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Overview over the presentation

- Salmonella control in Denmark
- Cost benefit analysis of direct economic effects
- General equilibrium analysis encompassing derived economic effects
- Comparison and conclusions
Danish Salmonella Control

1995-2002:

• Control programmes regarding Salmonella in pork, poultry, and eggs

• Direct costs of 235 million USD

• The number of human Salmonella infections ↓ by 180,000 cases
  – 100,000 of which from fewer infections from eggs
Industry level analysis
- distribution of direct costs of salmonella control

Table 1. Relative direct costs for each sector 1995-2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>direct costs</th>
<th>total costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pork</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry</td>
<td>0.9 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results
- The distribution of direct costs is not equal across sectors
  - The egg sector is hit hardest measured in terms of direct costs shares
- No behavioural adjustments
  - Direct cost equals profit loss
Social welfare analysis
- direct effects

• The cost benefit analysis includes
  – Direct costs: 235 million USD
  – Direct benefits (cost of illness)
    • Reduced public health expenditure
    • Increased productivity
    • Total of 116 million USD

• Result
  – In 1995–2002, there are direct net costs to society of 119 million USD
Direct net benefits to society

Figure 1. Social direct net benefits, million USD

- Development of cost and benefits
  - Large initial costs, benefits with time lags

- Result
  - Not until 2030 do the net costs turn into net benefits
Conclusions based on the analysis of direct effects

- Industry level analysis
  - Egg sector has the largest relative costs

- Social welfare analysis
  - Salmonella programme on eggs provides the largest benefits
  - Short run net costs
  - Long run net benefits from 2030
General equilibrium analysis

- Same input data
- Incorporates the 3 direct effects
- Includes derived effects
- Allows producers and consumers to adjust their behaviour
- Takes account of interactions and feed-back mechanisms between agents
- Therefore, the general equilibrium analysis generates results that include both direct and derived effects
What kind of results arise from a general equilibrium analysis?

• Industry level (changes in production, input demand, market prices)
  – Meat and egg producing sectors
  – Other sectors

• Consumers (changes in total consumption and demand structure)

• National level /social welfare (changes in gross domestic product, total unemployment, balance of payments)
Industry level  
- long-run direct and derived effects

Table 2. Long-run direct and derived effects for each sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage changes</th>
<th>Output price</th>
<th>Production quantity</th>
<th>Production value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pork</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results
- General
  - Output prices ↑, production volume production ↓, production value ↓
- Differences between setors
  - Egg: The value of production ↑ (large direct costs, inelastic demand, no trade)
  - Meat: The value of production ↓ (export oriented, elastic demand)
Social welfare analysis
- long-run direct and derived effects

Figure 2. Accumulated changes in GDP, million USD

- Same overall shape as the net direct effects
- **Results**
  - Net benefits from 2003 and onwards due to positive derived effects:
    - Unit production costs ↓
    - Increased demand for other goods and services
Conclusions based on the general equilibrium analysis

• Incorporating market conditions, agents’ behaviour, and interactions and feed back mechanisms between agents result in
  
  – the net effect on society is clearly positive (net benefits to society also in the short run)
  
  – the net effect on the industry is not so clear (a more equal distribution of effects at the industry level)
Overall conclusions

• We obtain different results based on the same data

• Our case illustrates that when analysing food safety policies, a general equilibrium analysis can provide useful information

• It is important to
  • include market conditions (demand structures, trade, competition)
  • present not only results but also underlying assumptions and data uncertainties
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