-	121 376	-	91 463	-	132 545	-
European Commission National Experts <sup>3</sup>	892	-	965	-	981	-	1 440	-	1 518	-
European Investment Bank	2 798	-	2 805	-	4 033	-	3 847	-	3 108	-
EC Attribution	518 399	19 463	522 655	18 545	573 455	19 470	626 755	27 130	714 250	30 330
of which:										
Asia & Latin American Countries	59 418	-	66 575	-	72 750	-	74 570	-	90 845	-
Disaster Relief	67 056	-	69 960	-	62 635	-	65 935	-	57 790	-
Food Aid <sup>4</sup>	41 100	-	41 565	-	50 660	-	56 865	-	50 695	-
Mediterranean Associates	54 097	-	39 025	-	54 795	-	68 045	-	98 645	-
CARDS <sup>5</sup>	-	-	-	-	78 715	-	112 900	-	90 995	-
Phare/Tacis (developing countries)	41 740	-	48 220	-	24 020	-	10 365	_	15 485	-
Phare/Tacis (other countries)	166 970	-	174 560	-	180 040	-	200 060	_	247 025	-
Other	88 018	19 463	82 750	18 545	49 840	19 470	38 015	27 130	62 770	30 330
<b>Total European Community</b>	735 086	19 463	739 318	18 545	699 845	19 470	723 505	27 130	851 421	30 330
of which developing countries	548 606	12 126	558 604	11 916	515 238	12 802	517 122	18 268	599 438	20 136
World Bank Group										
International Development Association	170 860	-	166 710	-	233 310	-	213 850	-	220 290	-
International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD)	2 570	-	10	-	6 502	-	1 000	-	-	-
International Finance Corporation	183	-	183	-	571	-	574	-	577	-
World Bank Group TC	1 641	-	3 374	-	7 182	-	4 567	-	8 895	-
HIPC Trust Fund Payments	-	-	-	-	27 518	-	23 400	-	17 855	-
Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency	-	-	-	-	1 570	-	3 281	-	-	-
Total World Bank Group	175 254	-	170 277	-	276 653	-	246 672	-	247 617	-
IMF Structural Adjustment Facility	18 000	-	17 000	-	-	-	11 147	-	11 434	-
Global Environmental Assistance	15 186	134	16 939	156	21 022	121	25 231	106	27 253	85

<sup>1. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>2.</sup> EC Attribution data for 2002/03 are provisional subject to the Court of Auditors report.

<sup>3.</sup> Formerly EC Technical Co-operation, but renamed as used entirely for funding of national experts working for EC.

<sup>4.</sup> EC Food Aid includes only regular provisions. Emergency food aid is included indistinguishably in the Disaster Relief figures.

<sup>5.</sup> CARDS is the EC assistance programme to the Western Balkans. See glossary for details.

	199	98/99	1	999/00	:	2000/01	2	001/02	200	2/03
	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme <sup>1</sup>	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments
Regional Development Banks										
African Development Bank	102	-	161	-	1 032	-	1 004	-	1 026	-
African Development Fund	19 093	-	16 599	-	13 190	-	29 058	-	33 198	-
Asian Development Bank	134	-	358	-	370	-	1 093	-	1 091	-
Asian Development Fund	31 939	-	34 355	-	25 851	-	26 638	-	26 103	-
Caribbean Development Fund	2 113	-	3 920	-	981	-	2 115	-	5 319	-
Inter-American Development Bank (IADB)	987	-	1 007	-	889	-	683	-	398	-
IADB Fund for Special Operations	3 736	-	520	-	1 068	-	1 068	-	-	-
European Bank for Reconstruction & Development (EBRD)	8 191	-	10 258	-	11 286	-	13 082	-	15 082	-
Multilateral Development Bank TC	-	-	-	-	136	-	641	-	8 370	-
Total Regional Development Banks	66 295	-	67 178	-	54 803	-	75 382	-	90 587	-
Commonwealth										
Commonwealth Foundation	222	667	289	693	174	710	62	728	428	738
Commonwealth Fund for Technical Cooperation	5 669	-	5 727	-	5 465	-	6 408	-	5 963	-
Commonwealth Science Council	268	-	279	-	252	-	258	-	266	-
Commonwealth Youth Programme	685	-	687	-	689	-	706	-	697	-
Pacific Community	-	10	-	11	-	10	-	5	-	-
Other Commonwealth	340	-	439	-	362	-	118	-	265	-
Total Commonwealth	7 184	677	7 421	704	6 942	720	7 552	733	7 619	738

<sup>1. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

	199	1998/99		999/00	:	2000/01	2	001/02	200	2/03
	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme <sup>1</sup>	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments
UN Agencies <sup>6</sup>										
Food & Agricultural Organisation <sup>7</sup>	5 274	-	5 439	-	14	-	6 621	-	6 117	-
International Atomic Energy Authority	-	2 601	-	2 345	-	2 411	-	2 629	-	2 505
International Fund for Agricultural Development	2 155	-	2 713	-	2 752	-	2 728	-	3 000	-
International Labour Organisation	752	1 134	730	1 136	531	1 062	2 232	-	1 387	-
Office for Co-ordination of Humanitarian Affairs	2 100	-	3 083	-	4 504	-	4 591	-	3 413	-
UN Agency for Palestinian Refugees (UNRWA)	3 360	-	13 034	-	18 791	-	25 426	-	18 807	-
UN AIDS	2 750	-	3 000	-	3 000	-	3 052	-	3 052	-
UN Childrens Fund (UNICEF)	10 461	-	29 143	-	2 619	-	17 500	-	17 366	-
UN Development Programme (UNDP)	30 850	-	35 960	50	41 918	-	39 826	-	43 434	-
UN Drugs Control Programmes <sup>8</sup>	5	1 704	-	2 270	-	2 830	-	1 408	-	3 281
UN Environment Programme	-	4 500	-	4 486	-	4 500	-	4 500	-	-
UNESCO <sup>9</sup>	2 817	-	2 681	-	3 008	-	3 371	-	3 323	-
UN High Commission for Refugees (UNHCR) <sup>8</sup>	13 003	-	7 026	-	9 467	-	14 273	-	14 432	-
UN Industrial Development Organisation (UNIDO)	3 114	-	3 544	-	4 507	-	4 574	-	3 560	-
UN Population Fund	13 120	-	15 000	-	40 050	-	24 213	-	9 000	-
UN Regular Budget	-	3 680	-	3 552	-	3 777	_	5 233	-	4 959
World Food Programme <sup>10</sup>	10 057	-	5 014	-	8 000	-	5 000	-	8	-
World Health Organisation (WHO)	8 091	9 720	20 300	9 178	75 110	9 731	41 348	10 526	34 156	11 852
World Meteorological Organisation	-	69	-	70	-	74	-	60	-	67
Other UN Agencies	1 347	1 319	4 418	1 558	4 208	2 260	7 156	238	4 313	355
Total UN Agencies <sup>11</sup>	109 256	24 727	151 085	24 645	218 479	26 645	201 911	24 594	165 368	23 019

<sup>1. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>6.</sup> DFID uses certain UN Agencies as a means of delivering emergency assistance to individual countries. This is shown in more detail in Table 10.

<sup>7.</sup> This includes only contributions to the FAO which are counted as aid. See memo items at the end of this table for the non-aid elements.

<sup>8.</sup> Where DFID has influence over the destination of some payments to the UN these are counted as bilateral aid and included in Table 7.

<sup>2.</sup> This includes only contributions to UNESCO which are counted as aid. See memo items at the end of this table for the non-aid elements.

<sup>10.</sup> This is the regular programme of food aid.

<sup>11.</sup> In addition to these core contributions, DFID also channels some bilateral expenditure through UN agencies. The total amount spent through UN organisations is estimated to be in the region of £300 million annually.

£ thousand

	199	98/99	1	999/00		2000/01	2	001/02	200	2/03
	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme <sup>1</sup>	Other Govt Departments	DFID Programme	Other Gov
International Research Organisations										
Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) of which:	6 635	-	6 832	-	7 325	-	7 940	-	7 464	
Int Centre for Agric Research in the Dry Areas	450	-	480	-	510	-	560	_	560	
Int Centre for Living Aquatic Resources M'ment	215	-	272	-	363	-	338	-	254	
Int Centre for Tropical Agriculture	629	-	671	-	576	-	369	-	636	
Int Crop Research Inst for the Semi-Arid Tropics	902	-	915	-	889	-	1 014	-	760	
Int Food Policy Research Institute	121	-	170	-	313	-	348	-	249	
Int Institute for Tropical Agriculture	469	-	523	-	554	-	680	-	650	
Int Irrigation Management Institute	88	-	60	-	60	-	46	-	59	
nt Livestock Research Institute	792	-	864	-	827	-	883	-	730	
Int Maize and Wheat Improvement Centre	510	-	698	-	698	-	813	-	830	
Int Plant Genetics Resources Institute	831	-	313	-	660	-	708	-	731	
Int Potato Centre	387	-	409	-	440	-	656	-	717	
Int Rice Research Institute	852	-	948	-	905	-	1 046	-	858	
Int Service for National Agricultural Research	94	-	195	-	183	-	219	-	200	
West Africa Rice Development Association	222	-	314	-	347	-	260	-	230	
Other CGIAR	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other International Research Organisations										
CAB International	107	233	85	240	75	242	100	242	213	242
nt Centre for Dev Research in Agriculture	115	-	117	-	127	-	108	-	162	
Other International Research	86	-	87	-	72	-	57	-	61	
Total International Research Organisations	6 943	233	7 121	240	7 599	242	8 205	242	7 900	242
TOTAL MULTILATERAL CONTRIBUTIONS	1 133 204	45 234	1 176 339	44 290	1 285 343	47 199	1 299 605	52 806	1 409 199	54 415

Memo - contributions from DFID funds which are not reportable to DAC and so excluded from above: Food & Agricultural Organisation 4 714 4 862 13 5 919 5 901 UNESCO 8 451 8 044 10 114 9 970

### 9 Bilateral Aid by Sector

		Financial Aid	d (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	ammes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Trade O	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure	
ECONOMIC	1998/99	49 547	29 237	95 542	42 150	10 933	3 206	25 659	292 194	132 137	64 926	453 337
	1999/00	75 616	20 624	90 266	30 589	11 387	2 813	23 140	293 105	196 808	70 101	521 344
	2000/01	55 922	135 945	96 706	24 357	12 909	182	21 188	347 208	172 890	82 150	602 248
	2001/02	93 968	98 306	114 725	20 025	21 735	11	18 322	367 092	108 983	246 999	723 074
	2002/03	98 309	118 028	128 834	16 398	21 227	-	22 353	405 149	131 556	396 372	933 077
of which:	1998/99	2 325	8 244	5 048	_	69	-		15 686	-		15 686
Economic Policy	1999/00	1 290	16 888	8 099	-	11	_	-	26 289	-	-	26 289
·	2000/01	3 640	134 301	13 209	-	495	_	-	151 645	-	-	151 645
	2001/02	3 057	96 500	18 584	-	12 486	_	-	130 628	-	-	130 628
	2002/03	21 748	109 840	25 740	-	12 263	-	-	169 592	-	-	169 592
Financial Development	1998/99	551	-	19 838	_	1 846	-		22 236	46 399		68 634
·	1999/00	497	-	16 946	_	2 838	_	-	20 281	27 890	-	48 171
	2000/01	167	-	16 517	-	2 216	-	-	18 900	26 185	-	45 085
	2001/02	26 963	-	18 968	-	1 801	-	-	47 732	50 793	-	98 525
	2002/03	12 783	-	24 571	-	1 358	-	-	38 712	12 211	-	50 923
Private Sector	1998/99	182	-	15 606	-	4 792	-	-	20 579	771		21 350
Development	1999/00	415	-	15 430	-	5 042	14	-	20 901	-	-	20 901
	2000/01	-	-	14 340	-	4 418	-	-	18 758	-	-	18 758
	2001/02	37	-	15 217	-	2 264	11	-	17 530	-	-	17 530
	2002/03	325	-	16 850	-	3 931	-	-	21 106	-	-	21 106
Public Private Partnerships	1998/99	88	-	1 475	-	2	-	-	1 565	-	-	1 565
	1999/00	153	-	1 561	-	216	-	-	1 930	-	-	1 930
	2000/01	211	-	9 911	-	47	-	-	10 169	-	-	10 169
	2001/02	1	-	9 849	-	12	-	-	9 863	433	-	10 296
	2002/03	-	-	15 054	-	5	-	-	15 058	-	-	15 058
Transport and	1998/99	15 644	993	12 715	17 339	2 346	3 133	-	52 171	27 571	-	79 742
Communication	1999/00	22 223	3 736	14 439	10 571	1 827	2 795	-	55 592	25 748	-	81 340
	2000/01	15 754	1 644	15 371	6 770	2 908	179	-	42 627	38 303	-	80 930
	2001/02	19 935	1 220	20 440	6 648	2 392	-	-	50 634	4 222	-	54 856
	2002/03	16 574	1 157	16 973	5 468	600	-	-	40 772	18 177	-	58 949

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

### 9 Bilateral Aid by Sector

	-	Financial Aid	d (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Manufacturing	1998/99	360	-	3 095	9 320	582	-	-	13 357	19 037	-	32 394
-	1999/00	854	-	2 316	8 427	290	-	-	11 887	38 407	-	50 294
	2000/01	86	-	1 476	7 527	58	-	-	9 147	15 981	-	25 128
	2001/02	-	-	1 574	6 635	45	-	-	8 255	24 077	-	32 332
	2002/03	-	-	1 095	5 804	181	-	-	7 079	14 567	-	21 646
Mineral Extraction	1998/99	_	-	4 813	3 686	94	-	-	8 594	18 489	-	27 083
	1999/00	-	-	3 485	3 320	85	-	-	6 890	39 016	-	45 906
	2000/01	22 577	-	2 481	2 951	64	-	-	28 073	78 515	-	106 588
	2001/02	28 007	-	2 047	2 544	13	-	-	32 612	8 242	-	40 854
	2002/03	4 987	-	1 354	2 075	70	-	-	8 486	21 512	-	29 998
Energy	1998/99	20 322	-	29 594	10 961	581	73	-	61 530	18 250	-	79 780
	1999/00	14 102	-	22 074	8 167	181	4	-	44 528	54 625	-	99 153
	2000/01	7 311	-	16 858	7 109	1 146	2	-	32 426	9 538	-	41 964
	2001/02	4 916	-	14 663	4 198	714	-	-	24 491	19 920	-	44 411
	2002/03	12 407	-	11 633	3 051	168	-	-	27 258	61 146	-	88 404
EDUCATION	1998/99	23 090	10 000	63 456	1 424	41 911	184	-	140 066	-	-	140 066
	1999/00	33 515	14 000	55 278	1 191	14 210	20	-	118 214	-	-	118 214
	2000/01	43 478	40 000	46 433	758	18 131	92	-	148 893	-	-	148 893
	2001/02	47 551	15 000	40 414	693	8 483	42	-	112 182	-	-	112 182
	2002/03	54 398	-	43 198	623	20 040	-	-	118 260	-	-	118 260
of which:	1998/99	10 005	5 000	24 932	-	33 660	-	-	73 597	-	-	73 597
Education Policy	1999/00	11 006	14 000	13 077	-	3 939	-	-	42 022	-	-	42 022
	2000/01	15 000	40 000	12 727	-	4 099	-	-	71 826	-	-	71 826
	2001/02	12 000	15 000	12 278	-	2 143	-	-	41 421	-	-	41 421
	2002/03	12 100	-	14 740	-	4 718	-	-	31 558	-	-	31 558
Education Facilities	1998/99	1 624	-	4 341	574	503	-	-	7 041	-		7 041
	1999/00	4 462	-	4 909	419	420	-	-	10 210	-		10 210
	2000/01	4 181	-	4 818	-	989	83	-	10 071	-		10 071
	2001/02	4 144	-	2 572	-	502	42	-	7 260	-		7 260
	2002/03	9 645	-	3 683	-	415	-	-	13 743	-	-	13 743

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Prograi	nmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Basic Education <sup>5</sup>	1998/99	10 269	5 000	21 503	_	6 111	164		43 045	_		43 045
Basic Education	1999/00	16 348	3 000	24 094	_	7 935	104		48 388			48 388
	2000/01	22 576	_	16 121	_	10 990	-	_	49 687	_		49 687
	2000/01	28 641	_	14 560	_	4 204	_		47 404			47 404
	2002/03	30 264	-	15 035	-	11 564	-		56 863	-		56 863
HEALTH	1998/99	27 826	_	58 407	_	47 132	120		133 485	-		133 485
	1999/00	36 011	_	74 428	_	50 738	6 627		167 804	_		167 804
	2000/01	56 577	_	74 417	11	51 659	1 373		184 038	6 145		190 183
	2001/02	60 246	_	104 886	- 1	38 424	_		203 556	7 794		211 350
	2002/03	70 690	3 500	162 660	-	56 196	4 704	-	297 750	17 883	-	315 633
of which:	1998/99	10 171	-	21 868	-	8 177	-		40 217	-		40 217
Health Policy	1999/00	8 507	-	26 355	-	9 645	482	-	44 990	-		44 990
	2000/01	20 720	-	26 381	-	7 315	350	-	54 766	6 145	-	60 911
	2001/02	24 392	-	32 179	-	5 873	-	-	62 444	4 913	-	67 357
	2002/03	25 761	3 500	31 374	-	5 763	-	-	66 398	17 407	-	83 805
Pro Poor Health	1998/99	12 235	-	4 461	-	4 032	26	-	20 753	-	-	20 753
	1999/00	7 793	-	5 642	-	3 379	5 161	-	21 975	-	-	21 975
	2000/01	8 230	-	6 594	-	5 874	887	-	21 586	-	-	21 586
	2001/02	5 903	-	6 812	-	1 913	-	-	14 628	2 881	-	17 509
	2002/03	4 483	-	53 846	-	7 399	2 074	-	67 802	476	-	68 278
Communicable Disease	1998/99	1 350	-	5 608	-	10 031	-		16 989	-	-	16 989
Control	1999/00	16 299	-	8 656	-	13 552	-	-	38 507	-	-	38 507
	2000/01	20 645	-	6 495	-	11 986	136	-	39 263	-	-	39 263
	2001/02	21 049	-	20 928	-	5 493	-	-	47 470	-	-	47 470
	2002/03	27 005	-	15 465	-	9 275	2 380	-	54 125	-		54 125
Multisectoral Responses to	1998/99	1 830	-	6 343	-	4 940	-	-	13 113	-	-	13 113
HIV/AIDS	1999/00	996	-	8 807	-	5 443	112	-	15 358	-	-	15 358
	2000/01	342	-	7 811	-	7 034	-	-	15 187	-	-	15 187
	2001/02	3 938	-	16 320	-	5 712	-	-	25 970	-	-	25 970
	2002/03	4 167	-	29 265	-	17 357	-	-	50 789	-	-	50 789

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

<sup>5.</sup> Basic education comprises pre-school, primary and non formal education sectors.

	_	Financial Aid	Financial Aid (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other⁴	Total Gross Public Expenditure
Reproductive Health	1998/99	2 240	_	11 934	-	18 212	-		32 386	-	-	32 386
·	1999/00	2 415	_	16 580	_	16 781	_		35 775	-		35 775
	2000/01	6 639	-	17 825	_	16 790	-	-	41 255	-		41 255
	2001/02	4 827	-	18 533	_	14 380	-	-	37 739	-		37 739
	2002/03	9 172	-	19 710	-	12 340	-	-	41 222	-	-	41 222
GOVERNANCE	1998/99	8 782	94 336	61 052	5 498	7 642	1 623		178 934	7 432	9 194	195 560
	1999/00	7 475	100 748	70 719	1 426	12 952	817		194 138	-	6 956	201 094
	2000/01	10 101	56 140	76 948	26	19 689	7 309		170 214	31	5 939	176 183
	2001/02	30 404	131 571	94 450	- 49	17 969	298		274 642	45	7 492	282 179
	2002/03	19 131	94 299	103 045	- 1 173	24 117	170	-	239 590	281	9 586	249 456
of which:	1998/99	5 044	24 336	24 565	5 370	3 505	209		63 029	-	-	63 029
Government Services	1999/00	1 275	27 236	28 306	1 425	7 884	79	-	66 204	-		66 204
	2000/01	3 792	15 740	18 562	26	14 101	320	-	52 541	-		52 541
	2001/02	7 694	27 605	15 718	- 49	11 322	16	-	62 305	-		62 305
	2002/03	6 963	21 265	13 353	- 1 173	10 964	-	-	51 371	-	-	51 371
Local Government Reform	1998/99	1 309	28 000	18 200	-	2 963	-	-	50 473	-	-	50 473
	1999/00	1 949	37 000	19 657	-	2 312	223	-	61 140	-		61 140
	2000/01	3 181	-	21 716	-	1 610	23	-	26 529	-		26 529
	2001/02	2 579	-	27 087	-	4 581	282	-	34 530	-		34 530
	2002/03	3 518	-	34 976	-	7 941	95	-	46 531	-	-	46 531
Public Reform/Good	1998/99	283	32 000	10 387	-	297	-	-	42 967	7 429	-	50 396
Governance	1999/00	616	26 512	12 616	-	841	2	-	40 587	-	-	40 587
	2000/01	456	15 000	17 035	-	1 166	-	-	33 658	31	-	33 689
	2001/02	11 390	75 966	27 079	-	888	-	-	115 321	45		115 366
	2002/03	5 417	41 034	22 899	-	3 021	-	-	72 371	-	-	72 371
SOCIAL	1998/99	413	-	42 508	-	17 368	749	-	61 038	514		61 552
	1999/00	382	5 000	53 500	-	17 051	2 427	-	78 359	3 127		81 486
	2000/01	813	22 898	57 470	-	16 272	1 285	-	98 738	-	-	98 738
	2001/02	1 717	5 000	44 498	-	70 788	3 628	-	125 631	-		125 631
	2002/03	2 124	-	58 413	-	82 996	4 426	-	147 959	-		147 959

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						<u>_</u>	Other Prograi	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
of which:	1998/99	31	_	8 613	-	4 392	67		13 101	-		13 101
Social Policy	1999/00	- 1	5 000	11 390	_	7 132	62		23 584	3 127		26 711
•	2000/01	264	22 898	16 043	_	5 264	5		44 474	_		44 474
	2001/02	1 545	5 000	17 727	_	7 194	_		31 465	-		31 465
	2002/03	1 817	-	25 446	-	13 110	2 000	-	42 373	-		42 373
Sanitation	1998/99	150	-	2 601	_	465	-		3 217	-		3 217
	1999/00	323	-	3 938	-	803	-	-	5 064	-	-	5 064
	2000/01	441	-	5 340	-	505	-	-	6 285	-	-	6 285
	2001/02	22	-	4 748	_	671	-	-	5 442	_	-	5 442
	2002/03	5	-	4 853	-	1 930	-	-	6 788	-	-	6 788
Strengthening Civil Society	1998/99	232	-	27 191	-	2 908	182		30 513	-		30 513
	1999/00	59	-	29 796	-	2 658	131	-	32 645	-	-	32 645
	2000/01	100	-	29 237	-	4 660	156	-	34 154	-	-	34 154
	2001/02	15	-	13 810	-	60 006	909	-	74 739	-	-	74 739
	2002/03	-	-	21 737	-	65 190	152	-	87 080	-	-	87 080
HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE	1998/99	-	_	1 664	_	7 494	106 303		115 462	_		115 462
	1999/00	_	_	2 829	_	934	213 338		217 101	-		217 101
	2000/01	270	12	10 709	_	609	199 794		211 394	-		211 394
	2001/02	1 244	3 000	8 358	_	4 841	185 542		202 986	-		202 986
	2002/03	266	-	14 948	-	3 620	281 692	-	300 526	-	-	300 526
of which:	1998/99	_	_	1 258	_	7 451	76 613		85 322	-		85 322
Disaster Relief/Mitigation	1999/00	-	-	1 087	-	706	137 586	-	139 380	-	-	139 380
•	2000/01	-	-	6 131	-	217	154 399	-	160 747	-	-	160 747
	2001/02	623	-	1 359	_	123	143 509	-	145 614	_	-	145 614
	2002/03	211	-	130	-	5	141 568	-	141 914	-	-	141 914
Demining	1998/99	-	-	-	-	1	2 414	-	2 415	-	-	2 415
	1999/00	-	-	87	-	-	15 828	-	15 915	-	-	15 915
	2000/01	-	-	1 673	-	-	14 717	-	16 390	-	-	16 390
	2001/02	-	-	427	-	-	12 293	-	12 720	-	-	12 720
	2002/03	-	-	1 030	-	-	10 509	-	11 539	-	-	11 539

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

<sup>6.</sup> See also Tables 10 and 11.

		Financial Aid	I (Excl ATP)						_	Other Progra	mmes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Cooperation P	Aid and Trade Provision	Grants and Other Aid in Kind	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
RURAL LIVELIHOODS	1998/99	7 553	_	87 363	2 086	18 746	169		115 918	24 572		140 490
	1999/00	7 549	_	91 796	1 974	23 459	546		125 324	68 482		193 806
	2000/01	5 102	-	94 926	1 856	23 421	20		125 325	23 271		148 596
	2001/02	7 534	642	101 339	1 738	15 076	-	-	126 329	4 288		130 617
	2002/03	12 387	381	99 556	1 621	31 192	412	-	145 548	35 818	-	181 366
of which:	1998/99	2 567	-	38 660	-	5 111	166		46 504	21 083		67 587
Agriculture Policy	1999/00	1 849	-	27 311	-	4 419	536	-	34 115	66 274	-	100 389
	2000/01	1 600	-	23 630	-	4 705	-	-	29 936	19 498	-	49 434
	2001/02	2 295	642	18 690	-	1 323	-	-	22 951	3 871	-	26 821
	2002/03	3 878	381	17 363	-	3 149	-	-	24 771	34 776		59 547
Renewable Natural	1998/99	175	-	14 199	-	1 442	-	-	15 817	-		15 817
Resources Research	1999/00	361	-	24 448	-	1 749	-	-	26 558	-	-	26 558
	2000/01	666	-	23 701	-	1 700	-	-	26 067	-	-	26 067
	2001/02	826	-	29 301	-	1 813	-	-	31 939	-	-	31 939
	2002/03	854	-	29 598	-	2 930	-	-	33 382	-		33 382
Land Policy	1998/99	21	-	11 831	-	6 319	-		18 171	-		18 171
	1999/00	213	-	13 374	-	10 318	5	-	23 911	-	-	23 911
	2000/01	713	-	19 554	-	10 855	20	-	31 142	-	-	31 142
	2001/02	2 936	-	27 470	-	7 579	-	-	37 986	-	-	37 986
	2002/03	6 653	-	25 365	-	19 199	407	-	51 623	-		51 623
Forestry Production	1998/99	3 732	-	9 138	2 086	1 383	-	-	16 338	2 763		19 101
	1999/00	4 763	-	10 950	1 974	1 490	-	-	19 178	1 484	-	20 662
	2000/01	2 124	-	11 006	1 856	1 004	-	-	15 990	1 694	-	17 684
	2001/02	1 476	-	11 455	1 738	566	-	-	15 235	417	-	15 652
	2002/03	1 003	-	13 297	1 621	427	-	-	16 348	469		16 817
ENVIRONMENT	1998/99	3 488	-	23 645	5 583	5 754	85		38 555	2 061	-	40 616
	1999/00	6 328	-	27 190	2 478	5 179	172		41 348	100	-	41 448
	2000/01	5 464	-	27 632	598	4 516	14		38 225	-	-	38 225
	2001/02	3 225	-	28 620	553	7 613	2		40 013	-	-	40 013
	2002/03	2 713	-	32 460	121	5 402	-		40 696	-	-	40 696

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

		Financial Aid (Excl ATP)							-	Other Progra	ammes	
		Project or Sector Aid	Programme Aid	Technical Trade	Aid and Trade Provision	Trade Other Aid in	Humanitarian Assistance <sup>1</sup>	DFID Debt Relief <sup>2</sup>	Total DFID Programme <sup>3</sup>	CDC Investments	Other <sup>4</sup>	Total Gross Public Expenditure
of which:	1998/99	3 414	-	9 684	5 577	3 059	23	-	21 757	2 061	-	23 818
Environmental	1999/00	6 095	-	13 713	2 478	3 340	162	-	25 789	100	-	25 889
Health and Education	2000/01	5 048	-	15 082	598	3 393	14	-	24 135	-	-	24 135
	2001/02	2 443	-	12 524	553	6 365	2	-	21 888	-	-	21 888
	2002/03	2 036	-	11 979	121	3 667	-	-	17 804	-	-	17 804
Water Resource	1998/99	68	-	4 714	-	918	61		5 760	_		5 760
Management	1999/00	12	-	5 214	-	579	10	-	5 814	-	-	5 814
•	2000/01	-	-	3 735	-	341	-	-	4 075	-	-	4 075
	2001/02	459	-	5 692	-	298	-	-	6 450	-	-	6 450
	2002/03	217	-	8 408	-	1 221	-	-	9 846	-	-	9 846
TOTAL ALLOCABLE	1998/99	120 699	133 574	433 639	56 743	156 980	112 439	25 659	1 039 733	166 716	74 120	1 280 569
	1999/00	166 874	140 372	466 006	37 659	135 911	226 760	23 140	1 196 722	268 517	77 057	1 542 296
	2000/01	177 728	254 995	485 241	27 606	147 207	210 069	21 188	1 324 034	202 337	88 089	1 614 460
	2001/02	245 889	253 519	537 292	22 960	184 928	189 522	18 322	1 452 432	121 110	254 491	1 828 033
	2002/03	260 019	216 208	643 115	17 590	244 790	291 403	22 353	1 695 478	185 538	405 958	2 286 974
Unallocable	1998/99	6 935	-	45 982	155	32 331	720	-	122 043	-	13 027	99 151
	1999/00	8 077	-	49 251	131	34 213	397	-	130 737	1	23 664	115 732
	2000/01	8 482	-	56 028	39	31 604	294	-	96 446	3 902	61 039	161 388
	2001/02	8 357	-	53 218	134	15 231	227	-	77 167	38 328	60 303	175 798
	2002/03	28 348	-	62 688	143	25 830	869	-	117 879	13 658	58 372	189 909
Exchequer Advances	1998/99	_	-	-	-	-	-		35 919	-		-
to the CDC	1999/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38 670	-	-	-
	2000/01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2001/02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2002/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	1998/99	127 634	133 574	479 621	56 898	189 311	113 159	25 659	1 161 774	166 716	87 147	1 379 719
	1999/00	174 951	140 372	515 257	37 790	170 124	227 157	23 140	1 327 461	268 518	100 721	1 658 030
	2000/01	186 210	254 995	541 269	27 645	178 811	210 363	21 188	1 420 481	206 239	149 128	1 775 848
	2001/02	254 246	253 519	590 510	23 094	200 159	189 749	18 322	1 529 599	159 438	314 794	2 003 831
	2002/03	288 367	216 208	705 803	17 733	270 620	292 272	22 353	1 813 356	199 196	464 330	2 476 882

<sup>1.</sup> Humanitarian Assistance comprises food aid and other humanitarian assistance.

<sup>2.</sup> This comprises both interest and principal foregone under Retrospective Terms Adjustment. Amounts reported are repayments which would have fallen due each year.

<sup>3. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> This is mainly non-DFID debt relief, but also includes small amounts of drug related assistance funded by the Home Office and the FCO and contributions from other Government Departments to CSOs.

# 9.1 DFID programme: Bilateral Aid by Sector

Regional Breakdown for 2002/03

	Total DFID		of	which <sup>1</sup>		
	Programme	Africa	Asia	Europe	America	Pacific
ECONOMIC	405 149	192 790	137 418	17 439	25 105	361
Economic Policy	169 592	104 797	50 384	2 055	2 101	58
Financial Development	38 712	19 188	7 947	5 553	1 156	9
Private Sector Development	21 106	11 339	3 244	2 532	1 070	-
Public Private Partnerships	15 058	1 130	11 207	2 643	-	-
Transport and Communication	40 772	10 824	19 507	35	4 508	-
Manufacturing	7 079	970	6 024	4	11	-
Mineral Extraction	8 486	5 247	7	2 293	-	-
Energy	27 258	546	25 537	732	172	-
EDUCATION	118 260	52 868	45 534	519	5 970	792
Education Policy	31 558	21 160	838	26	374	142
Education Facilities	13 743	2 039	11 040	134	472	60
Basic Education <sup>2</sup>	56 863	23 772	30 605	-	1 721	588
HEALTH	297 750	106 025	84 462	7 412	9 531	52
Health Policy	66 398	34 648	17 758	3 818	4 196	19
Pro-Poor Health	67 802	12 140	4 847	85	401	8
Communicable Disease Control	54 125	10 668	33 283	1 046	2 121	-
Multisectoral Response HIV/AIDS	50 789	26 136	14 441	2 222	854	25
Reproductive Health	41 222	18 399	10 752	-	1 592	-
GOVERNANCE	239 590	96 848	83 948	16 091	21 219	306
Goverment Services	51 371	24 213	4 369	976	14 379	_
Local Government Reform	46 531	17 564	16 438	9 441	1 404	-
Public Reform/Good Governance	72 371	11 622	54 404	3 776	1 999	28
SOCIAL	147 959	38 361	18 194	20 166	6 631	1 131
Social Policy	42 373	18 891	6 846	13 173	2 959	183
Sanitation	6 788	4 030	1 467	556	128	-
Strengthening Civil Society	87 080	11 978	8 233	5 075	2 224	125
HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE <sup>3</sup>	300 526	159 987	82 111	5 743	3 765	133
Disaster Relief/Mitigation	141 914	39 875	65 377	2 901	2 738	51
Demining	11 539	1 599	1 380	388	567	-
RURAL LIVELIHOODS	145 548	33 398	55 683	7 253	11 923	370
Agriculture Policy	24 771	5 581	9 293	1 848	1 279	1
Renewable Natural Resources Research	33 382	766	3 710	-	2 408	-
Land Policy	51 623	13 061	30 964	4 121	2 701	-
Forestry Production	16 348	5 971	5 452	-	3 769	5
ENVIRONMENT	40 696	7 254	13 625	3 555	3 987	108
Environmental Health and Education	17 804	3 118	8 361	764	1 903	81
Water Resource Management	9 846	1 301	3 432	18	26	-
TOTAL ALLOCABLE	1 695 477	687 531	520 974	78 178	88 131	3 253
Unallocable	117 879	62 687	33 353	6 829	10 955	1 535
TOTAL	1 813 356	750 218	554 327	85 007	99 086	4 788

<sup>1.</sup> These figures will not necessarily sum to the total as some sector information is not allocable by region.


 $<sup>2. \ \ \, \</sup>text{Basic eduction comprises pre-school, primary and non formal education sectors.}$ 

<sup>3.</sup> See also Tables 10 and 11.

#### 10 Humanitarian Assistance

	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01	2001/02 <sup>1</sup>	2002/03
Bilateral					
Food Aid <sup>2</sup>	3 089	6 458	12 816	12 274	64 786
Other Humanitarian Assistance of which:	110 070	220 698	197 547	177 475	227 486
Contributions to ICRC <sup>3</sup>	15 000	15 000	17 000	17 000	17 250
Total Bilateral Humanitarian Assistance	113 159	227 157	210 363	189 749	292 272
<u>Multilateral</u>					
UN High Commission for Refugees <sup>4</sup>	13 003	7 026	9 467	14 273	14 432
UN Agency for Palestinian Refugees (UNRWA)	3 360	13 034	18 791	25 426	18 807
Office for Co-ordination of Humanitarian Affairs	2 100	3 083	4 504	4 591	3 413
Total UN Humanitarian Assistance	18 463	23 143	32 762	44 290	36 652
European Community					
EC Disaster Relief <sup>5</sup>	67 056	69 960	62 635	65 935	57 790
EDF - emergency and refugee allocation	1 997	6 604	2 370	3 050	2 470
Total EC Humanitarian Assistance	69 053	76 564	65 005	68 985	60 260
Total Multilateral Humanitarian Assistance	87 516	99 707	97 767	113 275	96 912
Total Humanitarian Assistance	200 675	326 864	308 130	303 024	389 184

- 2001/02 is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.
- DFID channels assistance through the World Food Programme and NGOs as a means of providing emergency food aid. These amounts are recorded as bilateral food aid as DFID has control over the decision to provide such food aid to a particular destination. Regular non-emergency provisions of food are not included in this table.
- 3. Prior to 1999/00 expenditure was not treated as a core contribution.
- 4. DFID provides funding to certain UN Agencies for their humanitarian assistance programmes in individual countries. These amounts are recorded as bilateral disaster relief as DFID has influence over the use and destination of the funds.
- EC disaster relief includes, indistinguishably, the emergency element of EC food aid and EC refugee relief.





### 11 Humanitarian Assistance: Top Ten Recipient Countries

	2000/01		20001/02 <sup>1</sup>		2002/03 <sup>2</sup>	
Rank	Country	£m	Country	£m	Country	£m
1	States of ex-Yugoslavia <sup>3</sup>	32	Afghanistan	50	Afghanistan	44
2	India	19	Ethiopia	8	Ethiopia	27
3	Ethiopia	14	Iraq <sup>4</sup>	8	Zimbabwe	23
4	Kenya	12	Zimbabwe	7	Iraq <sup>4</sup>	19
5	Iraq <sup>4</sup>	10	India	7	Sudan	16
6	Mozambique	9	Congo, Dem Rep	5	Congo, Dem Rep	12
7	Afghanistan	7	Sudan	5	Malawi	7
8	Russian Federation	5	Sierra Leone	5	Angola	7
9	Sudan	4	West Bank and Gaza	5	Zambia	6
10	Bangladesh	3	Macedonia	3	India	5

 <sup>2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>4.</sup> There is no UK aid to the government of Iraq. The amounts recorded as flows to Iraq are emergency aid provided through UN agencies and CSOs for Iraqi citizens.





<sup>2.</sup> In 2002/03, the UK spent a total of £88m in response to the Southern Africa food crisis.

<sup>3.</sup> Includes humanitarian assistance to all the successor states of ex-Yugoslavia.

# 12 DFID Programme: Bilateral Technical Co-operation<sup>1</sup>

				~	inousand
	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01	2001/02 2	2002/03
PERSONNEL					
Consultancies	198 864	196 832	198 861	192 724	216 370
Other Personnel	99 570	115 793	139 597	171 533	190 276
of which Long Term Short Term	89 367 10 203	107 929 7 863	130 399 9 198	162 423 9 111	179 683 10 593
Volunteers <sup>3</sup>	27 352	28 433	28 266	-	-
TOTAL PERSONNEL	325 787	341 058	366 724	364 257	406 646
TRAINING AND SCHOLARSHIPS					
British Council TC Training	14 339	18 401	10 710	5 885	5 893
Commonwealth Scholarship Scheme	9 569	10 139	10 118	9 084	10 426
Chevening Scholarship <sup>4</sup>	11 459	-	-	-	-
DFID Shared Scholarship Scheme	2 581	1 878	1 876	2 285	1 838
Other Training	18 312	19 127	14 408	12 884	10 973
TOTAL TRAINING & SCHOLARSHIPS	56 259	49 546	37 112	30 139	29 130
KNOWLEDGE AND RESEARCH					
Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing	28 606	31 167	32 235	33 963	41 704
Engineering	10 845	21 386	20 571	22 208	30 630
Health	14 675	15 129	16 303	34 186	85 947
Economic & Social	11 586	13 595	22 118	30 720	34 918
of which: Institute of Dev Studies	280	290	615	675	182
Education	1 847	2 900	3 646	4 992	6 466
Other	11 889	13 805	12 918	14 163	9 789
TOTAL KNOWLEDGE & RESEARCH	79 728	98 272	108 406	140 907	209 634
Unallocated	20 317	28 170	30 274	56 118	60 746
TOTAL TECHNICAL CO-OPERATION	482 091				

<sup>1.</sup> Includes ATP technical co-operation.

<sup>2. 2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting. See glossary for details.

<sup>3.</sup> From 2001/02 paid under Partnership Programme Agreement

<sup>4.</sup> From 1999/00 the FCO took over full responsibility for the Chevening Scholarship Scheme.

#### 13 DFID Programme: Bilateral Grants and Other Aid in Kind

 <sup>2001/02</sup> is a unique year in that total DFID expenditure is understated by around £140m due to move to resource accounting.
 See glossary for details.

<sup>2.</sup> From 1/4/2000 the Civil Society Challenge Fund replaced the Joint Funding Scheme. See glossary for futher details.

<sup>3.</sup> These strategic funding agreements replaced the volunteer and block grant funding under the Joint Funding Scheme wef 1/4/2001. See glossary for further details.

<sup>4.</sup> DFID's main contribution to British Council ceased from 1/4/1999.

# 14 DFID Expenditure through UK CSOs 2002/03

	Civil Society Challenge Fund <sup>1</sup>	Partnership Programme Agreement <sup>1</sup>	Joint Funding Scheme <sup>1</sup>	Humanitarian Assistance	Country Programme <sup>2</sup>	Total
ACORD	475	_	128	_	281	884
Actonaid	-	2 300	_	248	3 928	6 475
ADD	_	575	_			575
Afghanaid	_	-	_	750		750
Aga Khan Foundation	68	-	73	-	2 468	2 608
British Executive Service Overseas	_	1 461	_	_		1 461
British Red Cross	_	_	52	53 245	3 092	56 389
CAFOD	_	2 140	_	3 598	6	5 744
CARE	- 4	1 320	- 10	11 016	13 738	26 060
Charities Aid Foundation	105	-	9	-	1 298	1 412
Christian Aid	_	3 350	_	4 571		7 921
CIIR	_	2 310	_	_	336	2 646
Concern	550	<u>-</u>	436	147	347	1 480
Concern Universal	165	_	52	_	757	974
Goal	68	-	5	580	-	653
Halo Trust	_	_	_	607		607
Health Unlimited	220	_	203	150	192	765
Healthlink	319	_	-	-	792	1 111
Helpage International	- 2	992	38	500	98	1 626
Intermediate Technology and Development	406	-	206	163	883	1 657
International Alert	100	_	_	880	473	1 453
International HIV/AIDS Alliance	335	_	_	-	1 250	1 585
International NGO Training & Research Centre	0	_	_	_	550	551
International Service	-	1 130	_	_	-	1 130
Islamic Relief	15	-	-	-	564	579
Intermediate Technology (ITDG)	361	_	206	163	936	1 666
Merlin	-	_	-	672	947	1 619
Medicins Sans Frontiers	_	_	_	4 981	420	5 401
Marie Stopes International	_	_	163	-	2 816	2 979
One World Action	179	-	38	-	1 662	1 880
One World International	_	_	140	_	734	874
Opportunity International	59	_	-	_	3 231	3 290
OXFAM	-	6 670	_	_	0	6 670
Plan International	384	-	460	1 002	-	1 847
Population Services International	-	-	-	-	4 472	4 472
Saferworld	_	_	_	1 096		1 096
Save The Children Fund	_	5 839	_	6 808	6 493	19 140
Skillshare Africa	0	1 930	-	-	41	1 971
Traidcraft Exchange	-	-	165	-	588	754
VSO VSO	-	23 840	-	-	575	24 415
Wateraid	_	950	_	_	204	1 154
World Vision	477	-	124	390	2 114	3 106
WWF (IUK)	-	2 420	-	-	-	2 420
Youth with a Mission	_	ے جوں	10	600	-	610
Other <sup>3</sup>	4 622	-	1 656	1 655	2 453	10 386
Total	8 902	57 227	4 154	93 822	58 739	222 845

<sup>1.</sup> See glossary for definition.

<sup>2.</sup> Support to CSOs to undertake development activities on behalf of DFID channelled through individual bilateral and multilateral organisations.

<sup>3.</sup> This covers 85 CSOs receiving less than £550,000 each in total in 2002/03.

#### **Debt Relief**

- 15.1 DFID Debt Relief by Country
- 15.2 Other Debt Re-organisation by Country

#### **DEBT RELIEF**

#### Introduction

- 1. Debt continues to be a major development issue, which arouses huge public concern. It has been the subject of an unprecedented grassroots campaign, with millions of people across the world calling for the burden of unpayable debt to be lifted from the poorest countries.
- 2. The UK provides assistance on debt in a number of ways. These are:
  - · debt relief on bilateral aid debts
  - debt relief on bilateral export credit and CDC debts to governments
  - financial contribution towards the cost of the Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) Initiative
  - co-funding of a capacity building programme to improve HIPC countries' debt management.

#### Bilateral aid debts

3. DFID has cancelled nearly all of its aid debts to low income countries. These have been worth £1.2 billion since 1978. Details of the annual flows involved are shown in Table 15.1. In September 1997, Government launched the the Commonwealth Debt Initiative. announced that the Government was willing to cancel the remaining aid debts, worth £132 million of those poorer (lower-middle income) Commonwealth countries who were committed to the Millennium Development Goals, who were following sound economic

- policies, who promoted accountable and transparent governance and who were bearing down on corruption. To date 12 countries have benefited from this initiative.
- 4. The UK has moved to reporting cancellation of aid loans on a lump sum basis to OECD - DAC for international purposes. This means that all outstanding amounts on a loan are reported as forgiven in the year in which the agreement to cancel is made, rather than reporting such debt forgiven yearby-year as repayments would have fallen Statistics on International Development however, such debt relief is still shown on an annual basis as this reflects the benefits to the recipient country as they accrue.

#### Bilateral export credit debt

5. The Export Credit Guarantee Department (ECGD), like its counterparts in other developed countries, assist UK exporters to win business overseas by providing guarantees and insurance. Countries acquire debt when they default on paying for these goods and services. If countries face difficulties with meeting their debt repayments, assistance may be provided in the form of rescheduling and, for poorer countries, partial cancellation. Such arrangements are agreed in the Paris Club of official bilateral (government) creditors, and are conditional on the country following sound economic policies, agreed with the International Monetary Fund (IMF).

terms of the debt relief provided by Paris Club creditors varies according to the economic position of the debtor country. Over the years, increasingly generous treatment has been offered to the poorest countries. Before the launch of the HIPC initiative, up to 67 per cent debt cancellation (Naples Terms) could be provided, with the balance rescheduled for later repayment. Now, for HIPC countries, Cologne Terms means that 90 per cent (or more if required) can be written off. In addition, the UK has agreed to cancel the remaining debts for qualifying HIPC countries to free up more resources for investment in poverty reduction programmes. Table 15.2 contains details of ECGD debt relief.

# The Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) Initiative

- 6. The Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) Initiative was launched by the World Bank and the IMF in 1996. It recognised that exceptional relief would be required to bring about a once and for all reduction in the debts of some of the poorest countries, and that this would need to include, for the first time debt relief from multilateral institutions, such as the World Bank and the IMF and the regional development banks.
- 7. The experience of some of the first countries to qualify for HIPC debt relief led the UK Government to call for a fundamental review of the HIPC Initiative. In 1999, at the G8 Summit in Cologne, Heads of Government recognised the damaging

effects of unsustainable debt on efforts to reduce poverty, and that more needed to be done. They outlined a substantial package of measures to address this unpayable debt, including a major revision to the HIPC Initiative, and emphasised that the purpose of this was to enable governments to tackle poverty more effectively; the package could result in up to \$100 billion of debt being cancelled. The revised HIPC Initiative was endorsed by the international community in September 1999.

By September 2003, 27 countries had qualified for exceptional debt relief and 8 countries (Benin, Bolivia, Burkina Faso, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Tanzania and Uganda) had completed the enhanced HIPC process. Relief totalling more than \$62 billion has been agreed under HIPC and other debt relief arrangements, reducing these countries' debts by around two-thirds on average, and freeing up resources for spending on reduction. The table overleaf details the amount of relief that will be provided to each country under HIPC in nominal and present value terms. Several of these 26 countries did not meet the normal HIPC requirements. namely of having a three-year track record of pursuing sound economic policies and poverty reduction. However, international community agreed that we should be more flexible in assessing countries' eligibility for relief, giving due weight to the depth of commitment shown over a shorter time period, and focusing on those reforms that are critical for poverty reduction.

#### Debt relief agreed under HIPC Initiative

Country	Nominal Debt Relief US\$m	Net Present Value US\$m
Benin	460	265
Bolivia	2,060	1,302
Burkina Faso	930	553
Cameroon	2,000	1,260
Chad	260	170
Ethiopia	1,930	1,275
Gambia	90	67
Guinea	800	545
Ghana	3,700	2,186
Guinea Bissau	790	416
Guyana	1,030	585
Honduras	900	556
Madagascar	1,500	814
Mali	870	522
Malawi	1,000	643
Mauritania	1,100	622
Mozambique	4,300	2,023
Nicaragua	4,500	3,267
Niger	900	521
Rwanda	800	452
Senegal	850	488
Sao Tome & Principe	200	97
Sierra Leone	950	600
Tanzania	3,000	2,026
Uganda	1,950	1,003
Zambia	3,850	2,499
Relief under HIPC	\$41 billion	\$25 billion
Total relief under Cologne package	more than \$62 billion	approx \$39 billion

#### Notes to table:

Debt relief provided under the Cologne package is in the form of aid debt cancellation, traditional debt relief mechanisms of the Paris Club and creditor governments' unilateral debt relief policies. For example, all of the G7 countries as well as Australia, Netherlands and Norway have agreed to forgive 100% of their aid debts and eligible export credit debts from HIPC countries.

The face value of the external debt stock is not a good measure of a country's debt burden if a significant part of the external debt is contracted on concessional terms with an interest rate below the prevailing market rate. The net present value (NPV) of debt is a better measure, because it takes into account the degree of concessionality. It is defined as the sum of all future debt service obligations (interest and principal) on existing debt, discounted at the market interest rate. Whenever the interest rate on a loan is lower than the marker rate, the resulting NPV of debt is smaller than its face value, with the difference reflecting the grant element (see glossary for definition).

9. The prospects of many more countries reaching Decision Point in 2003 is slim, as many of the remaining HIPC countries have been held back by conflict

and governance problems. The following table shows which countries have qualified for HIPC relief and those that could qualify in 2003 and later.

#### Implementation status of Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC)

#### Countries that have qualified for debt relief

Benin\* Guinea Nicaragua
Bolivia\* Guinea Bissau Niger
Burkina Faso\* Guyana Rwanda
Cameroon Honduras Senegal

Chad Madagascar Sao Tome & Principe

Congo, Dem RepMali\*Sierra LeoneEthiopiaMalawiTanzania\*GambiaMauritania\*Uganda\*GhanaMozambique\*Zambia

#### Countries that could qualify in 2003 and later

Burma Congo, Rep Sudan
Burundi Cote d'Ivoire Togo
Control African Republic

Central African Republic Liberia Comoros Somalia

#### Countries not seeking debt relief

Laos

#### Countries that are sustainable

Angola Vietnam Kenya Yemen

10. An important feature of the HIPC enhanced Initiative is the strengthening of the link between HIPC debt relief and poverty reduction. To increase the impact that debt relief has on poverty, poverty reduction strategies (PRS) are being produced. These set out an analysis of poverty in each country, and the policy actions that government will take to tackle it; the strategies contain an expenditure framework, which indicates how resources, including savings from debt relief, will be allocated. The process of developing a PRS is led by national governments and involves broad-based consultation in countries. The World Bank and the IMF provides support for the

strategies through their programmes of assistance.

11. Some multilateral institutions are not able to provide their HIPC debt relief entirely from their own resources. To assist them, bilateral donors contribute towards these costs by paying into the HIPC Trust Fund. The UK has pledged over \$401 million to the HIPC Trust Fund. This amount includes the UK's share of the European Commission's Euro 680 million contribution to the Trust Fund. The money is paid to the Trust Fund when it is required, and most of it is earmarked to help the African Development Bank. At their meeting in Kananaskis in June 2002,

<sup>\*</sup> these countries have reached Completion Point

leaders of G8 countries pledged to help provide an additional US\$1 billion for the HIPC Trust Fund, to ensure the full financing of the HIPC Initiative, including the costs of additional relief at Completion Point for countries that risked exiting HIPC with debts above the sustainability threshold. At the Financing meeting in Paris on 24 October donors indicated pledges worth some \$850 million, with some countries still to pledge.

- 12. The HIPC Initiative is not aimed at eliminating the debts of these countries, but at reducing them to a sustainable level. HIPC countries will still require other forms of financial assistance, including substantial aid flows. We do not support 100% cancellation of IMF and World Bank loans, which is neither desirable nor equitable. The HIPC countries that have qualified for debt relief under HIPC have lower debt to export ratios than equally poor non HIPCs. Countries need to borrow - initially on the very concessional terms offered by the IMF and World Bank, to finance their poverty reduction strategies. In this way countries can re-establish their creditworthiness, which will also help them attract private investment and accelerate economic growth and poverty reduction. For multilateral institutions to provide 100% relief would risk skewing limited development resources away from other very poor countries which have handled their debt well.
- 13. All official debt is eligible for forgiveness under HIPC and so Table 15.2 also contains details of debt relief on CDC loans to HIPC countries.

# HIPC Capacity Building Programme (CBP)

- 14. DFID co-funds, with Austria, Canada, Denmark, Ireland, Sweden and Switzerland, a programme of technical advice and assistance for HIPC countries to develop their debt management capacity. Under this programme, HIPC countries are assisted to establish the level of debt they hold and negotiate their HIPC debt relief. Debt relief under HIPC can be provided in the form of debt stock reduction (cancelling the value of loans) or debt service reduction (forgoing debt service payments). The best balance between these alternatives will vary country by country - for example, it depends in part on how effectively a government could spend additional resources to tackle poverty. The CBP helps HIPC governments to consider their options. The programme also assists HIPCs to develop a debt management strategy to plan and manage future borrowing. The CBP has worked or is working with Benin, Bolivia, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Ethiopia, Ghana, Guinea, Honduras, Malawi, Guyana, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Sao Tome, Senegal, Tanzania, Togo, Uganda, and Zambia. DFID provided £1.4m to phases 1 and 2 of the CBP and is contributing a further £1.5m to phase 3.
- 15. DFID also provides technical assistance in debt management for some non-HIPC countries, and is supporting developing countries' participation in Bank of England training courses, which include debt management.

		1998/99			1999/00			2000/01			2001/02			2002/03	
	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total
DEBT CANCELLATION															
Africa															
Botswana	187	-	187	118	-	118	24	-	24	24	-	24	_	-	-
Cote d'Ivoire	213	52	265	216	45	261	216	42	258	216	37	253	209	32	241
Egypt	406	-	406	406	-	406	406	-	406	406	-	406	406	-	406
Ghana	2 364	8	2 372	2 364	4	2 369	2 016	-	2 016	1 668	-	1 668	1 668	-	1 668
Malawi	168	-	168	168	-	168	132	-	132	76	-	76	20	-	20
Mauritius	384	26	409	46	17	63	46	14	60	46	11	57	46	9	54
Mozambique	1 251	-	1 251	1 251	-	1 251	1 251	-	1 251	1 113	-	1 113	283	-	283
Senegal	84	9	93	56	5	61	56	5	61	56	3	59	56	2	58
Zambia	2 549	318	2 867	2 549	267	2 816	2 549	236	2 785	2 549	185	2 734	2 549	134	2 683
Total Africa	7 606	413	8 019	7 174	339	7 513	6 695	297	6 992	6 153	237	6 390	5 237	178	5 414
America															
Antigua & Barbuda	357	-	357	357	-	357	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-
Barbados	39	10	49	39	8	46	39	6	44	39	3	42	20	1	21
Dominica	541	-	541	541	-	541	541	-	541	541	-	541	541	-	541
Grenada	625	-	625	625	-	625	372	-	372	56	-	56	56	-	56
Guyana	1 663	1 642	3 305	3 012	1 525	4 537	3 453	1 435	4 888	3 374	1 258	4 633	3 374	1 083	4 457
Honduras	280	-	280	373	-	373	373	-	373	324	-	324	93	-	93
Jamaica	5 372	1 923	7 295	3 862	1 654	5 516	3 862	1 539	5 402	2 259	1 362	3 622	4 111	1 257	5 368
Peru	183	151	334	172	146	318	155	141	296	61	137	198	159	136	295
St Kitts & Nevis	288	-	288	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_
St Lucia	333	-	333	333	-	333	333	-	333	333	-	333	333	-	333
St Vincent & Grenadines	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 596	-	2 596
Turks and Caicos Islands	165	33	198	165	28	193	165	25	191	132	20	153	99	18	117
Total America	9 847	3 758	13 605	9 480	3 360	12 840	9 294	3 147	12 440	7 120	2 781	9 901	12 841	2 494	15 336

<sup>1.</sup> See glossary for definitions.

### 15.1 DFID Debt Relief: Retrospective Terms Adjustment by Country<sup>1</sup>

		1998/99			1999/00			2000/01			2001/02			2002/03	
	Principal	Interest	Total												
Asia															
Afghanistan	33	-	33	29	-	29	25	-	25	25	-	25	_	-	-
Bangladesh	433	-	433	292	-	292	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-
Jordan	1 549	334	1 883	1 152	125	1 277	1 087	110	1 197	1 451	202	1 653	1 176	149	1 325
Laos	3	-	3	1	_	1	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-
Pakistan	1 148	-	1 148	751	-	751	173	-	173	_	-	-	_	-	-
Sri Lanka	167	-	167	76	-	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Asia	3 334	334	3 668	2 302	125	2 427	1 286	110	1 396	1 476	202	1 678	1 176	149	1 325
Pacific															
Total Pacific	367	-	367	360	-	360	360	-	360	353	-	353	278	-	278
TOTAL DEBT CANCELLATION	21 154	4 505	25 659	19 316	3 824	23 140	17 634	3 554	21 188	15 102	3 220	18 322	19 532	2 821	22 353

<sup>1.</sup> See glossary for definitions.

		2000/	01			2001	/02			2002	/03	
			Naples/				Naples/				Naples/	
	Toronto	Trinidad	HIPC	Total	Toronto	Trinidad	HIPC	Total	Toronto	Trinidad	HIPC	Total
ECGD DEBT RE-ORGANISATION												
Africa												
Benin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 700	2 700
Burkina Faso	-	-	-	-	-	-	970	970	-	-	-	-
Cameroon	-	-	2 438	2 438	-	-	-	-	-	-	25 682	25 682
Central African Republic	4	-	-	4	3	-	-	3	2	-	292	294
Congo, Dem Rep	626	-	3 030	3 656	441	-	4 263	4 704	257	-	2 458	2 715
Cote d'Ivoire	-	490	-	490	-	440	-	440	-	480	7 137	7 617
Ethiopia	-	290	-	290	-	260	-	260	-	230	1 284	1 514
Ghana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30 723	30 723
Guinea	44	20	70	134	27	20	132	179	19	20	1 416	1 455
Madagascar	133	-	-	133	98	-	1 396	1 494	65	-	194	259
Malawi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	201	201
Mali	209	130	-	339	138	120	-	258	78	110	4 157	4 345
Mauritania	22	150	482	654	14	140	548	702	-	-	12 904	12 904
Mozambique	1 380	380	-	1 760	1 140	340	91 200	92 680	-	-	-	-
Niger	137	710	-	847	109	110	-	219	82	100	-	182
Senegal	28	100	1 017	1 145	21	80	49	150	14	80	42	136
Sierra Leone	-	120	-	120	-	110	-	110	-	100	299	399
Tanzania	1 052	-	18 116	19 168	703	-	114 950	115 653	-	-	-	-
Togo	139	70	-	209	107	70	-	177	73	60	-	133
Uganda	143	150	5 947	6 240	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zambia	3 767	2 420	16 535	22 722	3 041	2 170	-	5 211	2 326	1 930	-	4 256
Total Africa	7 684	5 030	47 635	60 349	5 842	3 860	213 508	223 210	2 916	3 110	89 489	95 515
America								-				
Bolivia	354	-	-	354	279	-	17 380	17 659	-	-	-	-
Guyana	1 232	240	12 432	13 904	1 018	210	-	1 228	803	190	-	993
Total America	1 586	240	12 432	14 258	1 297	210	17 380	18 887	803	190	-	993
Europe								-				
Serbia & Montenegro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	299 070	299 070
Total Europe	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	299 070	299 070
TOTAL ECGD DEBT RE-ORGANISATION	9 270	5 270	60 067	74 607	7 139	4 070	230 888	242 097	3 719	3 300	388 559	395 578

<sup>1.</sup> See glossary for definitions.

### 15.2 Other Debt Re-organisation by Country<sup>1</sup>

		2000/	01			2001/	02			2002	/03	
			Naples/				Naples/				Naples/	
	Toronto	Trinidad	HIPC	Total	Toronto	Trinidad	HIPC	Total	Toronto	Trinidad	HIPC	Total
CDC DEBT RE-ORGANISATION												
Africa												
Cameroon	-	-	1 532	1 532	-	-	1 963	1 963	-	-	-	-
Ghana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	794	794
Malawi	-	-	496	496	-	-	1 274	1 274	-	-	-	-
Tanzania	-	-	1 195	1 195	-	-	1 665	1 665	-	-	-	-
Uganda	-	-	4 320	4 320	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Africa			7 543	7 543	-	-	4 902	4 902	-		794	794
TOTAL CDC DEBT RE-ORGANISATION	-	-	7 543	7 543	-	-	4 902	4 902	-	-	794	794
TOTAL DEBT RE-ORGANISATION	9 270	5 270	67 610	82 150	7 139	4 070	235 790	246 999	3 719	3 300	389 353	396 372

<sup>1.</sup> See glossary for definitions.

#### **International Comparisons**

- 16 UK Official and Private Flows to Recipient Countries
- 16.1 UK Total Net Flows to Developing Countries: 1978-2002
- 17 World Aid Flows Net Official Development Assistance to Developing Countries and Official Aid to Other Countries
- 18 Net Official Development Assistance by Income Group 2001

### 16 UK Official and Private Flows to Recipient Countries<sup>1</sup>

£ million 2000 2002 2001 Net 2 Gross Net 2 Gross Net 2 **Gross DEVELOPING COUNTRIES** OFFICIAL DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE (oda) **BILATERAL** oda **Total Grants** 1 695 1 695 1 835 1835 2 249 2 249 of which: 453 537 537 582 technical co-operation 453 582 227 227 178 178 267 267 humanitarian assistance debt forgiveness 102 102 260 260 398 398 **Total Loans** 127 95 69 - 15 146 80 of which: CDC Equities 109 87 62 - 10 142 97 **TOTAL BILATERAL oda** 1 822 1 790 1 904 1820 2 394 2 3 2 9 **MULTILATERAL** oda **Total Grants** 920 919 844 843 861 859 of which: UN agencies 237 237 242 242 213 213 572 European Community 646 645 571 620 618 534 **Subscriptions and Promissory Notes** 264 264 516 113 87 170 341 of which: IDA 170 323 0 - 26 Regional development banks 86 86 56 56 69 68 **TOTAL MULTILATERAL oda** 1 184 1 184 1 378 1 359 974 947 TOTAL OFFICIAL DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE 3 007 2 974 3 282 3 179 3 369 3 275 Net oda as a percentage of GNI 0.32 0.32 0.31 OTHER OFFICIAL FLOWS (oof) 118 - 47 145 2 120 - 3 non-concessional CDC investments 103 - 62 58 - 85 55 - 67 **TOTAL OFFICIAL FLOWS (oda + oof)** 3 124 2 926 3 427 3 181 3 488 3 273 **PRIVATE FLOWS** 9 291 Direct Investment 551 5 725 **Guaranteed Export Credits** -1 121 - 343 - 822 Bank Lending (excluding export credits) and other private flows 1 952 -2 140 560 **TOTAL PRIVATE FLOWS** 1 383 3 242 9 029 **NET GRANTS BY PRIVATE ORGANISATIONS<sup>3</sup>** 354 216 235 **TOTAL FLOWS OF RESOURCES** 4 664 6 639 12 537 Total flows as a percentage of GNI 0.50 0.67 1.18 OTHER COUNTRIES<sup>3</sup> OFFICIAL AID (oa) BILATERAL oa 58 58 60 60 61 58 **MULTILATERAL** oa 231 231 260 260 286 286 **TOTAL OFFICIAL AID** 290 290 320 320 347 344 **PRIVATE FLOWS** 6 5 2 6 Direct Investment -13513 566 **Guaranteed Export Credits** - 750 - 94 - 73 Bank Lending (excluding export credits) and other private flows 1 999 -1 755 1 920 **TOTAL PRIVATE FLOWS** - 102 4 677 5 413 5 **NET GRANTS BY PRIVATE ORGANISATIONS<sup>3</sup> TOTAL FLOWS OF RESOURCES** 5 001 193 5 761

<sup>1.</sup> This table contains the information reported to the OECD's Development Assistance Committee (DAC).

<sup>2.</sup> This represents expenditure net of loan capital (ie principal) repayments.


<sup>3.</sup> This figure is an estimate for 2002.

<sup>4.</sup> These are countries on Part II of the DAC List of recipient countries. See page 8.

### 16.1 UK Total Net Flows to Developing Countries: 1978 - 2002

£ million

	Official flo	ws	Priva	te flows	Total flows		UK	DAC	Average
	Official	Other	On			oda	Total Flows	oda	Total Flows
	development	Official	Market	Voluntary		as a % of	as a % of	as a % of	as a % o
	assistance (oda)	Flows	Terms	Grants		GNI <sup>1</sup>	GNI <sup>2</sup>	GNI <sup>1</sup>	GNI
1978	763	185	3 887	29	4 865	0.46	2.93	0.35	1.24
1979	1 016	67	5 226	51	6 359	0.51	3.25	0.35	1.17
1980	797	- 71	4 475	52	5 253	0.35	2.32	0.38	1.04
1981	1 081	173	4 549	47	5 850	0.43	2.34	0.35	1.25
1982	1 028	89	2 405	57	3 579	0.37	1.29	0.38	1.15
1983	1 061	137	2 769	55	4 022	0.35	1.33	0.36	0.91
1984	1 070	350	2 091	105	3 615	0.33	1.13	0.35	0.99
1985	1 180	299	292	130	1 900	0.33	0.54	0.35	0.53
1986	1 185	220	3 024	130	4 558	0.31	1.20	0.35	0.66
1987	1 142	161	693	135	2 131	0.28	0.51	0.35	0.55
1988	1 485	181	607	134	2 408	0.32	0.52	0.34	0.61
1989	1 578	280	3 756	160	5 775	0.31	1.14	0.32	0.59
1990	1 485	354	1 652	184	3 675	0.27	0.68	0.33	0.48
1991	1 815	293	866	215	3 188	0.32	0.56	0.33	0.55
1992	1 848	170	3 035	250	5 303	0.30	0.89	0.33	0.63
1993	1 945	87	3 523	300	5 855	0.31	0.94	0.30	0.73
1994		22	5 356	350	7 816	0.31	1.15	0.30	0.83
1995	2 029	135	5 661	307	8 132	0.29	1.15	0.27	0.75
1996	2 050	52	11 345	245	13 692	0.27	1.83	0.25	0.88
1997	2 096	- 69	8 830	216	11 073	0.26	1.39	0.22	0.86
1998	2 332	- 33	4 048	253	6 600	0.27	0.83	0.23	0.81
1999	2 118	- 15	7 056	297	9 456	0.24	1.05	0.24	0.80
2000	2 974	- 47	1 383	354	4 664	0.32	0.50	0.22	0.54
2001	3 179	2	3 237	216	6 634	0.32	0.67	0.22	0.56
2002	3 275	- 3	9 029	235	12 526	0.31	1.18	0.23 <sup>3</sup>	n/a



- 1. The UN target for official development assistance, endorsed in the 1970 UN General Assembly, is 0.7% of Gross National Income.
- 2. The UN target for total flows, endorsed in the 1970 UN General Assembly, is 1.0% of Gross National Income (GNI).
- 3. 2002 DAC figures are based on provisional data.


17 World Aid Flows Net Official Development Assistance to Developing Countrie
and Official Aid to Other Countries<sup>1</sup>


	19	98	19	99	20	000	20	01	200	)2 <sup>2</sup>
	-	% of		% of		% of		% of		% of
DAC Countries	£m	GNI								
oda to Developing Countries										
Australia	579	0.26	607	0.26	652	0.27	606	0.25	641	0.25
Austria	275	0.22	326	0.26	280	0.23	370	0.29	316	0.23
Belgium	533	0.35	470	0.30	541	0.36	602	0.37	707	0.42
Canada	1 021	0.29	1 055	0.28	1 152	0.25	1 064	0.22	1 342	0.28
Denmark	1 029	0.99	1 071	1.01	1 099	1.06	1 135	1.03	1 087	0.96
Finland	239	0.31	257	0.33	245	0.31	270	0.32	311	0.35
France	3 466	0.40	3 484	0.39	2 712	0.32	2 915	0.32	3 454	0.36
Germany	3 369	0.26	3 409	0.26	3 323	0.27	3 464	0.27	3 571	0.27
Greece Ireland	108 120	0.14 0.26	120 152	0.15 0.31	149 155	0.20 0.30	140 199	0.17 0.33	197 264	0.22
Italy	1 375	0.19	1 116	0.15	909	0.13	1 130	0.15	1 542	0.20
Japan Luxembourg	6 422 68	0.28	9 471 73	0.35 0.66	8 923 81	0.28 0.72	6 837 98	0.23 0.82	6 145 95	0.23
Netherlands	1 836	0.03	1 937	0.00	2 071	0.72	2 203	0.82	2 251	0.78
New Zealand	78	0.26	83	0.73	75	0.25	78	0.02	83	0.02
Norway	797 156	0.90	847	0.90	835 179	0.80	934	0.80	1 164 188	0.91
Portugal Spain	831	0.23	171 843	0.26 0.23	789	0.26 0.22	186 1 206	0.25 0.30	1 072	0.24
Sweden	949	0.24	1 007	0.23	1 188	0.80	1 156	0.30	1 169	0.23
Switzerland	542	0.32	608	0.35	588	0.34	631	0.34	622	0.32
United Kingdom United States of America	2 332 5 303	0.27 0.10	2 118 5 653	0.24 0.10	2 974 6 576	0.32 0.10	3 179 7 935	0.32 0.11	3 275 8 598	0.31
DAC TOTAL	31 428	0.23	34 877	0.24	35 497	0.22	36 338	0.22	37 984	0.23
oa to Other Countries <sup>1</sup>										
Australia	1	0.00	2	0.00	5	0.00	3	0.00		
Austria	115	0.09	114	0.09	124	0.10	147	0.11	122	0.09
Belgium	41	0.03	50	0.03	49	0.03	61	0.04		
Canada Denmark	95 71	0.03	102	0.03	109	0.02	106	0.02	70 111	0.01
		0.07	79	0.07	125	0.12	126	0.11	111	0.10
Finland	49	0.06	46	0.06	38	0.05	42	0.05	40	0.05
France	497	0.06	340	0.04	1 094	0.13	926	0.10		
Germany Greece	395	0.03	451 7	0.03	428 8	0.03 0.01	477 6	0.04 0.01	4	0.00
Ireland	_	_	-	0.01	-	0.01	0	0.00		
		0.00		0.04		0.04				
Italy Japan	147 80	0.02	57 42	0.01	268 - 35	0.04	195 58	0.03	218	0.03
Luxembourg	2	0.00	2	0.00	- 55	0.04	6	0.05	6	0.05
Netherlands	78	0.03	14	0.01	202	0.08	148	0.06	36	0.01
New Zealand	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Norway	31	0.04	17	0.02	18	0.02	22	0.02		
Portugal	13	0.04	17	0.02	18	0.02	19	0.02	22	0.03
Spain	3	0.00	8	0.00	8	0.00	10	0.00		
Sweden	63	0.04	61	0.04	81	0.05	83	0.05	83	0.05
Switzerland	46	0.03	43	0.03	38	0.02	44	0.02	43	0.02
United Kingdom	263	0.03	202	0.02	290	0.03	320	0.03	344	0.03
United States of America	1 645	0.03	2 176	0.04	1 656	0.03	1 071	0.02	1 464	0.02
DAC TOTAL	3 636	0.03	3 828	0.03	4 527	0.03	3 870	0.02	2 605	0.02
	2 000	0.00		0.00	. 02.	0.00		0.02	_ 000	0.02

<sup>1.</sup> These are countries on Part II of the DAC List of recipient countries. See page 8.

<sup>2. 2002</sup> figures are based on provisional data.

# 17 World Aid Flows Net Official Development Assistance to Developing Countrie and Official Aid to Other Countries





### 18 Net Official Development Assistance by Income Group 2001<sup>1</sup>


	% of Total	allocable l	by Income	Group <sup>1,3</sup>				£ million
	Low Income	Lower Middle Income	Upper Middle Income	Higher Income	% of total allocable to LDCs	Total allocable by Income Group	Unallocable	Total
Bilateral Donors								
Australia	46.9	51.3	1.8	0.0	20.9	380	78	458
Austria	58.6	35.7	4.8	0.8	18.1	215	22	237
Belgium	76.4	21.4	2.2	0.0	56.2	227	123	349
Canada	65.7	31.1	3.1	0.2	39.3	346	487	833
Denmark	79.0	19.1	1.9	0.0	52.4	526	193	718
Finland	67.8	32.9	-0.6	0.0	43.7	106	49	156
France	52.2	38.4	9.3	0.0	34.4	1 303	499	1 802
Germany	53.9	38.3	8.2	-0.3	27.1	1 534	451	1 985
Greece	7.8	90.4	1.7	0.1	3.0	54	3	57
Ireland	84.0	14.8	1.2	0.0	81.9	103	25	128
Italy	75.5	31.1	-6.5	-0.1	72.7	179	128	307
Japan	70.5	26.9	2.6	0.0	18.7	4 374	803	5 178
Luxembourg	64.7	31.7	3.6	0.0	45.7	58	15	73
Netherlands	70.1	27.9	1.9	0.0	41.6	1 266	278	1 544
New Zealand	29.2	64.1	6.6	0.0	38.3	43	16	59
Norway	64.6	30.2	5.2	0.0	47.5	454	199	653
Portugal	96.1	3.2	0.8	0.0	59.6	113	14	127
Spain	61.3	36.4	2.3	0.0	8.0	675	123	798
Sweden	67.2	30.9	1.9	0.1	45.3	495	341	836
Switzerland	60.2	37.2	2.6	0.0	40.0	283	164	447
United Kingdom	82.4	13.6	4.0	0.0	54.9	1 353	468	1 820
United States	50.1	49.9	0.0	0.0	19.0	3 607	2 144	5 752
			0.0		13.0	3 007	2 144	3 7 3 2
TOTAL BILATERAL	63.2	33.7	3.0	0.0	29.8	17 696	6 624	24 320
Multilateral Donors								
African Dev Fund	95.5	5.1	-0.6	0.0	76.1	280	10	291
Arab Agencies	96.8	6.8	-3.6	0.0	74.1	96	5	101
Asian Dev Fund	86.6	13.4	0.0	0.0	33.5	564	-	564
Caribbean Dev Bank	0.0	70.9	29.1	0.0	0.0	21	1	22
EBRD	26.2	65.6	5.5	2.7	0.0	12	-	12
EC	43.3	44.8	9.2	2.7	31.4	3 253	578	3 831
GEF	54.1	25.5	20.4	0.0	20.0	56	14	70
IBRD	100.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	33	-	33
IDA	94.2	5.9	-0.1	0.0	48.7	3 414	-	3 414
IDB SPECIAL OPER. FUND	85.0	23.3	-8.3	0.0	0.1	166	25	191
IFAD	88.1	11.9	0.0	0.0	53.4	115	-	115
Montreal Protocol	87.9	4.6	7.5	0.0	0.0	50	_	50
NORDIC DEVELOPMENT FUND		26.2	-0.3	0.0	74.3	22	0	22
SAF & ESAF	141.4	-41.4	0.0	0.0	67.8	75	-	75
UNDP	84.6	12.4	3.0	0.0	56.1	193	3	196
UNFPA	76.1	19.8	4.0	0.0	48.2	128	88	216
UNHCR	63.0	30.3	6.5	0.2	44.3	302	76	378
UNICEF	84.0	12.5	3.5	0.2	51.7	245	172	417
UNRWA	0.0	87.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	250	-	250
UNTA	59.7	29.6	10.4	0.3	37.1	152	133	285
OTHER UN	48.0	7.3	44.7	0.0	27.0	225	173	399
TOTAL MULTILATERAL	70.6	23.5	5.0	0.9	39.8	9 652	1 278	10 930
Other Donors	37.2	46.6	16.3	-0.1	21.1	802	- 171	631
EC + EU Members	59.6	33.9	5.8	0.8	36.9	11 460	3 310	14 771
TOTAL ALL DONORS	65.0	30.6	4.1	0.3	33.0	28 150	7 732	35 882


<sup>1.</sup> Income Groups are classified using 1998 GNI per capita thresholds. See glossary for details.

<sup>2.</sup> LDCs are mainly low income countries. See page 218 and glossary.

<sup>3.</sup> Percentages are not appropriate for negative flows, as a result totals may not sum to 100%

### 18 Net Official Development Assistance by Income Group 2001<sup>1</sup>





<sup>1.</sup> Income Groups are classified using 1998 GNI per capita thresholds. See glossary for details.

### **Policy Information Marker System (PIMS)**

- 19 Poverty Aim and Policy Objective Markers by region
- 20 Policies and actions which promote sustainable livelihoods

# EXTENDED POLICY INFORMATION MARKER SYSTEM (PAM, POM and PIMS)

#### **Purpose of PIMS**

1. The Policy Information Marker System (PIMS) provides a framework for measuring the extent to which DFID's projects and programmes are being targeted on key policy areas. It is most relevant at the pipeline and commitment stages of projects and the tables in this section present data on commitments.

#### **Extended PIMS**

- 2. PIMS was reviewed at the end of 1997 to consider how well it could provide for current and anticipated information needs, particularly to reflect the objectives for DFID as set out in the 1997 White Paper on International Development. An extended system was introduced from 1 April 1998. This incorporated:
  - an over-arching Poverty Aim Marker (PAM) to monitor the means by which DFID's aim to eliminate poverty in poorer countries is being addressed
  - a Policy Objective Marker (POM) to monitor the targeting of DFID's three central objectives
  - an extended set of Policy Information Markers (PIMs).

The extended system was revised again in 2002 and a reduced set of markers, based on the Millennium Development Goals (see pages 23-24), was introduced in October 2002. The PAM continues under the new system but the POM, which was introduced primarily for reporting against departmental objectives, was dropped when DFID's new Public Service Agreement came into force on 1 April 2003. Table 19 shows the last year of POM data for completeness.

3. The essential features of the PIMS system remain in that spending departments score all new bilateral commitments with a value of £100,000 or over against the PAM and all relevant PIMs. Full details of the scoring system and marker definitions can be found in the Project Header Sheet manual (Pink Book) issued in January 2003.

#### Remarking

4. Work is underway to remark all PIMS eligible projects to develop a reliable set of historic data against the new markers. This has been completed for all except the Elimination of Income Poverty and Hunger marker. Therefore the commitment figures given in Table 20 only show data for this marker from the date the new system came into operation. A full set of data will be available in future editions.

#### PAM and POM

5. For each eligible project or programme, spending departments select one PAM category to reflect the predominant means of addressing poverty elimination. The three categories are enabling, inclusive and focused.

Spenders also select one POM category as the main DFID objective targeted. The three central objectives are:

- policies and actions which promote sustainable livelihoods
- better education, health and opportunities for poor people
- protection and better management of the natural and physical environment.


Because only one category can be chosen in each case the value of new bilateral commitments counting against them can be added together, unlike the PIMs (see paragraph 7 below).


6. However in practice, there is obviously overlap between the categories as new commitments will not necessarily concentrate exclusively on one means of targeting poverty elimination or on a single objective. For example, projects connected with water and sanitation could fall under either objective 2 or objective 3 while sustainable rural livelihoods projects could come under all three. In the main, these

overlaps will balance out so that the broad picture will be representative of DFID's bilateral programme as a whole.

#### **Policy Information Markers**

- 7. The new system contains 14 PIMs which are scored according to which policy areas are targeted, either as a Principal or Significant objective. Principal objectives are those which are fundamental to the design of a programme or project, without which it would not be undertaken. Significant objectives are those which, although important, are not one of the primary reasons for undertaking the activities. Scoring against PIMs is an all-or-nothing procedure with the total commitment value counting against each of the PIMs awarded to the project. As DFID policy areas are mutually supportive overlapping, most new commitments contribute to, and are marked against, two or more markers. As a result there will be double counting if data for different PIMs are added together.
- 8. The number of markers per project for new PIMS-marked bilateral commitments in 2002/03 is shown in the charts overleaf. Almost half of all PIMS eligible projects have only one marker and over 80 per cent have only one Principal marker.





#### Coverage

9. The intention is for PIMS to cover as much of the bilateral programme as possible. However, to reduce administrative burdens, the large number of commitments valued under £100,000, which accounts for approximately 50 per cent of projects by number but less than 3 per cent of bilateral programme by value, is excluded from PIMS. Debt relief is also excluded. Contributions to multilateral agencies are excluded because

they do not analyse their expenditure in the same way. In effect, PIMS covers most of that part of the aid programme for which the Department is directly responsible for setting policies and priorities. However, some programmes and policy areas may be underrepresented because of the financial cut-off.

10. PIMS coverage of new projects in 1998/99-2002/03 is given in the following table:

	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03
Number of PIMS-marked projects	1 003	1 053	736	775	571
Commitment (£ million)*	1 844	1 244	1 371	1 478	1 386

\*This comprises new bilateral project/programme MIS codes with a commitment of £100,000 or more created during the fiscal year reported on, and all changes in commitment levels during the year.

There were an exceptional number of large commitments in 1998/99, but the table reflects the underlying increase in size of the bilateral aid programme, and the trend towards larger projects. Table 20 shows that in 2002/03 there was a large amount of commitment that is non region specific. This was due to large contributions to the Global Health Fund and Global Environment Facility in that year.

11. The following table shows commitments in 2002/03 against the new PIMS marker set in both value and percentage terms. It should be recalled that, to avoid double counting, data for different markers within a year <u>cannot</u> be added together.

Millennium Development Goals (MDG) Related PIMS
2002/03 Commitments

	Prin	cipal	Signific	ant	Tot	al
	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%
Elimination of income poverty and hunger	311.3	22.5	23.4	1.7	334.7	24.1
Effective universal primary education	30.2	2.2	156.1	11.3	186.3	13.4
Promote gender equality and empower women	18.2	1.3	144.8	10.4	163.0	11.8
Reduce child mortality	90.1	6.5	361.2	26.1	451.3	32.6
Improve maternal health	91.7	6.6	346.5	25.0	438.2	31.6
Combat HIV/AIDS	265.0	19.1	33.4	2.4	298.4	21.5
Reduce malaria, TB and other diseases	229.6	16.6	11.8	0.9	241.4	17.4
Effective reproductive health services	87.8	6.3	311.2	22.4	399.0	28.8
Promote environmental sustainability	125.8	9.1	184.1	13.3	309.9	22.4
Increase access to safe water and sanitation	71.5	5.2	74.9	5.4	146.4	10.6
Good governance	287.8	20.8	280.7	20.2	568.5	41.0
Improve slum dwellers lives	32.0	2.3	33.4	2.4	65.4	4.7
Human rights and empowerment	96.2	6.9	162.8	11.7	259.0	18.7
Total Commitment					1 386.4	

### 19 New PIMS marked Bilateral Commitments 2002/03 1

Poverty Aim and Policy Objective Markers by region (value and percentage)<sup>2</sup>

	<u>Afı</u>	<u>rica</u>	<u>Am</u>	erica	<u>As</u>	sia	<u>Eur</u>	ope	Non R Spe	•	<u>Tota</u>	ı <u>l</u>
Poverty Aim Marker	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%	£m	%
<b>Enabling</b> actions which support the policies and context for poverty reduction and elimination.	227.6	46%	10.4	41%	190.0	50%	6.1	36%	256.8	55%	690.9	45%
<b>Inclusive</b> broad - based actions which improve opportunities and services generally, and also address issues of equity and barriers to participation of poor people.	91.8	19%	13.3	52%	101.5	27%	1.0	6%	174.9	37%	382.5	28%
	00	.0,0		0270		,,		0,0		0.70	002.0	2070
Actions <b>focused</b> predominantly on the rights, interests and need of poor people.	172.1	35%	1.8	7%	91.2	24%	9.7	58%	38.1	8%	312.9	23%
TOTAL BY REGION	491.5	100%	25.5	100%	382.8	100%	16.8	100%	469.8	100%	1 386.4	100%
Policy Objective Marker <sup>3</sup>												
Policies and actions which promote sustainable livelihoods.	180.0	37%	15.3	60%	200.4	52%	15.5	92%	112.6	24%	523.8	38%
Better education, health and opportunities for poor people.	309.1	63%	9.1	36%	158.0	41%	1.4	8%	200.8	43%	678.4	49%
Protection and better management of the natural and physical environment.	2.3	0%	1.1	4%	24.3	6%	0.0	0%	156.4	33%	184.1	13%
TOTAL BY REGION	491.5	100%	25.5	100%	382.8	100%	16.8	100%	469.8	100%	1 386.4	100%

<sup>1.</sup> This includes new bilateral project/programme MIS codes with a commitment of £100,000 or over created during fiscal year reported on and all subsequent changes in commitment value during the year.

<sup>2.</sup> Percentage of total commitment value.

<sup>3.</sup> The Policy Objective Marker was dropped on 1 April 2003.

### 20 New PIMS marked Bilateral Commitments 2002/031

£ million

		Africa			\ maria			Asia						n Regi			Total	111111011
PIMS Marker	Prin	Sig	Tot	Prin	Americ Sig	Tot	Prin	Asia Sig	Tot	Prin	Europe Sig	Tot	Prin	Sig	Tot	Prin	Sig	Tot
Elimination of income poverty and hunger <sup>3</sup>	140.2	10.0	150.2	-	0.7	0.7	123.5	9.8	133.3	0.4	-	0.4	47.2	2.9	50.1	311.3	23.4	334.7
Effective universal primary education	7.7	140.5	148.2	0.6	2.0	2.6	2.0	12.5	14.5	-	-	-	19.9	1.1	21.0	30.2	156.1	186.3
Promote gender equality and empower women	1.4	21.1	22.5	0.3	1.4	1.7	0.6	106.8	107.4	-	-	-	15.9	15.5	31.4	18.2	144.8	163.0
Reduce child mortality	5.6	177.0	182.6	-	-	-	67.0	13.6	80.6	-	0.6	0.6	17.5	170.0	187.5	90.1	361.2	451.3
Improve maternal health	1.1	172.9	174.0	-	-	-	66.0	5.9	71.9	-	0.6	0.6	24.6	167.1	191.7	91.7	346.5	438.2
Combat HIV/AIDS	30.0	24.3	54.3	2.8	-	2.8	77.0	0.9	77.9	-	-	-	155.2	8.2	163.4	265.0	33.4	298.4
Reduce malaria, TB and other diseases	10.3	6.8	17.1	-	-	-	66.0	2.3	68.3	-	-		153.3	2.7	156.0	229.6	11.8	241.4
Effective reproductive health services	2.2	155.0	157.2	1.7	1.0	2.7	66.7	3.5	70.2	-	0.6	0.6	17.2	151.1	168.3	87.8	311.2	399.0
Promote environmental sustainability	1.2	43.2	44.4	0.5	-	0.5	0.9	86.5	87.4	-	-	-	123.2	54.4	177.6	125.8	184.1	309.9
Increase access to safe water and sanitation	26.6	32.5	59.1	-	-	-	31.5	0.7	32.2	-	-	-	13.4	41.7	55.1	71.5	74.9	146.4
Good governance	173.1	85.5	258.6	5.7	3.0	8.7	83.2	138.4	221.6	7.0	6.7	13.7	18.8	47.1	65.9	287.8	280.7	568.5
Improve slum dwellers lives	0.1	17.8	17.9	-	2.5	2.5	21.4	2.2	23.6	-	-	-	10.5	10.9	21.4	32.0	33.4	65.4
Human rights and empowerment	42.5	27.1	69.6	3.0	2.1	5.1	14.6	118.5	133.1	0.1	2.3	2.4	36.0	12.8	48.8	96.2	162.8	259.0

<sup>1.</sup> This includes new bilateral project/programme MIS codes with a commitment of £100,000 or over created during fiscal year reported on and all subsequent changes in commitment value during the year.

<sup>2.</sup> Includes a Multilateral contribution to the Global Environment Facility

<sup>3.</sup> Includes data on new projects approved since 1 October 2002.

#### **CORRESPONDENCE TABLE OF PIMS MARKERS TO MDGs**

	Millennium Development Goals and Targets	PIMS Marker
GOAL 1: Erad	icate extreme poverty and hunger	
Target 1:	Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people whose income is less than one dollar a day	Elimination of income poverty and hunger
Target 2:	Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people who suffer from hunger	
GUAL 2: ACIII	eve universal primary education	
Target 3:	Ensure that, by 2015, children everywhere, boys and girls alike, will be able to complete a full course of primary schooling	Effective universal primary education
GOAL 3: Pron	note gender equality and empower women	
Target 4:	Eliminate gender disparity in primary and secondary education preferably by 2005 and to all levels of education no later than 2015	Promote gender equality and empower women
GOAL 4: Redu	uce child mortality	
Target 5:	Reduce by two-thirds, between 1990 and 2015, the under-five mortality rate	Reduce child mortality
GOAL 5: Impr	ove maternal health	
Target 6:	Reduce by three-quarters, between 1990 and 2015, the maternal mortality ratio	Improve maternal health
GOAL 6: Com	bat HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases	
Target 7: Target 8:	Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the spread of HIV/AIDS Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the incidence of malaria and other major diseases	Combat HIV/AIDS Reduce malaria, TB and other diseases Effective reproductive health services
GOAL 7: Ensu	re environmental sustainability	
Target 9:	Integrate the principles of sustainable development into country policies and programmes and reverse the loss of environmental resources	Promote environmental sustainability
Target 10:	Halve, by 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking Water	Integrated management of water resources, safe drinking water and improved sanitation
Target 11:	By 2020, to have achieved a significant improvement in the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers	Improve slum dwellers lives

#### **Notes**

Concepts, Sources and Methods

Glossary

**Abbreviations** 

Political and Economic Status of Aid Recipient Countries

Other Sources of Development Statistics and International Indicators

#### **CONCEPTS, SOURCES AND METHODS**

#### Concepts of aid

Three distinct aggregates of aid are contained within *Statistics on International Development*:

### Department for International Development (DFID) programmes

These are the major part of the UK aid effort, comprising aid to developing countries (ie on Part I of the DAC List), aid to other countries (ie on Part II of the DAC List), and Global Environmental Assistance (GEA). These programmes include:

- the majority of bilateral and multilateral aid from DFID voted funds, including activities funded from the two conflict prevention pools
- the attribution of EC budgetary spending which mainly covers the countries of Asia, Latin America, Mediterranean, South Africa, Eastern Europe and Central Asia; food aid, humanitarian aid and assistance provided through NGOs
- and, until 1999/2000, Exchequer Advances to the Commonwealth Development Corporation.

### ii. Gross public expenditure (GPEX) on development

This comprises developmental aid flows from all official UK sources. GPEX is derived by deducting Exchequer advances to the CDC from the DFID programmes, excluding the non-aid parts of Global Environmental Assistance (prior to 1998 only) and those of the UNESCO and FAO subscriptions, and adding aid flows (as defined by DAC) from other central government departments and CDC investments:

#### iii. UK official flows

These are reported to the Development Assistance Committee of the OECD. These comprise official development assistance (oda) to developing countries on Part I of the DAC List, official aid (oa) to countries on Part II of the DAC List, and other official flows (oof) to all recipient countries.

- 2. Precise definitions of the main terms used in this publication are given in the glossary which starts on page 201. A list of abbreviations is provided on page 217.
- 3. The relationships between the different aggregates of aid are set out diagrammatically on pages 3 and 4. A summary table on page 5 shows expenditure against the component parts of the aggregates in the financial year 2002/03 and as will be reported to DAC in respect of the calendar year 2002.
- 4. A list of aid recipient countries showing their status and membership of various political and economic groupings is given on pages 218-220. Other sources of information on development statistics and international indicators are shown on page 221.

#### Coverage

5. The data in the tables are the most upto-date available at the time of compilation and reflect the position at **1 September 2003**. Only data which meet DAC definitions are included in the main tables. Non-aid contributions, which are outside these definitions, are shown either in Table 2.1 or as memo items to Table 8.

6. The data in *SID* are on a cash basis, but can be reconciled to DFID's formal resource accounts for 2002/03 as shown below

		SID £m	Accounts £m
Total DFID progr	amme (gross)	3313	~
Less	(9. 000)		
EC Attribu	ution	714	
Retrospe	ctive Terms Adjustment Principal	20	
receipts	,	55	
Plus			
non aid co	ontributions	24	
non aid ca	apital payments	13	
Cash expenditure (net)		2561	2561
Plus			
non cash	items		195
new provi	sions + promissory notes		443
movemen	t in working capital		23
resource	income		7
RTA inter	est loss		3
Less			
use of pro	visions		350
non resou	rce income		47
capital inv	restment		46
RESOURCE OUT	TURN		2789*

<sup>\*</sup>Provisional subject to audit by National Audit Office

#### Notes to table:

Non cash items – include the cost of capital charges, depreciation and loss on foreign exchange transactions.

**New provisions and promissory notes** – include provisions taken in year for early retirement costs and other new provisions, plus deposits of promissory notes made in 2002/2003.

Movement in working capital - reflects the movement between the opening and closing debtors and creditors.

**Use of provisions** - provisions have been taken for future liability on current obligations, for example following the deposit of a promissory note or the pre-independence pensions costs for colonial pensions. The amount shown against the use of provision relates to the calls made on them.

Capital investment - mainly for the purchase of fixed assets and some small capital subscriptions.

#### Sources

- 7. Figures for DFID programmes are produced from a combination of data from DFID's internal accounting and management information systems which are used to process and monitor all DFID payments, and the UK attributions of EC budgetary spending. Other data, eg on Exchequer Advances, debt, CDC investments and pensions, supplement the internal figures.
- 8. The CDC provides quarterly information on its new investments and equity purchases and sales, all of which are included in GPEX. The Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) reports annual aid flows to UN organisations and a proportion of its administration costs are reportable to DAC as aid to developing countries. The FCO and the Home Office also produce annual figures for expenditure on programmes for the control of illicit drugs.
- 9. The Credit Guarantee Export Department (ECGD) reports new agreements and calculates annual disbursements of official debt relief on export credit debts. CDC provides details of debt relief on its loans quarterly. Statistics on debt relief are presented in Tables 15.1-15.2 in a separate debt section and definitions of the terms used are given in the glossary. Interest and principal foregone from cancellation of aid loans form part of DFID programme expenditure. The UK has moved to reporting cancellation of aid loans on a lump sum basis for international purposes, in line with DAC guidance. This means that all outstanding amounts on a loan are reported as forgiven in the year in which the agreement to cancel is made. rather than reporting such debt forgiven year-byyear as repayments would have fallen due. In

- *SID* however, such debt relief is still shown on an annual basis as this reflects the benefits to the recipient country as they accrue.
- 10. In addition to the above sources, DAC reporting on calendar years requires data on export credits (both official and private), provided by ECGD; on direct investments, provided by the Office for National Statistics; and on bilateral securities and other claims, provided by the Bank of England. Private flows from voluntary agencies are estimated from a statistical inquiry conducted by DFID in line with current survey control policy.
- 11. The main source for the international comparisons contained in Tables 16-18 is the DAC Chairman's Report. Some information is provided directly by DAC. The statistics on recipient countries given in Tables 1.1-1.9 are the most comprehensive and comparable data available and are taken from reputable sources such as the World Bank, UN and OECD.

#### **GLOSSARY**

(Items which are underlined have separate entries elsewhere in the Glossary)

#### Administrative costs

DFID administrative costs are the running costs of DFID HQ and overseas offices (staff and general administrative expenses), and overseas costs of staff in agreed diplomatic posts concerned with full time aid administration, including locally engaged staff employed by DFID. They also cover expenditure in respect of residual rent liability on the Chatham Maritime site arising from the terms agreed for the privatisation of DFID's former Next Steps agency, the Natural Resources Institute.

Other administrative costs cover those elements of FCO and CDC administration costs which are related to aid delivery.

#### Aid

Aid is an imprecise term but in Statistics on International Development, three concepts are presented of aid to developing countries: the DFID programme of aid; gross public expenditure on development (GPEX); and official development assistance (oda). Particular usage should be clear from the context. The term "official aid" (oa) relates to countries on Part II of the DAC List, when these are referred to separately. In situations covering all recipient countries, the term "aid" is used.

#### Aid and Trade Provision (ATP)

ATP is an allocation of bilateral aid funds to finance development projects which are also of commercial and industrial importance. It is either in the form of mixed credits, where ATP grant funds are associated with export credits guaranteed by Export Credit Guarantee Department (ECGD); or soft loans where the ATP grant is used to enable banks to provide long term loans at below market interest rates to recipient governments for specific projects agreed with the British Government. The ATP scheme lacked poverty elimination as its central focus and was closed in November 1997. No new applications for ATP have been accepted since then. Expenditure figures shown for ATP represent residual commitments made prior to 1997.

### Aid to countries on part II of the DAC list

This comprises all official flows which meet the reporting criteria agreed by the Development Assistance Committee (DAC), including the EC attribution. The countries involved are Belarus, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Russia, Slovakia and Ukraine, plus the more advanced developing countries which have moved from Part I of the DAC List, namely Aruba, Bahamas, Bermuda, British Virgin Islands, Brunei, Cayman Islands, Cyprus, Falkland Islands,

French Polynesia, Gibraltar, Hong Kong, Israel, Kuwait, Libya, Macao, Netherlands Antilles, New Caledonia, Northern Marianas, Qatar, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan and United Arab Emirates. Note that Moldova moved to Part I of the DAC List on 1 January 1997.

### Attribution of EC budgetary spending

The external relations part of the EC regular budget provides official development assistance and official aid to the countries of Asia, Latin America, Europe and South Africa. This aid is attributed to member states.

Most of the UK's share of EC expenditure for developing countries is attributed to the DFID programme and is shown under multilateral contributions (Table 8). The EC attribution of spending to countries on Part II of the DAC List is also shown in Table 8 and is part of the aid programme. In addition, a small amount of EC spending on administration is attributed to the Treasury and parts of some specific projects may be attributed to the FCO. These included are in gross public expenditure.

#### **Bank lending**

This is: portfolio investment, in particular syndicated and non-syndicated bank lending, purchase of common stock where no <u>direct investment</u> is made, purchase of bonds and purchase of real estate.

#### Bilateral aid

Bilateral aid is provided to developing countries and countries on Part II of the <u>DAC</u> <u>List</u> on a country to country basis, and to institutions, normally in Britain, working in fields related to these countries.

#### **British Chevening Scholarships**

This is an FCO scheme to enable prospective policy-makers from abroad to come to the UK to study. DFID made a substantial contribution to the scheme each year until 1999/00 when the FCO took over full responsibility.

#### **British Council**

The British Council promotes Britain abroad and works in close co-operation with British Diplomatic Missions. It provides access to British ideas, talents and experience in education and training, books and periodicals, the English language, the arts, the sciences and technology. DFID contracts the Council to manage development projects, administer the training of overseas study fellows in the UK and in other countries. DFID's contribution to British Council ceased from 1 April 1999.

#### **Budgetary aid**

Budgetary aid is general financial assistance given in certain cases to dependent territories to cover a recurrent budget deficit. This will become a subset of <u>direct budget support</u> in future.

#### **CARDS**

CARDS is a European Union assistance programme to the Western Balkans whose objective is the promotion of stability and peace in those countries, through their participation in the Stabilisation and Association Process (SAP). The SAP is the framework for EU policy in the region. It seeks to promote stability while also facilitating closer association with the EU and focuses on political and economic reform and administrative capacity building.

# CDC Capital Partners (formerly Commonwealth Development Corporation)

CDC was transformed from a statutory corporation into a public limited company in December 1999, paving the way for it to become a Public/Private Partnership. For the time being it remains wholly Government-owned. It is registered as CDC Group plc and operates under the name CDC Capital Partners. CDC is a leading investor in emerging markets, increasingly through private equity investments, and has activities in more than 50 developing countries.

#### Civil society organisations (CSOs)

Non-governmental organisations, trade unions, black and ethnic minority groups and church faith and community groups have a long and impressive record of involvement in international development. These civil society organizations and networks can play a vital role in empowering poor people

overseas and in building global alliances in support of eliminating world poverty.

# Civil Society Challenge Fund (CSCF)

The Civil Society Challenge Fund replaced the Joint Funding Scheme from April 2000 as DFID's main funding mechanism for UK based development civil society organisations. It is open to any UK based non-profit making organisation or network, which shares DFID's overall objective, namely the eradication of poverty. Financial support is offered on a project basis up to a maximum of £500,000 over periods of up to five years. Among other conditions for funding, the applicant organisation must be working with a local partner in the developing world.

#### Commitment

An approved financial limit for technical cooperation, financial aid or grants and other aid in kind which may be incorporated in a signed agreement specifying terms and conditions.

## Commonwealth Scholarship and Fellowship Plan

This is an international scheme that operates throughout the Commonwealth. Under the scheme DFID funds mainly postgraduate students from developing Commonwealth countries to undertake study and research in the UK.

#### Concessional

See Soft loan.

#### **Constant prices**

Constant price (or real terms) figures (given in Table 2.2) show how expenditure on DFID programmes has changed over time after removing the effects of UK inflation. The measure of general inflation used is the UK GDP deflator, which is derived by dividing GDP at current prices by GDP at constant prices. All other figures in *SID* are shown in current (cash) prices.

#### **Current (cash) prices**

All figures in *SID* apart from Table 2.2 are expressed in current (cash) prices. These are not adjusted for inflation.

#### Debt relief (see also pages 172-180)

Debt relief may take the form of cancellation, rescheduling, or reorganisation. Interest and principal foregone from aid debt cancellation forms part of DFID programme expenditure; other debt relief is funded from other official sources, and forms part of the UK's total official development assistance.

 Debt cancellation (or Retrospective Terms Adjustment) is relief from the burden of repaying both the principal and interest on past loans. Nearly all of the poorest countries have had outstanding debt arising from past aid loans cancelled. At the end of December 1999, the Government decided that all official debts owed to the UK by Heavily Indebted Poor Countries would be completely relieved when they qualify under the HIPC Initiative.

- Debt rescheduling is a form of relief by which the dates on which principal and interest payments are due are delayed or rearranged.
- Official bilateral debts are re-organised in the Paris Club of official bilateral creditors, in which the UK plays its full part. The Paris Club has devised increasingly generous arrangements for reducing and rescheduling the debt of the poorest countries; most recently the Paris Club have agreed new terms for countries qualifying for the enhanced Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) Initiative. The arrangements in recent years are:
- Toronto terms agreed by the Paris
   Club in 1988 provided up to 33 per cent
   debt relief on rescheduled official
   bilateral debt owed by the poorest, most
   indebted countries pursuing
   internationally agreed economic reform
   programmes.
- Trinidad terms agreed by the Paris
   Club in 1990 provided up to 50 per cent debt relief.

- Naples terms agreed by the Paris Club in 1994 provided up to 67 per cent debt relief.
- Enhanced Naples/Lyons terms were agreed for countries qualifying for the HIPC debt initiative (see section on debt relief). Paris Club members agreed to increase the amount of debt relief provided to 80 per cent.
- enhanced HIPC initiative was approved in September 1999. Debt relief is provided up to 90 per cent, and more when this is required for countries to achieve debt sustainability, as defined by the HIPC initiative.
- Under the HIPC initiative, debt relief is also granted on CDC loans.

# Developing countries (see map on pages 6-7)

Developing countries are those countries and territories in Part I of the DAC List. They are all countries and territories: in Africa except Libya; in America except the United States, Canada, Aruba, Bahamas, Bermuda, British Virgin Islands, Netherlands Antilles, Cayman Islands and Falkland Islands; in Asia except Japan, Brunei, French Polynesia, Hong Kong, Israel, Kuwait, Macao, New Caledonia, Qatar, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan and United Arab Emirates; in the Pacific except Australia and New Zealand; plus Albania, Armenia. Azerbaijan, Georgia, Malta. Moldova, Turkey and the states ex-Yugoslavia in Europe.

# Development Assistance Committee (DAC)

The Development Assistance Committee of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) is a forum for consultation among 22 donor countries, together with the European Commission, on how to increase the level and effectiveness of aid flows to all aid recipient countries. The member countries are Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, UK and USA.

DAC sets the definitions and criteria for aid statistics internationally.

#### DAC list of aid recipients

This list is in two parts (see pages 8 and 218-220). Part I shows developing countries and territories eligible to receive official development assistance (oda). Part II shows countries and territories eligible to receive official aid (oa). The list is designed for statistical purposes and not as guidance for aid or other preferential treatment. In particular, geographical aid allocations are national policy decisions and responsibilities.

#### **DAC** reporting directives

The 1987 version of the Statistical Directives was modified only slightly before its reissue in June 1995. Since then, the Directives have been revised and rewritten in a more user-

friendly style. The updated version was approved in 2000. The main purpose of the Directives is to encourage comparability of reporting of resource flows by DAC members.

#### **DFID** programmes

This term covers <u>all</u> DFID expenditure on development assistance. DFID programmes comprise

- Bilateral development assistance to developing countries and those on Part II of the <u>DAC List</u>, including activities funded from the two conflict prevention pools (one for Africa and one for the rest of the world) jointly managed by DFID, Ministry of Defence and the FCO
- Multilateral development assistance, including global environmental assistance and the UK's assessed contribution to EC development programmes
- Administration costs.

Total expenditure on these programmes is the sum of expenditure of funds which are voted for development assistance and the attribution to the UK aid budget of EC budgetary spending.

#### **Direct Budget Support (DBS)**

Direct Budget Support is a form of aid in which:

- funds are provided in support of a government programme that focuses on growth and poverty reduction, and transforming institutions, especially budgetary.
- the funds are provided to a partner government to spend using its own financial management and accountability systems.

DBS can take the form of a contribution to the overall budget (sometimes referred to as macro or general budget support) or with notional earmarking (usually referred to as sector budget support).

It is therefore a type of <u>financial aid</u> and can be classified as part of <u>project or sector aid</u> or as a form of <u>programme aid</u>. Information on DBS will be included in future editions of *SID* as it becomes available.

#### **Direct investment**

Direct Investment is investment that adds to, or acquires, a lasting interest in an enterprise operating in an aid recipient country, the investor's purpose being to have an effective voice in the management of the enterprise. It is measured as the change in the net worth of branches, subsidiaries, or associate companies to the investing company.

#### **Environment**

See Global Environment Facility.

### European Development Fund (EDF)

The EDF finances development co-operation between the EC and the African, Caribbean and Pacific States under the Cotonou Agreement, and its predecessor, the Lomé Convention. It is managed by the European Commission but financed on a voluntary basis by member states separately to the regular EC budget.

# Export Credit Guarantee Department (ECGD)

ECGD is an agency of the Department of Trade and Industry and provides insurance for exporters against the main risks in selling overseas, and guarantees to banks providing export finance. It also negotiates debt relief arrangements on commercial debt.

Expenditure by the ECGD is official. That which benefits developing countries is included in other official flows (oof), but is excluded from <u>GPEX</u> as it is not developmental (see diagram on page 3).

#### **Export credits**

Export credits finance the supply of goods and services to aid recipient countries. Only credits with an initial or extended maturity of over one year are included in total resource flows. Private export credits are those made available by the private, bank and non-bank, sector. Guaranteed export credits are those parts of private export credits guaranteed by ECGD.

#### Financial aid

Financial Aid in the wider sense is defined as a grant or loan of money which is the subject of a formal arrangement with the recipient government or institution. In practice it is all bilateral aid other than technical co-operation and administrative costs. SID, this expenditure is further sub-divided into project or sector aid, programme aid, grants and other aid in kind, humanitarian assistance and debt relief with the term 'financial aid' covering only the first two of these. Direct budget support is a form of financial aid which either can be project/sector aid and/or programme aid.

#### Food aid

Britain provides food aid in accordance with obligations accepted under the Food Aid Convention 1999. Under the Convention, the EC and its Member States has an obligation to provide a minimum of 422 million euro, each year, to cover food aid and related transport and operational costs. Under the EC food aid programme 990,000 tonnes of cereal will be provided, the cost of which is attributed to member states in accordance with the normal arrangements for external assistance. Britain's obligation towards food aid and related costs is allocated both bilaterally, a country basis. and on World Food multilaterally through the Programme (WFP).

#### Forms of aid

The main forms of aid in SID are <u>project or</u> sector aid, programme aid, technical co-operation, ATP, grants and other aid in kind, debt relief and humanitarian assistance.

#### **Global Environment Facility (GEF)**

The Global Environment Facility (GEF) is a financial mechanism that provides grant and concessional funds to recipient countries for projects and activities that aim to protect the global environment. It is jointly implemented by UNDP, UNEP and the World Bank. The GEF was launched in 1991 as a pilot programme and restructured and replenished in March 1994. Negotiations for the third replenishment were completed in August 2002. £2.2 billion of new funding was agreed, a 17 per cent increase. The UK share of this over the next four years will be £102 million. In addition, the UK has pledged an additional voluntary contribution of £15 million, bringing the total to £117 million. The UK is now the fourth largest donor to the GEF.

The GEF meets recipients' agreed incremental costs (ie the additional costs of meeting global rather than national environmental benefits) in the following focal areas:

- Climate Change
- Biological Diversity
- International Waters
- Ozone Layer Depletion (for countries with economies in transition)

- Persistent Organic Pollutants
- Land Degradation

It was agreed by DAC members that 84 per cent of contributions to GEF in the period 1994-1997 be reported as official development assistance (oda). From 1998-2001, 75 per cent of contributions counted as oda and the remaining 25 per cent as official aid. From 2002, 77 per cent of contributions count as oda and 23 per cent as official aid.

#### **Grant element**

The grant element is a mathematical summarisation of the financial terms of a transaction or set of transactions. It is measured as the difference between the face value of a loan and the present value, calculated at a rate of discount of 10 per cent, of the service payments to be made by the borrower during the lifetime of the loan, expressed as a percentage of the face value.

#### Grants and other aid in kind

This covers:

- Support to the development work of UK and international voluntary organisations, grants to the British Council and for other development work by UK institutions, and nonemergency special appeals through multilateral agencies.
- Small Grants Scheme (an amalgamation of the former British Partnership Scheme and the Heads of Mission Gift Scheme). The Small

Grants Scheme allows Heads of UK Diplomatic Missions to finance projects in line with DFID objectives costing up to £100,000 a year, with an annual ceiling which is normally £200,000.

- Funding of land and geological surveys in developing countries.
- Provision of books, equipment and other supplies in addition to those supplied through the Small Grants Scheme.

# Grants by private voluntary agencies

This comprises all expenditure by UK voluntary agencies on development assistance and relief to recipient countries, to multilateral agencies or to private international organisations for the benefit of recipient countries net of any support from official sources.

### Gross National Income (GNI) formerly Gross National Product (GNP)

GNI comprises the total value of goods and services produced within a country (ie its Gross Domestic Product or GDP), together with income received from other countries (notably interest and dividends), less similar payments made to other countries.

The new system of National Accounts (SNA 1993) co-sponsored by the OECD and other

major international organisations broadened the coverage of Gross National Product and it was renamed Gross National Income. The change in nomenclature for aid reporting did not take place until 2000 when all DAC donors would be using the new system.

### Gross Public Expenditure on Development (GPEX)

GPEX is expenditure by all official UK sources i.e. government departments and public bodies such as <u>CDC</u>, on aid to developing countries and countries on Part II of the <u>DAC List</u>, which meets the criteria for developmental <u>official flows</u> agreed by the DAC.

#### **Guaranteed export credits**

See Export credits.

#### **High income countries (HIC)**

See Income groups and pages 218-220.

#### **Humanitarian assistance**

Humanitarian assistance generally involves the provision of material aid (including food, medical care and personnel) and finance and advice to:

- save lives and prevent suffering
- hasten recovery, and protect and rebuild livelihoods and communities
- reduce risks and vulnerability to future crises

DFID provides funding to certain UN agencies for their humanitarian assistance programmes in individual countries. These amounts are recorded as bilateral disaster relief as DFID has influence over the use and destination of the funds.

DFID also channels assistance through the World Food Programme and civil society organisations as a means of providing humanitarian assistance, including emergency food aid to individual countries. These contributions are recorded as bilateral food aid.

#### Income groups

The classification of aid recipient countries by income groups is based on GNI per capita figures in 1998 according to the thresholds set out below. These thresholds are identical to those used by the World Bank as follows:

**low income group**: countries with a GNI per capita in 1998 of below \$760;

lower middle income group: countries with a GNI per capita in 1998 of \$761 or above but not exceeding \$3030;

upper middle income group: countries with a GNI per capita in 1998 of \$3031 or above but not exceeding \$9360;

**high income group**: countries with a GNP per capita in 1998 of \$9361 or above.

It should be noted however that there is a range of circumstances affecting each country and a large number of poor people live in middle income countries.

#### Interest stabilisation grant

Payments by the <u>ECGD</u> to commercial banks to enable them to maintain internationally agreed interest rates for export credits for trade with aid recipient countries. This is included in <u>other official flows</u> (oof) but is excluded from <u>GPEX</u> as it is not developmental.

#### Joint Funding Scheme (JFS)

The Joint Funding Scheme offered financial assistance to UK based charitable organsiations working in the developing world. Support was on a matched funding basis, usually 50:50 and could be either long or short term. Five major UK agencies and five volunteer sending agencies organizations received annual block or allocations under volunteer grant The JFS closed for new scheme. applications in May 1999 and was replaced by the Civil Society Challenge Fund in April 2000. A small number of projects are still being supported but the JFS is expected to end in 2004.

#### Least developed country (LDC)

In the mid 1960s, 24 developing countries were identified as having particularly severe long term constraints on development. They were assessed on three criteria: per capita GDP, manufacturing base and literacy. Inclusion on the list of LDCs is now assessed on two main criteria: economic diversity and quality of life. The total number of LDCs at 31 March 2003 was 49 and they are identified on pages 218-220.

#### Low income countries (LIC)

See Income groups and pages 218-220.

# Lower middle income countries (LMIC)

See Income groups and pages 218-220.

#### Montreal Protocol

The Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer is a legally binding multilateral agreement to eliminate or reduce the use of chemicals which damage the ozone layer. The Protocol was established in 1987. Its control regime has been progressively tightened in the light of new scientific evidence and developing countries are now entering the compliance period.

The Protocol explicitly recognises different capabilities and needs of developing countries. Through the Multilateral Fund of the Protocol, provision is made for the transfer of appropriate technologies and skills to enable industry in developing countries to convert to ozone friendly alternatives. Assistance is also given with institutional strengthening to ensure that the necessary policies, strategies institutional and framework for the phasing out of ozone depleting substances (ODS) are in place at the national level.

The Multilateral Fund was established to meet, by grant or concessional lending, the agreed incremental costs to developing countries of their phasing out of ODS. The UK is the fifth biggest donor with a commitment of £15.86 million (6 per cent of the Fund) for the period 2000-2002. In the November 2002 negotiations, £21.73 million was committed for the period 2003-05. The Fund's four Implementing Agencies are the World Bank, UNDP, UNIDO and UNEP.

It has been agreed by DAC members that 100 per cent of contributions to the Montreal Protocol may be reported as oda from 1994.

#### Multilateral aid

This is aid channelled through international bodies for use in or on behalf of aid recipient countries. For those international bodies whose activities benefit both developing and developed countries, only that part of the UK contribution estimated to be for development oriented activities in the former is reckoned as aid. The proportion reckoned as aid for each agency is agreed by the DAC.

Aid channelled through multilateral agencies is regarded as bilateral where DFID controls the use and destination of the funds. This relates mainly to humanitarian assistance delivered through UN agencies, including the World Food Programme.

#### Naples terms

See Debt relief.

#### Official aid (oa)

This is the equivalent for countries on Part II of the DAC List of official development

assistance to countries on Part I of the DAC List ie developing countries. To qualify as official aid, resource flows should have the same concessional and qualitative features as oda.

# Official development assistance (oda)

Official development assistance is defined as those flows to developing countries and multilateral institutions provided by official agencies or by their executive agencies, each transaction of which meets the following tests:

- it is administered with the promotion of the economic development and welfare of developing countries as its main objective; and
- it is <u>concessional</u> in character and conveys a <u>grant element</u> of at least 25 per cent.

Official development assistance is shown both gross and net of loan repayments. Only aid to countries on Part I of the <u>DAC List</u> is eligible to be recorded as oda.

The UN target for aid (0.7 per cent), endorsed in 1970 by the UN General Assembly, is expressed in terms of net oda (ie after deduction of loan capital (ie principal) repayments) as a percentage of <u>GNI</u>.

#### Other official flows (oof)

Other official flows are defined as flows to aid recipient countries by the official sector which

do not satisfy both the criteria necessary for oda or official aid.

#### Partly funded

Related to **Personnel** - see **Technical co**operation.

## Partnership Programme Agreements (PPAs)

These are strategic level agreements between DFID and UK <u>civil society</u> <u>organisations</u> with which it has significant working relationships and shared objectives. The PPA sets out at a strategic level how the two partners will work together to meet the Millennium Development Goals.

#### Personnel

See Technical co-operation.

#### **Phare**

The Phare programme is a European Union initiative which provides grant finance to the countries of Eastern Europe to help their transition to democracy and assist with the institutional reform required to meet the standards for membership of the European Union.

### Policy Information Marker System (PIMS)

DFID's spending departments mark new bilateral project commitments according to which policy areas they target. This system is described in the PIMS section on pages 188-195.

#### **Private export credits**

See Export credits.

#### **Private flows**

Private flows are long term (over one year) capital transactions by UK residents (as defined for balance of payment purposes) with aid recipient countries, or through multilateral agencies for the benefit of such countries. They include all forms of investment, including bank lending and export credits where the original maturity exceeds one year. Private flows are reported to DAC separately for direct investment, export credits and bank lending.

#### Programme aid

Programme aid is financial assistance specifically to fund (i) a range of general imports, or (ii) an integrated programme of support for a particular sector, or (iii) discrete elements of recipient's budgetary а expenditure. In each case, support is provided as part of, or in conjunction with, a Bank/IMF World coordinated macroeconomic or sectoral adjustment/reform programme.

#### Project or sector aid

Project aid finances investment schemes primarily designed to increase the physical capital of the recipient country, including contributions for local and recurrent costs. It also includes the cost of certain pensions and related payments where the UK has taken over responsibilities from the governments of developing countries. "Projects" in this sense exclude technical co-operation.

Sector-wide programmes (typically in education, health or agriculture) comprise a combination of forms of assistance including direct budget support, financial assistance in the form of projects and technical cooperation.

#### **Promissory notes**

Capital subscriptions to the World Bank, the regional development banks, the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the International Fund for Agricultural Development, GEF and the Montreal Protocol are made in the form of promissory notes which are deposited by DFID mainly with the Bank of England. They are subsequently cashed by the agency when needed. The disbursements recorded against DFID programmes and GPEX are at the time of encashment; those recorded as oda or official aid are at the time of deposit.

#### Refinancing

See Debt relief.

#### Rescheduling

See Debt relief.

#### Resource accounting

Resource Accounts are an accrual-based approach to Government accounting that adopts a commercial style of preparation in line with generally accepted accounting practice. Accruals accounting is a method of recording expenditure as it is incurred. not when it is paid out, and income as it is earned, not when it is received. Resource Accounting requires departments to report on and manage all assets and liabilities and takes account of non-cash charges not previously recognised under cash accounting such as depreciation and capital charges. Resource accounts also provide information on how resources have been used to meet objectives.

Under Resource Accounting, expenditure is recorded at the time goods and services are consumed rather than when payments are brought to book. Under the earlier cash accounting conventions it was possible for advance payments to be attributed to a particular financial year provided they were accounted for in the two months following the end of that year. Historically quite a number of payments have been handled in this way, mainly relating to overseas accounts and grants to NGOs, averaging £127m per annum in each of the last four financial years. However, this practice ceased in 2002 and so, for 2001/02, there was no information available on these "prior year" adjustments. From 2001/02 onwards, in cash terms, all payments have been counted in the year they are made. As SID continues to be produced on a cash basis in line with international reporting practices,

this means that 2001/02 was a unique year. It was 'light' by the amount of what under the old system would have been prior year adjustments, which have instead been attributed to 2002/03. 2002/03 is the starting point for a new consistent series.

#### **Resource flows**

The flow of resources to aid recipient countries is a term used in DAC reporting and corresponds broadly to the following transactions with recipient countries and multilateral institutions (for the benefit of recipient countries).

- Grants and long term capital transactions effected by governments or the official sector of DAC countries;
- Private long term capital transactions effected by residents of DAC countries;
- Grants by private voluntary agencies (often referred to as "private grants").

#### It excludes:

military equipment or services:
 grants, official loans, or credits
 (guaranteed or not) for the supply or
 financing of military equipment or
 services. However from 1993
 onwards forgiveness of debt
 generated by military lending is
 recorded as <u>oof</u>.

- transfers to private individuals: transfer payments to private individuals are not included unless they are part of technical co-operation or relief programmes.
- transfers by private individuals: private payments are excluded except for grants by private voluntary organisations for development assistance and welfare purposes (see third bullet above).

#### **Retrospective Terms Adjustment**

See Debt relief.

#### Soft Ioan

A loan of which the terms are more favourable to the borrower than those currently attached to commercial market terms. It is described as concessional and the degree of concessionality is expressed as its grant element.

#### **Tacis**

The Tacis programme is a European Union initiative which aims to foster economic and political links between the European Union and the newly independent states of Central Asia and the former Soviet Union.

#### **Technical co-operation (TC)**

Technical co-operation is the provision of know-how in the form of personnel, training, research and associated costs. It covers:

- **Personnel:** the provision of assistance to recipient countries in the form of specialists, including consultants and volunteers. Personnel costs include passages, salaries, and other allowances which may be wholly funded from DFID programmes or partly funded as supplements to payments made by recipient governments or institutions. Personnel may be engaged long term (one year or more) or short term (less than one vear).
- **Training** and scholarships: provision of assistance in the form of training for persons from aid recipient countries. The training may be provided in the UK, in the home country of the student, or in a third country. Training provided under the Commonwealth Scholarship and Fellowship Plan, the Shared Scholarship Scheme and Training through Country Programmes is managed directly by, or on behalf of, Training costs include fees, DFID. passages, living expenses and other allowances. Similar training is provided under programmes managed by other institutions in the UK but to which DFID makes grants. The support provided by DFID is recorded as expenditure for training.

Increasingly training is also being provided by means of short in-country courses as part of, or in association with, country projects. This is not fully captured at present in the statistics on training. The costs of these activities are included under other categories.

 Knowledge and research: includes grants for agreed programmes of research and development at UK institutions (sometimes in collaboration with non-UK institutions) which will be of benefit to assisted countries.

#### **Toronto and Trinidad terms**

See Debt relief.

# Training through country programmes

Training through country programmes is the main instrument used by DFID to provide training. It is separately tailored under an annual country training specification for each developing country and is an integral part of the country aid programme, linked to UK aid projects or to meet other priority needs.

# Upper middle income countries (UMIC)

See Income groups and pages 218-220.

#### **Volunteers**

Volunteers are experienced professionals sponsored by various other organisations. They usually receive a local salary from the government of the country in which they work but may also receive a small allowance from their sponsoring agency.

#### Voted funds

Voted funds are those funds approved by Parliament for public expenditure. Details are contained in the supply estimates.

#### Wholly funded

Relates to **Personnel** - see **Technical co**operation.

#### **World Bank**

The term World Bank is commonly used to refer to the International Bank Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) and the International Development Association The World Bank has two other (IDA). agencies, the International Finance Corporation (IFC) and the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA). Together these organisations are referred to as the World Bank Group.

#### ABBREVIATIONS

ATP Aid and Trade Provision

CDI Commonwealth Debt Initiative
CSCF Civil Society Challenge Fund
CSO Civil Society Organisation

DAC Development Assistance Committee
DFID Department for International Development
DOTS Directly Observed Treatment Short-Course

EBRD European Bank for Reconstruction and Development

EC European Community

ECGD Export Credit Guarantee Department

EDF European Development Fund

FAO Food and Agricultural Organisation FCO Foreign and Commonwealth Office

GEA Global Environmental Assistance
GEF Global Environment Facility
GDP Gross Domestic Product
GNI Gross National Income

GPEX Gross Public Expenditure on Development

HIPC Heavily Indebted Poor Countries

ICRC International Committee of the Red Cross IDA International Development Association

IFAD International Fund for Agricultural Development

IMF International Monetary Fund

LDC Least Developed Country

MDG Millennium Development Goal

NPV Net Present Value

NRI Natural Resources Institute

oa Official Aid

oda Official Development Assistance

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

oof Other Official Flows

PIMS Policy Information Marker System

PAM Poverty Aim Marker

SID Statistics on International Development

SPA Strategic Partnership with Africa

TC Technical Co-operation

UN United Nations

UNDCP United Nations Drugs Control Programmes

UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation

UNHCR UN High Commission for Refugees

UNICEF UN Children's Fund

UNRWA UN Agency for Palestinian Refugees

VSO Voluntary Service Overseas

WFP World Food Programme

### Political and Economic Status of Aid Recipient Countries

(as at 31 December 2002)

The following list comprises countries which appear in *Statistics on International Development* and the List of Aid Recipients of the Development Assistance Committee of OECD (DAC List).

	Compone all 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	°O M	Compoundally, 1998 Mooth, 400, 020, 020, 020, 020, 020, 020, 020
Afghanistan	. L ✓ I .	. Cayman Islands	OT H . II
Albania	. LM . I .	. Central African Republic	. L 🗸 I 🗸 🗸
Algeria	. LM . I .	. Chad	. L 🗸 I 🗸 🗸
Angola	. L ✓ I .	✓ Chile	. UM . I
Anguilla	OT UM . I .	. China	. L . I
Antigua & Barbuda	I UM . I .	. Colombia	. LM . I
Argentina	. UM . I .	. Comoros	. L 🗸 I 🗸 .
Armenia	. L . I .	. Congo	. L . I 🗸 🗸
Azerbaijan	. L . I .	. Congo (Dem. Rep.)	. L 🗸 I . 🗸
Bahamas	I H . II .	. Cook Islands	O UM . I
Bangladesh	ı L ✓ ı .	. Costa Rica	. LM . I
Barbados	I UM . I .	. Cote d'Ivoire	. L . I 🗸 🗸
Belarus	. LM . II .	. Croatia	. UM . I
Belize	I LM . I .	. Cuba	. LM . I
Benin	. L 🗸 I 🗸	✓ Cyprus	I H . II
Bermuda	OT H . II .	. Czech Republic	. UM . II
Bhutan	. L ✓ I .	. Djibouti	. LM 🗸 I
Bolivia	. LM . I .	✓ Dominica	I LM . I
Bosnia - Herzegovina	. LM . I .	. Dominican Republic	. LM . I
Botswana	I UM . I .	. East Timor	. LM . I
Brazil	. UM . I .	. Ecuador	. LM . I
British Virgin Islands	OT H . II .	. Egypt	. LM . I
Brunei	I H . II .	. El Salvador	. LM . I
Bulgaria	. LM . II .	. Equatorial Guinea	. LM 🗸 I
Burkina Faso	. L 🗸 I 🗸	✓ Eritrea	. L 🗸 I 🗸 .
Burma	. L ✓ I .	✓ Estonia	. UM . II
Burundi	. L ✓ I ✓	✓ Ethiopia	. L 🗸 I 🗸 🗸
Cambodia	. L ✓ I .	. Falkland Islands	OT H . II
Cameroon	I L . I ✓	✓ Fiji <sup>7</sup>	I LM . I
Cape Verde	. LM ✓ I .	. Gabon	. UM . I

- 1. Commonwealth countries are divided into 3 types: Independent Commonwealth (I), Overseas territory (OT) and Other (O).
- 2. There are 4 Income Groups: L = Low, LM = Lower Middle, UM = Upper Middle, H = High.
- 3. LDC = Least Developed Country.
- 4. The DAC List is in two parts. Part I shows developing countries and territories eligible to receive official development assistance. Part II countries eligible to receive official aid.
- 5. SPA = Strategic Partnership with Africa.
- 6. HIPC = Heavily Indebted Poor Countries.
- 7. DFID's bilateral programme to the Pacific is provided under a single regional programme, DAC country status is shown for information.

### Political and Economic Status of Aid Recipient Countries (continued)

The following list comprises countries which appear in *Statistics on International Development* and the List of Aid Recipients of the Development Assistance Committee of OECD (DAC List).

	Commonwealth,	(Oct. 0)	OAC, JOHO?	Spass	W <sub>Co</sub>		Compone	1998 H	70 mon 5007	OAC,	Spass	,00 M/DC0
Gambia	I L	✓	I	✓		Lithuania	-	LM		II		
Georgia	. LM		I			Macedonia (FYR of)	•	LM		I		
Ghana	I L	-	I	✓	✓	Madagascar		L	✓	I	✓	✓
Gibraltar	OT H		II	-		Malawi	1	L	✓	I	✓	✓
Grenada	I UN	1.	I			Malaysia	I	UM	٠	I		•
Guatemala	. LN	1.	I			Maldives	1	LM	✓	I		
Guinea	. 1	_ <	I	✓	✓	Mali		L	✓	I	✓	✓
Guinea-Bissau	. 1	_ <	I	✓	✓	Malta	1	Н		I		
Guyana	I LN	1.	I		✓	Mauritania	•	L	✓	I	✓	✓
Haiti	. 1	- <b>✓</b>	I	-		Mauritius	I	UM		I		•
Honduras			I		✓	Mexico		UM		I		•
Hong Kong	. H	1.	II			Moldova		L		I		•
Hungary	. UN	1.	II			Mongolia		L		I		
India	l l	- •	I			Montserrat	ОТ	UM		I		
Indonesia	. 1	- •	I			Morocco	-	LM		I		•
Iran	. LN	1.	I			Mozambique	ı	L	✓	I	✓	✓
Iraq	. LN	1.	I			Namibia	1	LM		I		
Israel	. F	١.	II			Nepal		L	✓	I		
Jamaica	I LN	1.	I			Nicaragua		L		I		✓
Jordan	. LN	1.	I			Niger	-	L	✓	I	✓	✓
Kazakhstan	. LN	1.	I			Nigeria	1	L		I		
Kenya	l l		I	✓	✓	Oman		UM		I		
Kiribati <sup>7</sup>	I LN	1 🗸	I			Pakistan	I	L		I		
Korea (North)	. 1		I			Panama		UM		I		
Kyrgyzstan	. 1		I	-		Papua New Guinea <sup>7</sup>	1	LM		I		•
Laos		_ ✓	I		✓	Paraguay	•	LM		Ι		
Latvia	. LN	1.	II			Peru		LM		I		
Lebanon	. UN	1.	I			Philippines		LM		I		
Lesotho	l l	_ <	I			Pitcairn <sup>7</sup>	OT	LM		I		
Liberia	. 1	_ ✓	I		✓	Poland		UM		II		•

- 1. Commonwealth countries are divided into 3 types: Independent Commonwealth (I), Overseas territory (OT) and Other (O).
- 2. There are 4 Income Groups: L = Low, LM = Lower Middle, UM = Upper Middle, H = High.
- 3. LDC = Least Developed Country.
- 4. The DAC List is in two parts. Part I shows developing countries and territories eligible to receive official development assistance. Part II countries eligible to receive official aid.
- 5. SPA = Strategic Partnership with Africa.
- 6. HIPC = Heavily Indebted Poor Countries.
- 7. DFID's bilateral programme to the Pacific is provided under a single regional programme, DAC country status is shown for information.

### Political and Economic Status of Aid Recipient Countries (continued)

The following list comprises countries which appear in *Statistics on International Development* and the List of Aid Recipients of theDevelopment Assistance Committee of OECD (DAC List).

	Common.	1988/11	(D <sub>C3</sub> "COMP <sub>G5</sub>	DAC, OUDS	Sp (Sp4	MPCo		o di	1998, 111,	COC MODIE GE	ONC, OUDS	Spasson	MPCo
Romania		LM		II			Tajikistan		L		I		
Russian Federation		LM		II			Tanzania	I	L	✓	I	✓	✓
Rwanda		L	✓	I	✓	✓	Thailand		LM		I		
Samoa (Western) <sup>7</sup>	1	LM	✓	I			Togo		L	✓	I	✓	✓
Sao Tome & Principe		L	✓	I	✓	✓	Tonga <sup>7</sup>	1	LM	•	I		
Senegal		L	✓	I	✓	✓	Trinidad & Tobago	1	UM		I	•	
Serbia & Montenegro		LM		I			Tunisia		LM		I		
Seychelles	1	UM		I			Turkey		UM		I		
Sierra Leone	I	L	✓	I	✓	✓	Turkmenistan		L		I		
Singapore	I	Н		II			Turks & Caicos Islands	ОТ	UM		I	•	•
Slovak Republic		LM		II			Tuvalu <sup>′</sup>	1	L	✓	I		
Slovenia		Н		I			Uganda	1	L	✓	I	✓	✓
Solomon Islands <sup>1</sup>	I	L	✓	I			Ukraine		LM		II		
Somalia		L	✓	I		✓	United Arab Emirates		Н		II		
South Africa	1	LM		I			Uruguay		UM	•	I		•
Sri Lanka	ı	LM		I			Uzbekistan	·	LM		I		•
St Helena & Dependencies	ОТ	UM		I			Vanuatu <sup>′</sup>	I	LM	✓	I		•
St Kitts-Nevis	1	UM		I			Venezuela		UM		I		•
St Lucia	I	UM		I			Vietnam		L		I		✓
St Vincent & Grenadines	I	LM		I			West Bank & Gaza		LM	•	I		•
Sudan		L	✓	I		✓	Yemen	•	L	✓	I		✓
Surinam		LM		I			Zambia	I	L	✓	I	✓	✓
Swaziland	1	LM		I			Zimbabwe	I	L		I		•
Syria		LM		I									
Taiwan		Н		II									

- 1. Commonwealth countries are divided into 3 types: Independent Commonwealth (I), Overseas territory (OT) and Other (O).
- 2. There are 4 Income Groups: L = Low, LM = Lower Middle, UM = Upper Middle, H = High.
- 3. LDC = Least Developed Country.
- 4. The DAC List is in two parts. Part I shows developing countries and territories eligible to receive official development assistance. Part II countries eligible to receive official aid.
- 5. SPA = Strategic Partnership with Africa.
- 6. HIPC = Heavily Indebted Poor Countries.
- 7. DFID's bilateral programme to the Pacific is provided under a single regional programme, DAC country status is shown for information.

#### Other Sources of Development Statistics and International Indicators

#### **Development Assistance Committee (DAC)**

**DAC Chairman's Report** Development Co-operation Report 2003

**DAC "Big Book"** Geographical Distribution of Financial Flows to Aid

Recipients 1997-2001 (Book and CD-Rom)

**DAC Internet site** http://www.oecd.org/dac/stats

http://www.oecd.org/dac/goals

#### World Bank (WB)

**WDI** World Development Indicators (Book and CD-Rom) 2003

**WDR** World Development Report 2003

WB Atlas World Bank Atlas 2003

#### United Nations (UN)

**UNESCO** Statistical Yearbook 2002

**HDR** Human Development Report 2003

**UNICEF** State of the World's Children 2003



**The Department for International Development (DFID)** is the UK government department responsible for promoting sustainable development and reducing poverty. The central focus of the government's policy, based on the 1997 and 2000 White Papers on International Development, is a commitment to the internationally agreed Millennium Development Goals, to be achieved by 2015.

These seek to:

Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger Achieve universal primary education Promote gender equality and empower women Reduce child mortality Improve maternal health Combat HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases Ensure environmental sustainability Develop a global partnership for development

DFID's assistance is concentrated in the poorest countries of sub-Saharan Africa and Asia, but also contributes to poverty reduction and sustainable development in middle-income countries, including those in Latin America and Eastern Europe.

DFID works in partnership with governments committed to the Millennium Development Goals, with civil society, the private sector and the research community. It also works with multilateral institutions, including the World Bank, United Nations agencies, and the European Commission.

DFID has headquarters in London and East Kilbride, offices in many developing countries, and staff based in British embassies and high commissions around the world.

DFID, 1 Palace Street, London SW1E 5HE DFID, Abercrombie House, Eaglesham Road, East Kilbride, Glasgow G75 8EA

Tel: +44 (0) 20 7023 0000 Fax: +44 (0) 20 7023 0019

Public Enquiry Point: 0845 300 4100 (from outside the UK: + 44 1355 84 3132) Email: enquiry@dfid.gov.uk

DFID website: enquiry@dfid.gov.uk www.dfid.gov.uk

