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• The Importance of Climate in Crop Insurance
• Climate Mapping with PRISM - overview
• PRISM RMA Weather and Climate Portal
• How growers and others can contribute to our maps
• Crop Suitability Mapping
• The 2012 Plant Hardiness Zone Map
Typical Cause-of-Loss Language

- “Crop could not be planted due to excessively wet conditions”
- “Crop failed due to insufficient moisture”
- “Harvest was delayed and crop quality was reduced due to cold and wet weather”
- “Crop was damaged due to unusually hot weather”

Given a changing climate, how do claims managers evaluate whether an event was unusual?
Answer: Place Weather Events in their Climatological Context

- Weather
- Climate

Pyramid:
- Recent Memory (e.g., last 10 yrs)
- Single Year

Levels:
- Daily
- Monthly
- Annual
Why are the Spatial Aspects of Weather and Climate Important?

- The location of interest is often not represented by a nearby weather station.
- Variations in geographic factors can create large differences in climate or weather over small distances.

We need detailed weather and climate maps so that we can see what has been happening on any given field over various times scales.
What is Climate Mapping?

The process of interpolating climate statistics at irregularly-spaced station locations to a regular grid

“Geospatial Climatology”
The study of the spatial and temporal patterns of climate on the earth’s surface and their causes
Good Climate Mapping Makes for Good Weather Mapping

• Climate provides a long-term context for weather events

• Weather is a variation on typical climate conditions

• The spatial patterns of long-term climate inform the spatial patterns of weather ("Climate Fingerprint")

Different values, but similar spatial pattern
PRISM
Digital Climate Maps

• The world’s most advanced climate mapping science

• Developed and operated by the PRISM Climate Group, Oregon State University

• Official climate maps of the USDA
  • Funded by NRCS since 1993 and RMA since 2010
PRISM

- PRISM spatial climate knowledge base accounts for spatial variations in climate due to:
  - **Elevation** – lapse rates
  - **Terrain orientation** – rain shadows
  - **Terrain profile** – terrain enhancement of precipitation
  - **Moisture regime** – exposure to moisture sources
  - **Coastal proximity** – marine air intrusion
  - **Two-layer atmosphere** – inversion layer, free atmosphere
  - **Topographic position** – susceptibility to cold air pooling
Inversions and Agriculture – 1971-00 July Minimum Temperature Northwestern California

Ridges: Wine grapes
Valleys: Tree fruit
Products Guided by PRISM
Climatologies

Spatial Forecasts

National Weather Service Gridded Forecasts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>High °F</th>
<th>Low °F</th>
<th>Precip. Chance</th>
<th>High Temperature °F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tonight</td>
<td>Mostly Clear</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>39°</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>High not valid after 2pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue Oct 6</td>
<td>Sunny</td>
<td>69°</td>
<td>44°</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>69°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed Oct 7</td>
<td>Partly Cloudy</td>
<td>68°</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>68°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thu Oct 8</td>
<td>Sunny</td>
<td>71°</td>
<td>41°</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>71°F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PRISM Datasets are Heavily Used to Guide Spatial Projections of Climate Change

The Great Plains currently experiences a sharp precipitation gradient from east to west, from more than 50 inches of precipitation per year in eastern Oklahoma and Texas to less than 10 inches in some of the western parts of the region.

Northern areas of the Great Plains are projected to experience a wetter climate by the end of this century, while southern areas are projected to experience a drier climate. The change in precipitation is compared with a 1960-1979 baseline. Confidence in the projected changes is highest in the hatched areas.

Source: US Global Change Research Program report, 2009
Ground-Breaking Research: Spatial Patterns of Climate Change at the Landscape Scale

Projected December Maximum Temperature Change
HJ Andrews Experimental Forest, Oregon
CONUS 1895-present Monthly Climate Time Series

116 years of monthly precipitation, min and max temperature, dew point, and vapor pressure

PRISM Mean Annual Minimum Temperature
122W, 44.5N - Oregon Cascades
PRISM Support for Crop Insurance Compliance

Provide high-quality weather and climate maps to expedite claims

- Did the claimed damaging event occur?
  - Short time scale: Daily and monthly PRISM maps over the lower 48 states in near real time

- Was the event unusual enough to support a loss claim?
  - Long time scale: Climatic context for the event

- Make the assessment process quick and easy
  - Web-based tools
PRISM/RMA Weather & Climate Portal

• New portal being developed for RMA
  – Provides fast access to quality climate data
  – Incorporates usability engineering so it's easier to use and produces info relevant to RMA needs
• Initial prototype focuses on 4 key ways users need to find and apply weather data:
  Check recent conditions
  View national-level precipitation and temperature patterns
  See how recent conditions compare with historical patterns
  View summary assessment
  Select a particular location and time period
  Compare average conditions with 10- or 30-year data
  Explore detailed data
  View plots of time-series data for a selected location
  Download time-series data corresponding to that location
  Generate customized reports for prevented planting
  Select a 16-month "insurance period" and location
  Get an on-demand report analyzing precipitation patterns
Task 1: Check Recent Conditions

Daily Mean Temperature Anomaly: 01 January 2012 - 12 January 2012
Period ending 7 AM EST 12 Jan 2012
Base period: 1971-2000
(Map created 13 Jan 2012)

Temperature Anomaly (°F)
-12-11 11-10 10-9 9-8 8-7 7-6 6-5 5-4 4-3 3-2 2-1 1-0 0-1 1-2 2-3 3-4 4-5 5-6 6-7 7-8 8-9 9-10 10-11 11-12

Base period: 1971-2000
(Map created 13 Jan 2012)
Map Interface – Summary Assessment

Time period
- **Daily time period** (current limit is 16 months)
  - Starts: 2011 December 10
  - Runs through: 2011 December 10
  - Data for this date is ...
- **Monthly time period** (current limit is 16 months)
  - Starts: 2010 April
  - Runs through: 2010 November
  - Data for this date is **unlikely to change**

Compare to
- Prior 10 years
- 30-year normals (1971-2000)

Location
- **State & County**: North Carolina Wake
- **PLSS**: North Carolina Wake
- **Coordinates**: Latitude: 35.79 Longitude: -78.6503

View Assessment

Click to select. Click & drag to pan. Use mouse wheel to zoom.

- Latitude: 35.79 Longitude: -78.6503
## Summary Assessment: Raleigh, NC 2010 Tobacco Season

**Start Date:** April 2010  
**End Date:** November 2010

Assessment Basis: 30-year normals (1971-2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Precipitation (total)</strong>*</td>
<td>26.42&quot;</td>
<td>30.81&quot;</td>
<td>85.8%</td>
<td>23/30 = Dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean Temperature</strong></td>
<td>70.5°F</td>
<td>67.5°F</td>
<td>+3.0°F</td>
<td>1/30 = Unusually Warm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum Temperature</strong></td>
<td>82.1°F</td>
<td>78.9°F</td>
<td>+3.2°F</td>
<td>1/30 = Unusually Warm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum Temperature</strong></td>
<td>59.0°F</td>
<td>56.2°F</td>
<td>+2.8°F</td>
<td>1/30 = Unusually Warm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[How data stability is calculated]  
[How normals are calculated]  
[How rank is used]
# Classification Scheme – 30 Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precipitation</th>
<th>Percentile Range</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unusually Wet</td>
<td>90-100&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Unusually Warm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>70-90&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Warm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>30-70&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Typical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>10-30&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusually Dry</td>
<td>0-10&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Unusually Cool</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rank**
Task 3: View Detailed Data

- Download data to a spreadsheet

Location: Lat: 46.2507 Lon: -119.1143 (Washington - Franklin County); T9N R30E Sec 19
Start Date: 15 October 2011 Data for this date is likely to change
End Date: 10 December 2011 Data for this date is preliminary
Assessment Basis: Prior 10 years (2001-2010)

Temperature °F (maximum, mean, minimum)
View or download data values

10-year averages are available only as monthly values, so they appear as flat lines on the plot

Dec 10 2011
- Max temp: 29.6F
- 10-yr avg max: 40.1F
- Mean temp: 25.8F
- 10-yr avg mean: 34F
- Min temp: 22F
- 10-yr avg min: 27.9F

Mouseover to view individual values
Click-and-drag to zoom
"Reset zoom" link restores full display
Task 4: Generate Customized Report

- Select a 16-month "insurance period" and location
- Get an on-demand prevented planting report
- Future plans
  - Other types of reports
Customized Report: Interactive Tables and Graphs

**Figure 3.** Precipitation conditions at the parcel for the 16-month period leading up to and including May 2010, compared to the averages over the 10- and 30-year periods. Mouse over a column to see the data value.

**Figure 4.** Cumulative precipitation conditions at the parcel over five time periods leading up to and including May 2010, expressed as a percentage of the 10- and 30-year averages. Mouse over a column to see the data value.
Customized Report: Maps of Cumulative Precipitation Compared to Normal
SUMMARY

This document provides an analysis of the precipitation conditions for a parcel in Brookings County, SD and vicinity over the 16 months leading up to and including May 2009.

For the 16-month period of February 2008 through May 2009, compared to months in the 30-year normal period (1971-2000), 11 months were classified as typical, 2 months were wet or unusually wet, and 3 months were dry or unusually dry. Compared to months in the 10-year period, 9 months were typical, 3 months were wet or unusually wet, and 4 months were dry or unusually dry.

Cumulative precipitation at the parcel also was calculated, starting at May 2009 and working backward. Compared to the 30-year normal period (1971-2000), the 16-month accumulation was typical, the 12-, 6-, and 3- month periods were dry to typical, and the 1-month accumulation (May 2009 only) was typical. Compared to the 10-year period (1998-2008), the 16-month accumulation was dry, the 12-, 6-, and 3- month periods were unusually dry to dry, and the 1-month accumulation was dry.
Next Steps for Portal

• Currently in prototype stage

• Open to RMA offices only

• Taking feedback from RMA “early adopters”

• Plan to open portal to AIP early adopters for review and comment in March 2012

• Long range plan – open up (at least partially) to growers
How Can Growers Participate in the Weather and Climate Assessment Process?

• Growers live and breath the weather!
• Many take their own precipitation measurements
• We cannot accept these measurements because of unknown methods and equipment
• But there is now a way for them to participate...
Community Collaborative Rain, Hail & Snow Network

CoCoRaHS

Community Collaborative Rain, Hail & Snow Network

Photo: Christy Johnson
CoCoRaHS

Citizen observers use simple 4” plastic rain gauges to measure precipitation.

The network has suddenly become the nation’s largest source of daily precipitation measurements (15,000+ observers)

Data are QC’ed every day and incorporated into PRISM weather and climate datasets
Rainfall

Hail

Snowfall
Getting Started

• Anyone can join CoCoRaHS
  – Buy a <$30 rain gauge

• We encourage growers to participate, and contribute data from their farms!

• To get started, go to
  http://cocorahs.org
PRISM Support for Crop Insurance Underwriting: Crop Suitability Maps

- Develop suitability maps based on climate and soils
  - Relative yield potential (0-100%) based on climate and soil conditions
  - Provide a climatic “reality check” for yield guarantees (currently based on grower’s reported yield history)
  - Should a current crop be insured, or is too risky?
  - Where can a new biofuel feedstock be grown successfully?
Goal: Improve the marketing of US-grown grass seeds in China by developing better tools for selecting optimal species and varieties for forage, soil conservation, and amenity grasses.

Project performed between 1998 and 2005
Project Rationale

• China is growing rapidly, and demands have greatly expanded for:
  • Improved forage-livestock systems
  • urban beautification
  • improved environmental protection

• Result is increased market demands for high quality grass seeds

• Effective marketing of our high quality US-grown seeds requires that we be able to identify all of the areas suitable for using these grasses

  • Until now that has been impossible on a wide scale. Field-based trials are not effectively extrapolated to other locations.

  • Current computer technology makes it possible to create detailed maps of climate and soils and combine them with species tolerances to accurately identify suitable growing areas for effective marketing
Traditional Method:
Field-based evaluation trials

• Expensive
• Time Consuming
• Unpredictable
• Cooperators Hard to Find
• Management Issues
Climate Station Data: 1961-90 mean monthly max/min temperature, precipitation

2,600 stations

Copyright (c) 2001. OSU Spatial Climate Analysis Service
PRISM Mean Annual Precipitation
## Identify Quantitative Climate Tolerances in US Where Ranges are Better Known

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>July Max. Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Jan Min. Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Annual Precipitation (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Well Suited</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tall Fescue</td>
<td>22 - 32</td>
<td>≥ -10</td>
<td>≥ 625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchardgrass</td>
<td>22 - 31</td>
<td>≥ -7.5</td>
<td>≥ 625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perennial Ryegrass</td>
<td>22 - 30</td>
<td>≥ -5</td>
<td>≥ 625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderately Suited</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tall Fescue</td>
<td>20 - 34</td>
<td>≥ -15</td>
<td>≥ 450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchardgrass</td>
<td>20 - 33</td>
<td>≥ -12.5</td>
<td>≥ 490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perennial Ryegrass</td>
<td>20 - 32</td>
<td>≥ -10</td>
<td>≥ 525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marginally Suited</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tall Fescue</td>
<td>18 - 36</td>
<td>≥ -20</td>
<td>≥ 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchardgrass</td>
<td>18 – 35</td>
<td>≥ -17.5</td>
<td>≥ 375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perennial Ryegrass</td>
<td>18 - 34</td>
<td>≥ -15</td>
<td>≥ 450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tall Fescue Suitability
All Climate & Soil Constraints

Species Suitability
- Not suitable
- Marginal
- Moderate
- Well
Tall Fescue Suitability
No Soil Constraints (Climate Only)
Tall Fescue Suitability
No Precip Constraint (Irrigated)
Tall Fescue Suitability
No Soils, Irrigated, No Overwintering (Annual)
Tall Fescue Suitability Map: China

Species Suitability
- Not suitable
- Marginal
- Moderate
- Well

Transfer Tolerance Table from US to China

Copyright (C) 2003. Spatial Climate Analysis Service, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR
Benefits

• Suitability maps provided an early estimate of grower success throughout the country

• Suitability maps allowed marketing efforts to target the best suited regions of China

• Grass seed exports to China increased dramatically in years following the study
Suitability Mapping Applied to Crop Insurance

China Model Updated and Improved

Semi-Monthly Water Balance Simulation
Nationwide Crop Suitability Mapping

Dryland Winter Wheat
Draft
Support: DOE Sun Grant, RMA

All Land

Non-Forest Land

Assumes Amended Soils - Liming (pH) and Tiling (Drainage)
Nationwide Crop Suitability Mapping

Dryland Sorghum

Draft

Support: DOE Sun Grant, RMA

All Land

Non-Forest Land

Assumes Amended Soils - Liming (pH) and Tiling (Drainage)
Nationwide Crop Suitability Mapping

Dryland Corn
Draft
Support: DOE Sun Grant, RMA

All Land

Non-Forest Land

Assumes Amended Soils - Liming (pH) and Tiling (Drainage)
Nationwide Crop Suitability Mapping

Energy Cane

Draft

Support: DOE Sun Grant, RMA

All Land

Non-Forest Land

Assumes Amended Soils - Liming (pH) and Tiling (Drainage)
2012 USDA Plant Hardiness Zone Map

The 2012 USDA Plant Hardiness Zone Map is the standard by which gardeners and growers can determine which plants are most likely to thrive at a location. The map is based on the average annual minimum winter temperature, divided into 10-degree F zones.

For the first time, the map is available as an interactive GIS-based map, for which a broadband Internet connection is recommended, and as static images for those with slower Internet access. Users may also simply type in a ZIP Code and find the hardiness zone for that area.

No posters of the USDA Plant Hardiness Zone Map have been printed. But state, regional, and national images of the map can be downloaded and printed in a variety of sizes and resolutions.
2012 USDA-ARS PHZM
A 21st Century Product

- Used PRISM - the most advanced climate mapping system
- Held to the highest scientific standards
  - Reviewed by experts nationwide
  - Documented in two peer-reviewed journal articles
- Unprecedented detail (1/2 mile pixel size)
- Produced digitally for the first time
  - Online-only product – no hard copies
  - ZIP code finder
  - Map images at various resolutions
  - Internet map server for close scrutiny
  - Map packages for graphic artists
  - Uses cloud computing to handle user load
1990 vs. 2012 USDA PHZM: Good Indicator of Climate Change?

- Climate change is measurable and real
- Average annual minimum temperatures have warmed over the last 100 years
- PHZM: average annual EXTREME minimum temperature, a volatile statistic
- 1990 map: 1974-1986, only 13 years
- 2012 map: 1976-2005, 30 years
- Can a comparison of a volatile statistic over such a short period say anything reliable about long-term trends?
4 out of 5 coldest extreme minima in 62 years!
4 out of 5 coldest extreme minima in 62 years!
Need for Regular Updates to PHZM

• Users look to the USDA for guidance
  • But gardeners practice “zone denial” if map is poor or out of date

• Keeping up with the Curve
  • Last map was released 22 years ago
  • Climate is varying rapidly

• Ongoing update process
  • More cost effective than starting from scratch
  • Digital technology makes rapid updates possible
  • Suggest 5-year “supplement” and 10-year full update
Summary

• The Importance of Climate in Crop Insurance
  o Provides a long-term context for assessing what is “unusual”
  o Historical context moves forward with time to capture climate changes as they occur

• Climate Mapping with PRISM
  o PRISM provides high-quality maps of both weather and climate

• PRISM RMA Weather and Climate Portal
  o Provides users with tools to quickly and easily access what they need

• How growers and others can contribute to our maps
  o Become a CoCoRAHS observer (cocorahs.org)
**Crop Suitability Mapping**
- Provides a reality check on whether a crop can be grown in a given location with acceptable risk
- Can be used for both established crops and new crops, such as biofuel feedstocks (Sun Grant, RMA)

**The 2012 USDA Plant Hardiness Zone Map**
- Completely digital, best science
- 1990-2012 comparison presents more questions than answers
- Further study on long term trends and variations needed
- Should be updated regularly (my opinion)