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Reconciling WTO Boxes and PSE Data in the GTAP Framework to calculate Indicators for Domestic Support

Introduction

- The implementation of domestic support policies has yet received too little attention. This can be traced back to the fact that domestic support is in many cases a country-specific issue. It needs elaborate work to identify the specific agricultural instruments used and corresponding adjustment of the underlying data base.
- During the last decades countries reformed their agricultural policies to fulfill the requirements of the WTO negotiations, e.g., introduction of the Single Farm Payment (SFP) in the EU.
- Most global equilibrium models do not evaluate the level of domestic support through the AMS concept of the WTO, but use the OECD's Producer Support Estimate (PSE) to represent domestic support. Employing global equilibrium models in the analysis of domestic support reduction as suggested in the WTO negotiation therefore requires the reconciliation of WTO boxes and PSE data.

Objectives

- Update of the allocation of domestic support payments in the GTAP data base: Distribution of payments according to their production incentives.
- Implementation of the WTO box classification scheme in the GTAP model: Allocation of the different domestic support payment types to amber, blue and green boxes according to their degree of trade distortion.
- Development of an indicator to quantify implications of domestic support: Comparison between countries, evaluation of domestic support measures over time and contrast against other policy instruments.

Domestic Support in the GTAP data base and model

- Extended version of the comparative static computable general equilibrium model GTAP (Global Trade Analysis Project).
- We compare the year 2004 and 2007 of the version 8.1, GTAP data base.
- The GTAP data base is aggregated to 13 regions and 23 sectors, with focus on EU25.
- Agricultural domestic support in the GTAP data based on OECD producer support estimate (PSE) tables, 2004 and 2007, for the EU.
- Budgetary transfers are implemented in the GTAP data base, market price support is not included to avoid double counting.

Mercantilist Trade Restrictiveness Index (MTRI)

- We use the concept of the MRTI in an alternative way.
- Here the concept is used to measure the effects of a reduction in domestic support applying the following GTAP equation, which links domestic and world prices:

\[ \tau^M = \frac{M^0}{{[1 + \tau^M]}}^0 \]

- It is defined on the basis of an aggregated tariff, resulting in the same import value at world market prices as the initial vector of non-aggregated tariffs (ANDERSON and NEARY, 2003).
- Thus the index measures the uniform tariff which keeps the value of import constant (CORDEN, 1966).

Results MTRI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>product specific</td>
<td>homogeneous within product groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wheat</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cereal grains</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>-2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetables, fruits, nuts</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oil seeds</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sugar cane</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sugar beet</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other crops</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>-1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cattle, sheep</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-2.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concluding Remarks

- We found only small changes due to domestic support reduction to zero for all relevant products which implies that the domestic support is only minimally trade distorting.
- This can be explained by the huge amount of green box payments which are allocated according to factor shares and should not create an effect on production. During the last decade the CAP reform changed significantly to fulfill the required WTO reduction criteria. This lead to an improvement in the effective income transfer. The gradual replacement of MIPS with other forms of support, primarily payments based on land labor and capital has been behind this changes. (Box shift from blue to green). Payments are not linked to current production decisions (SFP) and appear to be only minimally trade distorting.
- MARTINI and VAN TONGEREN (2011) used the OECD Policy Evaluation Model (PEM) to develop impact-based indicators of the CAP. They quantified diverse impact ad valorem indices (based as well on the MTRI) to show the impacts of the CAP and came up with similar general findings.
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