



UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL ASIA  
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF DEVELOPMENT  
Institute of Public Policy and Administration

# **Fall in International Energy Prices and its Impact on Agrifood Trade in Post-Soviet Countries**


**Roman Mogilevskii, University of Central Asia  
Samarkand, 4 November 2016**

# Background

- In 2012-2016, trade policy in the region significantly changed:
  - establishing and expansion of EAEU (Armenia, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Russia)
  - DCFTA agreements with EU (Georgia, Moldova, and Ukraine)
  - Russia, Tajikistan and Kazakhstan joined WTO
  - political shocks
- Trade performance of these countries has changed much, too
- There is a strong temptation to establish causal relationship between the policy changes and the changes in trade
- However, there could be other explanations for the changes in trade
- In particular, the fall in international energy prices has affected all these economies


# Dependence of the Region on Energy Trade

- Majority of the region countries strongly depend either on energy exports, or on remittances sent from the neighboring energy exporting countries




# Fall In Energy Prices and its Macroeconomic Effects


- In 2014-2016, oil and gas prices were falling
- Energy exports revenue and remittances from energy-rich countries (mainly Russia) decreased



**Energy exports, 2015 in % to 2013**




**Remittances, 2015 in % to 2013**



# Fall in Energy Prices and its Macroeconomic Effects (2)


- All regional currencies devaluated against US dollar
- The currencies devaluated to a very different extent
- Almost all currencies of Central Asia and Caucasus strengthened against Russian ruble and Kazakh tenge



# Fall in Energy Prices and its Macroeconomic Effects (3)


- GDP and internal demand growth rates have declined
- Prices of regional export goods on the neighboring countries' markets (especially in Russia) have changed

**Change in GDP growth rate, 2015 to 2013**



# Agrifood Trade Response

- Both exports and imports fell in majority of countries
- In most cases, the trade dynamics could be well explained by the exchange rate dynamics



## Agrifood Trade Response (2)

- In contrast, policy changes could not explain large part of this dynamics
- Some examples:
  - imports of beef (HS0202) to Russia from Brazil fell from US\$1.3 billion in 2013 to US\$0.6 billion in 2015
  - exports of fruits and vegetables from (HS07-08) from Kyrgyzstan to Kazakhstan fell from US\$71 million in 2013 to US\$16 million in 2015
- One could find some trade changes directly attributable to policies, not exchange rate, e.g. imports of swine meat (HS0203) to Russia
- Some other exogenous factors (international prices, harvest) also should be factored in



# Policy Implications

- Not every change in trade could/should be attributed to trade policy
- Most of the recent trade dynamics could be explained by macroeconomic developments
- Currency devaluation of the scale observed in the region is a very powerful (but painful) protective measure
- Agrifood trade in the region is particularly sensitive to price shocks
- In the conditions of such exchange rate shocks, protective trade policies become redundant and trade liberalization policies ineffective
- Agrifood trade policy must be coordinated with macroeconomic policies; otherwise their effectiveness is going to be damaged

**Thank You!**