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Introduction
The public trusts that government agencies ensure the safety of the food supply by restricting pesticide use to harmless levels, though increased consumption of organic products may indicate consumer skepticism. Increased concern about pesticide exposure motivates more examination of the EPA's regulatory process. To what extent have pesticide regulations become more restrictive in response to dietary risks? Are regulatory outcomes especially protective of infants and children by restricting use on foods commonly consumed by children?

Many health, environmental, and economic concerns affect pesticide regulation, but dietary risk has been a primary motivator of government action. In 1996, the Food Quality and Protection Act directed the EPA to increase scrutiny of the dietary risks of pesticides in several ways. Instead of balancing dietary risk with the benefits of the pesticide, the EPA would adopt a "reasonable certainty of no harm" standard. This standard should preclude the interests of other stakeholders, such as farmers and pesticide manufacturers. The act also ordered the EPA to pay special attention to the risks to sensitive populations, such as infants and children.

To analyze the extent to which pesticide regulation is sensitive to dietary risks, I consider 2700 food uses of pesticides subject to the reregistration program. Many of these pesticides were first registered in the 1950s and 1960s, when safety reviews of pesticide uses were limited. The reregistration program provided a comprehensive review of the health risks of these pesticides.

Dietary risks
Dietary risk measures are not "risk" in a strict sense, but ratios of estimated dietary exposure to pesticide to a "safe" level of exposure to a pesticide:

Dietary risk = estimated exposure/safe exposure (higher values-higher risk)

= Exposure – daily food intake/pesticide residue

= Safe exposure – Chronic Reference Dose

I calculate exposure and risk separately for population, children, and infants. There are special concerns about the effects of pesticides on infants and children. The National Academy of Sciences report, Pesticides in the Diets of Infants and Children, pointed out that since children are still developing, pesticides may affect them more than adults. Children also tend to eat a narrower range of foods, meaning that a large proportion of their diets derive from just a few commodities, and may consume more relative to their body weight than adults do.

Outcomes of Pesticide Regulation
Pesticides may be reregistered or cancelled. If they are reregistered, they may be mitigated or limited. If they are cancelled, they are not marketed.

Effect of dietary risk on pesticide cancellation and tolerance changes
Dietary risk has little effect on whether a pesticide is cancelled, but pesticides with high expenditures are significantly more likely to remain registered:

Log population dietary risk 0.015 (0.009)

Log of pesticide expenditures 0.029*** (0.010)

Expenditures missing -0.083* (0.050)

Child dietary risk threshold -0.118* -0.099*** (0.038) (0.030)

Infant dietary risk threshold -0.063 -0.046 (0.019) (0.017)

Constant 1.061*** 1.078*** 1.078*** (0.037) (0.035) (0.035)

Dietary risk has a significant effect on whether a pesticide tolerance is reduced, and pesticide expenditures do not predict whether a tolerance will be reduced:

Log population dietary risk 0.061* (0.019)

Log of pesticide expenditures 0.015 (0.038)

Expenditures missing -0.155 (0.107)

Conclusions
Pesticide uses with higher chronic dietary health risk are more likely to be cancelled by the EPA, though these coefficients are not significant at conventional levels. However, for pesticide uses that are reregistered, higher risk uses are significantly more likely to have more restrictive tolerances. Pesticide uses with higher chronic health risks to infants and children were less likely to be reregistered, with coefficients and significant levels mirroring that of the population.
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