# Optimum Replacement Time for Cotton Pickers in Greece

## G. Kitsopanidis, E. Mygdakos and T. Gemtos[*]

**Abstract**

*In this paper an attempt has been made to determine the optimum replacement time for cotton pickers under the technical and economic conditions in Greece. For this purpose, five relevant methods have been applied to analyze real data taken by 62 cotton pickers working in Central Greece. Three of the methods consider that the optimum replacement time for cotton pickers is the year when the accumulated annual depreciation is equal to the corresponding repair and maintenance costs or the year when the aforementioned annual expenses of the machine per working hour are minimum. The fourth method considers the year in which the accumulated average total costs per working hour or per hectare harvested is minimum, while the fifth method refers to the year in which the accumulated annual "standardized" net income received from the use of a cotton picker is maximum. Based on the results of this study the optimum replacement time of a cotton picker is the $14^{th} -15^{th}$ year of its productive life combined with $4500 - 5000$ working hours and $1450 - 1550$ hectares harvested.*

*Keywords: cotton pickers, optimum replacement time, costs, net income*

## Introduction

The widespread use of mechanization in Greek agriculture started just after the Second World War importing scores of tractors and general use machines. The mechanization of farming continued using any short of specialized machines, e.g. combines, cotton pickers, sugar beet harvesters, tobacco planting machines, et. These machines facilitated many farm activities contributing substantially to the increase of the mechanized crops. However, their use increased the cost of production because of their great annual expenses (depreciation, repairs, maintenance, insurance, interest) and their limited annual use. Taking into account that the main purpose of mechanization is to improve the farmer's income, the most efficient utilization of farm machines is of great importance. Thus, the ultimate goal of the machine manager is to maximize his profit by getting the greatest output from machines at a minimum cost. In practice, this relationship worsens as the machine ages, due to limited performance and the increased repair and maintenance expenses. Machine repair and maintenance rates really determine the time of replacement (Hunt, 1977 and 2001; Mayfield et al, 1981; Morris, 1988; Mygdakos and Gemtos, 2002). Clearly, the determination of optimum replacement time of a machine is a crucial issue, particularly for complex machines (combine harvesters, sugar beet harvesters, cotton pickers etc.), expressed in years of productive life, hours of work or hectares harvested.

The first cotton pickers were introduced in Greece at the beginning of the 60's and reached 3100 by the end of the 90's (Hellenic Cotton Board 1999). The introduction of cotton pickers contributed substantially to the increase of the country's cotton area from

---

[*]  G. Kitsopanidis: Aristotle University of Thessaloniki
    E. Mygdakos: University of Ioannina, Department of Farm Organization and Management, Agrinio, Greece, Email: emygdak@cc.uoi.gr
    T. Gemtos: University of Thessaly, Volos

130.000 hectares to 450.000 hectares and the cotton production from 410000 tons to 1355500 tons respectively (Sitras, 1987; Mygdakos, 1992; Hellenic Cotton Board 1999). This remarkable increase of cotton production has substantially benefit cotton producers, cotton industry and the country's economy, placing cotton growing in the first position in absolute terms of gross value among all crops (Mygdakos 1995; Kitsopanidis and Kamenidis 2003).

There is not much literature on studies regarding optimum replacement time of cotton pickers. Bowers (1970) and Hunt (1977) determine the probable useful life of the cotton pickers to 10 years or 2000 – 2500 hours of work, while in a new addition Hunt (2001) extends it to 3000 hours. The same figures are given in the ASAE standards (ASAE 2002). Sowell (1967), in a theoretical study of the replacement time of cotton pickers, suggests an upper limit of 2000 working hours for U.S.A. conditions. Souter (1972) and Tsatsarelis (1995), determine the useful life of cotton pickers to 10 years or 2000 working hours. The Greek Ministry of Agriculture (1981), has published a number of management tables, in which 10 years are suggested as the useful life of cotton pickers, based on U.S.A. data. Mygdakos (1982) has used the 10 years and the 2500 working hours as the useful life of cotton pickers in a study on the estimation of cotton harvesting cost. In a recent study, Mygdakos and Gemtos (1996) have found that most cotton pickers in Greece have exceeded 3500 hours and the 10 years of use, while a more recent study (Mygdakos et al, 2002) carried out in a region of Central Greece, has shown that a large number of pickers are 15 years old and more, and they have worked up to 5000 hours.

Taking into account the above mentioned the objective of this study is to determine the optimum replacement time for the cotton pickers under Greek conditions, with the aim of enabling cotton producers to keep machinery costs at a low level and increasing their income.

**Methods determining optimum time replacement of capital goods**

Many capital goods face the problem of optimum time replacement (i.e. the trees of various kinds of orchards, certain productive animals, farm machinery tractors, combines, pickers, stripers, planters etc.), as it is directly or indirectly connected to the profit of the farm business (Upton, 1976; Hunt 1977; Barnard and Nix, 1979). Farm machinery may need replacement due to accidents, obsolescence, inadequate capacity, wear and tear etc. The more common replacement decision is to replace an old machine with a new one in order to increase income and reduce costs. Thus, many methods have been formulated in order to determine optimum time replacement of capital goods and especially the farm machinery ones. The following five methods are considered to be the most suitable for cotton pickers:

a) According to the first method, the most appropriate time for cotton pickers replacement is considered to be the year in which the accumulated annual depreciation is equal to the accumulated annual repair and maintenance costs (Souter, 1972; Tsatsarelis, 1995)

$$\underline{D_{aa}} = RM_{aa,}$$

where:  $D_{aa}$ = accumulated annual depreciation,

$RM_{aa}$ = accumulated annual repair and maintenance costs of a cotton picker.

b) According to the second method, the most appropriate time for cotton pickers re-

placement is the year in which the accumulated annual depreciation, repairs and maintenance costs per working hour or per hectare harvested is minimum (Hunt, 1977, 1999, 2001)

$$\frac{D_{aa} + RM_{aa}}{Wh_a / He_a} = minimum$$

where:   $Wh_a$ = accumulated working hours,
           $He_a$ = accumulated hectares harvested

c) The third method considers as the most appropriate time for cotton pickers replacement to be the year in which the initial value of the machine and its accumulated annual repairs and maintenance cost per working hour or hectare, known as " holding cost", is minimum (Morris, 1964; Upton, 1976; Barnard and Nix, 1979; Husti, 1991)

$$\frac{IV + RM_{aa}}{Wh_a / Hr_a} = minimum$$

where: $IV$ = initial value of a cotton picker

d) The fourth method considers as the most appropriate time for cotton pickers replacement to be the year in which the accumulated average total cost per working hour is minimum (Witney, 1988)

$$ATC_a = \frac{TC_a}{Wh_a} = minimum$$

where:   $ATC_a$ = accumulated average total cost per working hour
           $TC_a$ = accumulated total cost

e) According to the fifth method, the most appropriate time for cotton pickers replacement is considered to be the year in which the accumulated annual "standardized" net income received by using the machine is maximum (Kitsopanidis, 1990)

$$[(GR - DC) + RV] \; x \; DI = DNI \; x \; AF = ASNI = maximum$$

where:   $GR$ = gross return, $DC$ = direct cost, $RV$ = resale value of machine,
           $DI$ = discount index, $DNI$ = discounted net income,
           $AF$ = annuity factor, $ASNI$ = annual standardized net income.

**Application of aforementioned methods for cotton pickers**

The technical and economic data available for this study derived from a sample of 62 cotton pickers and especially from the accounting books of the cotton producer groups established under the EU Regulation 389/82, for the period 1983 – 2002. The data refer to the number of working hours per year, the number of hectares harvested per year, the picking charge per hectare of cotton harvested, the driver's wages, the fuel and lubricants costs, the annual depreciation, the repairs and maintenance of the machine, the interest of capital invested etc. All economic data were transformed from current to 1983 prices.

The first method is based on the comparison of the accumulated annual depreciation and the corresponding costs of repairs and maintenance. Since the repair and maintenance costs vary significantly, the following equation was introduced in order to decrease the fluctuation of these costs:

$$Z = a + bX - cX^2 + dX^3,$$

where:   $Z$ = annual repair and maintenance costs,
           $X$ = machine age in years.

A similar analysis has been applied for estimating annual depreciation indirectly through the estimation of the actual value (in percentage) year by year during the life of the machine, by using the following equation

$$Y = e^{-a-bX}$$

where: $Y$ = percentage of the machine's initial value,

$\quad X$ = machine age in years.

Table 1 gives the accumulated annual depreciation, repairs and maintenance costs of a cotton picker for a period of 20 years, as well as its working hours and hectares harvested. As it can be seen in this table, the accumulated annual depreciation is about equal to the accumulated annual repairs and maintenance for the period between the 13th and 14th year of the productive life of the machine. Indeed, in a period of 13.5 years the accumulated annual depreciation (14963 €) is almost equal to the accumulated annual repairs and maintenance (14930 €). This year corresponds to 1410 (1358.5 – 1461.4) hectares of cotton harvested and to 4430 (4280 – 4580) hours worked.

According to the second method, the optimum replacement time of cotton pickers is achieved when the accumulated annual depreciation, repairs and maintenance per working hour and per hectare harvested is minimum (Table 2). In this study, the minimum cost per hour worked (6.73 €) and per hectare harvested (21.1 €) corresponds to 4280 working hours and 1561.1 hectares harvested. The 4280 working hours of the machine correspond to the 13th year of its productive life and the 1561.1 hectares harvested are achieved in the 15th year of productive life. Combining the minimum cost per hour and

**Table 1.** Accumulated annual depreciation and repair and maintenance costs of cotton pickers, according to their productive life, hours worked and hectares harvested

| Year of work | Accumulated depreciation (euro) | Accumulated R & M (euro) | Accumulated hours of work | Cost of work (euro/hour) | | Accumulated hectares harvested | Harvested cost (euro/he.). | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Depre-ciation | R & M | | Depre-ciation | R & M |
| 1 | 1613 | 284 | 279 | 5,78 | 1,02 | 90.4 | 17,8 | 3.1 |
| 2 | 3146 | 1332 | 577 | 5,39 | 2,31 | 185.3 | 17.0 | 7.2 |
| 3 | 4598 | 2331 | 891 | 5,16 | 2,61 | 284.1 | 16.2 | 8.2 |
| 4 | 5939 | 3376 | 1218 | 4,90 | 2,77 | 386.3 | 15.5 | 8.7 |
| 5 | 7260 | 4168 | 1555 | 4,67 | 2,68 | 491.2 | 14.8 | 8.5 |
| 6 | 8469 | 5262 | 1900 | 4,46 | 2,77 | 598.3 | 14.1 | 8.8 |
| 7 | 9599 | 6327 | 2249 | 4,27 | 2,81 | 707.2 | 13.6 | 9.0 |
| 8 | 10647 | 7482 | 2600 | 4,09 | 2,88 | 816.8 | 13.0 | 9.2 |
| 9 | 11615 | 8727 | 2950 | 3,94 | 2,96 | 926.8 | 12.5 | 9.4 |
| 10 | 12503 | 10181 | 3296 | 3,79 | 3,09 | 1036.6 | 12.1 | 9.8 |
| 11 | 13309 | 11353 | 3635 | 3,66 | 3,12 | 1145.5 | 11.6 | 9.9 |
| 12 | 14035 | 12759 | 3964 | 3,54 | 3,22 | 1253.0 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 13 | 14680 | 14133 | 4280 | 3,43 | 3,30 | 1358.5 | 10.8 | 10.4 |
| 14 | 15245 | 15727 | 4580 | 3,33 | 3,43 | 1461.4 | 10.4 | 10.8 |
| 15 | 15729 | 17211 | 4862 | 3,23 | 3,54 | 1561.1 | 10.1 | 11.0 |
| 16 | 16132 | 18935 | 5123 | 3,15 | 3,69 | 1657.0 | 9.7 | 11.4 |
| 17 | 16455 | 20594 | 5359 | 3,07 | 3,84 | 1748.5 | 9.4 | 11.8 |
| 18 | 16697 | 22382 | 5568 | 3,00 | 4,02 | 1835.0 | 9.1 | 12.2 |
| 19 | 16858 | 24300 | 5747 | 2,93 | 4,23 | 1915.9 | 8.8 | 12.7 |
| 20 | 16939 | 26153 | 5893 | 2,87 | 4,44 | 1990.6 | 8.5 | 13.1 |

per hectare with the productive life of the machine, it is clear that the optimum replacement time is the 14th year.

The third method is based on the "holding cost" of the machine, namely on the combination of its initial value and the accumulated annual repairs and maintenance. This means that by this method the optimum replacement time of cotton pickers takes place in the year when the holding cost per working hour or per hectare harvested is at the minimum level. This estimation is achieved arithmetically, shown in Table 3, where the optimum replacement time is found in the 17th year or 5359 working hours, and where the holding cost per working hour is minimum (7.62 €).

The fourth method determines the optimum replacement time of cotton pickers, the year in which the accumulated average total cost per working hour is minimum. In this study, this cost is minimized in the 15th year and at 4862 working hours (Table 4). Indeed, the minimum point of the average total cost corresponds to the 15th year and the 4862 working hours.

The fifth method for estimating the optimum replacement time of cotton pickers is based on the time when the annual "standardized" net income reaches its maximum level. This time refers to the 14th year of the productive life of the machine and corresponds to 4580 working hours. As Table 5 shows, the relevant data for a period of 20 years are: annual gross return from the machine, its annual direct cost, annual resale value of the machine, a deflecting factor (9%) for transforming all values in the year 1983 and an annuity factor (9%), which better fits the data used and gives the annual "standardized" net income during a period of 20 years.

**Table 2**. Accumulated annual depreciation and repair and maintenance costs of cotton pickers and costs per hour worked or hectare harvested according to their productive life

| Year of work | Accumulated depreciation and Repair and Maintenance (euro) | Accumulated hours of work | Cost (euro/ hour) | Accumulated hectares harvested | Cost (euro/ hectare) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1897 | 279 | 6,80 | 90.4 | 21.0 |
| 2 | 4478 | 577 | 7,76 | 185.3 | 24.2 |
| 3 | 6929 | 891 | 7,78 | 284.1 | 24.4 |
| 4 | 9345 | 1218 | 7,67 | 386.3 | 24.2 |
| 5 | 11428 | 1555 | 7,35 | 491.2 | 23.3 |
| 6 | 13731 | 1900 | 7,23 | 598.3 | 22.9 |
| 7 | 15926 | 2249 | 7,08 | 707.2 | 22.5 |
| 8 | 18130 | 2600 | 6,97 | 816.8 | 22.2 |
| 9 | 20343 | 2950 | 6,90 | 926.8 | 22.0 |
| 10 | 22684 | 3296 | 6,88 | 1036.6 | 21.9 |
| 11 | 24956 | 3635 | 6,86 | 1145.5 | 21.8 |
| 12 | 26794 | 3964 | 6,76 | 1253.0 | 21.4 |
| 13 | 28814 | 4280 | 6,73 | 1358.5 | 21.2 |
| 14 | 30973 | 4580 | 6,76 | 1461.4 | 21.2 |
| 15 | 32941 | 4862 | 6,77 | 1561.1 | 21.1 |
| 16 | 35068 | 5123 | 6,84 | 1657.0 | 21.2 |
| 17 | 37049 | 5359 | 6,91 | 1748.5 | 21.2 |
| 18 | 39079 | 5568 | 7,02 | 1835.0 | 21.3 |
| 19 | 41159 | 5747 | 7,16 | 1915.9 | 21.5 |
| 20 | 43092 | 5893 | 7,31 | 1990.6 | 21.7 |

***Table 3***. *Initial value of a cotton picker, accumulated annual repair and maintenance costs and cost per hour of work, according to its productive life*

| Year of work | Initial value (euro) | Accumulated Repair and Maintenance (euro) | Initial value and Repair and Maintenance (euro) | Accumulated hours of Work | Cost (euro/hour) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 19075 | 868 | 19943 | 279 | 71,48 |
| 2 | 19075 | 1787 | 20862 | 577 | 36,16 |
| 3 | 19075 | 2758 | 21833 | 891 | 24,50 |
| 4 | 19075 | 3780 | 22855 | 1218 | 18,76 |
| 5 | 19075 | 4854 | 23929 | 1555 | 15,39 |
| 6 | 19075 | 5979 | 25054 | 1900 | 13,19 |
| 7 | 19075 | 7156 | 26231 | 2249 | 11,66 |
| 8 | 19075 | 8384 | 27459 | 2600 | 10,56 |
| 9 | 19075 | 9663 | 28738 | 2950 | 9,74 |
| 10 | 19075 | 10994 | 30069 | 3296 | 9,12 |
| 11 | 19075 | 12376 | 31451 | 3635 | 8,65 |
| 12 | 19075 | 13810 | 32885 | 3964 | 8,30 |
| 13 | 19075 | 15295 | 34370 | 4280 | 8,03 |
| 14 | 19075 | 16832 | 35907 | 4580 | 7,84 |
| 15 | 19075 | 18420 | 37495 | 4862 | 7,71 |
| 16 | 19075 | 20059 | 39134 | 5123 | 7,64 |
| 17 | 19075 | 21750 | 40825 | 5359 | 7,62 |
| 18 | 19075 | 23492 | 42567 | 5568 | 7,64 |
| 19 | 19075 | 25286 | 44361 | 5747 | 7,72 |
| 20 | 19075 | 27131 | 46206 | 5893 | 7,84 |

**Table 4.** Accumulated total cost, hours of work and average total and marginal cost of a cotton picker according to its productive life

| Year of work | Accumulated total cost (euro) | Accumulated hours of work | Average total cost (euro/hour) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 6201 | 279 | 22,23 |
| 2 | 12113 | 577 | 20,99 |
| 3 | 17788 | 891 | 19,96 |
| 4 | 23200 | 1218 | 19,05 |
| 5 | 28437 | 1555 | 18,29 |
| 6 | 33470 | 1900 | 17,62 |
| 7 | 38287 | 2249 | 17,02 |
| 8 | 42942 | 2600 | 16,52 |
| 9 | 47448 | 2950 | 16,09 |
| 10 | 51826 | 3296 | 15,72 |
| 11 | 56085 | 3635 | 15,43 |
| 12 | 60244 | 3964 | 15,20 |
| 13 | 64315 | 4280 | 15,03 |
| 14 | 68316 | 4580 | 14,92 |
| 15 | 72260 | 4862 | 14,86 |
| 16 | 76164 | 5123 | 14,87 |
| 17 | 80042 | 5359 | 14,93 |
| 18 | 83909 | 5568 | 15,07 |
| 19 | 87777 | 5747 | 15,27 |
| 20 | 91661 | 5893 | 15,55 |

*AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS REVIEW*

**Table 5.** Gross return, direct cost, «net income», resale machine value and annual net «standardized» income of a cotton picker

| Year of work | Gross return (euro) | Direct cost (euro) | Net income (euro) | Resale machine value (euro) | Sum of net income and resale machine value (euro) | Discount index (9%) | Discounted net income (euro) | Accumulated discounted net income (euro) | Annuity factor (9%) | Accumulated discounted annual «standardized» net income (euro) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | – | – | – | 19076 | -19076 | 1,0000 | -19076 | -19076 | 0,0000 | -19076 |
| 1 | 3987 | 1223 | 2765 | 17462 | 20227 | 0,9174 | 18556 | -519 | 1,0000 | -519 |
| 2 | 5437 | 1529 | 3907 | 15930 | 19837 | 0,8417 | 16697 | 16178 | 0,5685 | 9197 |
| 3 | 6261 | 1839 | 4423 | 14478 | 18901 | 0,7782 | 14708 | 30886 | 0,3951 | 12203 |
| 4 | 7236 | 2105 | 5131 | 13089 | 18237 | 0,7084 | 12919 | 43805 | 0,3087 | 13523 |
| 5 | 7951 | 2267 | 5683 | 11816 | 17499 | 0,6499 | 11373 | 55178 | 0,2571 | 14186 |
| 6 | 9123 | 2312 | 6811 | 10606 | 17417 | 0,5963 | 10386 | 65564 | 0,2229 | 14614 |
| 7 | 10330 | 2573 | 7757 | 9477 | 17234 | 0,5470 | 9427 | 74991 | 0,1987 | 14901 |
| 8 | 13036 | 3245 | 9791 | 8428 | 18220 | 0,5019 | 9144 | 84135 | 0,1807 | 15203 |
| 9 | 15557 | 4215 | 11342 | 7460 | 18802 | 0,4604 | 8657 | 92792 | 0,1668 | 15478 |
| 10 | 17138 | 5658 | 11480 | 6573 | 18053 | 0,4224 | 7625 | 100417 | 0,1558 | 15645 |
| 11 | 18281 | 6061 | 12220 | 5766 | 17986 | 0,3875 | 6970 | 107387 | 0,1469 | 15775 |
| 12 | 19287 | 6383 | 12903 | 5040 | 17944 | 0,3555 | 6379 | 113766 | 0,1397 | 15893 |
| 13 | 19421 | 6593 | 12828 | 4395 | 17223 | 0,3262 | 5618 | 119384 | 0,1336 | 15950 |
| 14 | 19552 | 6874 | 12678 | 3830 | 16508 | 0,2992 | 4939 | 124323 | 0,1287 | 15963 |
| 15 | 19621 | 8036 | 11585 | 3345 | 14931 | 0,2745 | 4099 | 128422 | 0,1241 | 15937 |
| 16 | 19761 | 8590 | 11171 | 2943 | 14114 | 0,2519 | 3555 | 131977 | 0,1203 | 15877 |
| 17 | 19896 | 9143 | 10753 | 2620 | 13373 | 0,2311 | 3096 | 135068 | 0,1170 | 15803 |
| 18 | 19844 | 9697 | 10148 | 2378 | 12526 | 0,2120 | 2656 | 137723 | 0,1142 | 15728 |
| 19 | 20091 | 10250 | 9841 | 2217 | 12058 | 0,1945 | 2345 | 140068 | 0,1117 | 15646 |
| 20 | 20411 | 10745 | 9666 | 2136 | 11803 | 0,1784 | 2106 | 142174 | 0,1095 | 15568 |

**Comparison of the methods used for estimating optimum replacement time of cotton pickers**

The first three methods used for estimating optimum replacement time of cotton pickers are based directly or indirectly on the accumulated annual depreciation and repairs and maintenance costs of these machines, because it is believed that these expenses are the most important. According to Morris (1988), repair and maintenance costs combine with depreciation to jointly account for about 70% of total machine costs. Also repair and maintenance costs, amount to 60-120% (Boyce et al, 1976) or 52-137% (Mygdakos et al, 2002) of the machine's initial value over its life. More specifically, the first two methods are based exclusively on depreciation, repairs and maintenance, while the third one takes into account the same items plus the initial value of these machines. The fourth method is based on the minimization of the accumulated average total cost per working hour, while the fifth one uses an optimum replacement time the year in which the maximum annual "standardized" net income is achieved by these machines.

The comparison of the five methods described above displays no great difference among the four of them (first, second, fourth, and fifth), since the optimum replacement time given fluctuates between the 14th and the 15th year or between 4280 and 4862 working hours or between 1358.5 and 1561.1 hectares harvested. On the contrary, the third method gives quite different results (17th year, 5359 working hours and 1748.5 hectares harvested). Among the five methods employed, the last two seem to be the most important ones as the fourth one takes into consideration the total cost of the machine and not a part of it, and the fifth one is based on the net income received. Finally, the fifth method is considered to be the most reliable, since it uses as a criterion the maximum annual "standardized" net income instead of the minimum total cost of the fourth method, which does not mean necessarily maximum income.

**Summary, conclusions and prospects**

In this paper an attempt is made to determine the optimum replacement time for cotton pickers under technical and economic conditions existing in Greece. The data used in this study are selected from a sample of 62 cotton pickers and cover a period of 20 years (1983-2002).

For this purpose, five methods have been applied; the first three methods are based on the year when the accumulated annual depreciation and repairs and maintenance costs are equal or the year when the aforementioned annual expenses reach their minimum level; the fourth method is based on the year in which the accumulated average total cost per working hour or per hectare harvested is the minimum one; finally, the fifth method refers to the year in which the accumulated annual "standardized" net income achieved by a cotton picker is the maximum one.

Comparing the results obtained by the application of the aforementioned five methods, no great differences are found among the four of them except the third one. More specifically, the optimum replacement time for cotton pickers fluctuates when using the four methods between the 13th and the 15th year of productive life or between 4280 and 4862 hours of work or between 1358 and 1561 hectares harvested. On the contrary, the corresponding figures when the third method is used are: 17th year, 5359 working hours and 1748.5 hectares harvested.

The above results indicate that the theoretical figures used up to now, as an optimum time for cotton pickers replacement e.g. 10 years of productive life or 2000 –2500 hours of work, do not correspond to Greek actual practice and must be revised. Based on the results of this study, the optimum replacement time of cotton pickers is the $14^{th} – 15^{th}$ year of its productive life in combination with 4500 – 5000 working hours and 1450 – 1550 hectares harvested. Taking into account that there is not any other relevant study in Greece on this subject, the above results prove to be very useful to researchers, to owners of cotton pickers and to policy makers.

## References

American Society of Agricultural Engineers Year Book (2002).

Barnard, C. and Nix J. (1979). Farm Planning and Control, $2^{nd}$ edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Boyce, D., Audsley, E. and Rutherford I. (1976). Agricultural machinery costing – its importance, Method of determination and data requirement, NIAE, Departmental Note No. DN/SY/613/1957/1960, March.

Bowers, W. (1970). Modern Concepts of farm Machinery Management, Stipes publishing Company, Illinois.

Dunford, W. and Rickard, R. 1961. The timing of farm machinery replacement, Journal of Agricultural Economics, XIV: 3, 348-358.

Hellenic Cotton Board, (1999). Mechanization of cotton harvesting (text in Greek).

Hunt, D. (1977). Farm Power and Machinery Management, Iowa State University Press, $7^{th}$ edition, Ames, Iowa.

Hunt, D. (1999). Cost Analysis, in CIGR Handbook of Agricultural Engineering, Volume III, Published by the American Society of Agricultural Engineers, 574-584.

Hunt, D. (2001). Farm Power and Machinery Management, Iowa State University Press, $10^{th}$ edition, Ames, Iowa.

Husti, I. 1991. Problems and methods of farm machinery management, University of Agricultural Sciences, Godollo, Hungary.

Kitsopanidis, G. (1990). Economics of Farm Business, Zitis Publication, Thessaloniki (text in Greek).

Mayfield, W., Hines, G. and Roberts, L. (1981). A new method for estimating farm machinery costs, TRANSACTIONS of the ASAE, 1446-1448.

Morris, J. (1988). Estimation of tractor repair and maintenance costs. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, 41, 191-200.

Morris, W. (1964). The Analysis of Management Decisions, Richard D. Irwin, Inc. Illinois.

Ministry of Agriculture, (1981). Boithitikoi Pinakes (No 4) gia ten Oikonomiki Analysi ton Stoixeion ton Agrotikon Ekmetallevseon (Subsidiary Tables (No 4) for economic Analysis of Farm Business Data) (text in Greek).

Mygdakos, E. (1982). The development and use of a simulation model to study group farming systems for mechanical harvesting of cotton in Greece, PhD. Thesis, Reading University U.K.

Mygdakos, E. (1992). Group farming on cotton growing in Greece, in Farm and Farm Machinery Management, (Ed) T. Gemtos, TEI of Larisa, Greece, p. 147-187.

Mygdakos, E. (1995). Mechanization of cotton harvesting in Greece: Necessity – Difficulties-Means, Cahiers Options Mediterraneennes, CHIHEAM/FPH, 2: 2, 78-88.

Mygdakos, E. and Gemtos, T. (1996). Repair and maintenance cost of cotton pickers, Geotechnical Sientific Issues, Geotechnical Chamber of Greece, 7: 4, 37-53 (text in Greek).

Mygdakos, E., Kitsopanidis, G. and Gemtos T. (2002). Profitability of cotton pickers in relation to their productive life: The case of Karditsa Prefecture-Greece. 7th Conference of the Greek Association of Agricultural Economics, Athens 22-23 of November (text in Greek).

Mygdakos, E. and Gemtos T. (2002). Reliability of cotton pickers and its effect on harvesting cost, Biosystems Engineering, 8 (4), 381-391.

Sitras, T. (1987). Cotton production and its problems, Hellenic Cotton Board (text in Greek).

Souter, C. (1972). Farm Machinery. Tractors – Engines. Athens (text in Greek)

Sowell, R. (1967). A farm machinery replacement study with application to the replacement of self-propelled cotton picker. Ph. D. Thesis, Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, North Carolina State University at Raleigh.

Tsatsarelis, K. (1995). Farm Machinery Management, Aristotelian University of Thessaloniki, Publishing service, Thessaloniki (text in Greek).

Upton M. (1976). Agricultural Production Economics and Resource-Use, Oxford University Press, London.

Witney B. (1988). Choosing and Using Farm Machines. Longman, N.Y.